City of Mississauga



Governance Subcommittee

Date

2017/06/05

Time

Immediately Following Governance Committee

Location

Civic Centre, Committee Room C, 2nd Floor 300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga, Ontario, L5B 3C1

Members

Councillor Jim Tovey, Ward 1 Councillor Karen Ras, Ward 2 Councillor Pat Saito, Ward 9 John Magill, Citizen Member (Chair) Sandy Milakovic, Citizen Member (Vice-Chair)

Contact

Stephanie Smith, Legislative Coordinator 905-615-3200 ext. 3795 Email <u>stephanie smith@mississauga.ca</u>

Find it Online

http://www.mississauga.ca/portal/cityhall/governancecommittee

Governance Subcommittee

2

- 1. <u>Call to Order</u>
- 2. <u>Approval of Agenda</u>
- 3. Declaration of Conflict of Interest
- 4. <u>Minutes of Previous Meeting</u>

Governance Subcommittee Minutes – April 3, 2017

- 5. <u>Matters to be Considered</u>
- **5.1** Review of Chapter 5 *Pursue Public Involvement* and Chapter 6 *Partner Where Possible* of the book Guide to Good Municipal Governance, by C. Richard Tindal and Susan Nobes Tindal (previously distributed)

The concluding questions at the end of each chapter will be discussed

- 6. <u>Next Meeting Date</u> September 25, 2017
- 7. <u>Adjournment</u>

City of Mississauga **Minutes**



Governance Subcommittee

Date

2017/04/03

Time

1:00 PM

Location

Civic Centre, Committee Room C, 2nd Floor 300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga, Ontario, L**5B 3**C1

Members Present

Councillor Karen Ras, Ward 2 Councillor Pat Saito, Ward 9 Councillor Jim Tovey, Ward 1 (left at 2.04 p.m.) John Magill, Citizen Member (Chair) Sandy Milakovic, Citizen Member (Vice-Chair)

Staff Present

Janice Baker, City Manager and CAO Gary Kent, Commissioner, Corporate Services. Marcia Taggart, Deputy City Solicitor Diana Rusnov, Manager, Legislative Services and Deputy Clerk LeeAnn Lloyd, Strategic Leader, City Planning Strategies Division Angie Melo, Legislative Coordinator

Contact

Angie Melo, Legislative Coordinator 905-615-3200 ext. 5423 Email <u>angie.melo@mississauga.ca</u>

Find it Online

http://www.mississauga.ca/portal/cityhall/governancecommittee

Governance Subcommittee	2017/04/03	2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

4.1

- 1. Call to Order 1:04 PM
- 2. Approval of Agenda

Approved (Councillor P. Saito)

- 3. <u>Declaration of Conflict of Interest</u> Nil
- 4. <u>Minutes of Previous Meeting</u>

Governance Subcommittee Minutes – March 6, 2017

Members of the Subcommittee reviewed and discussed the responses and actions from the March 6, 2017 Governance Subcommittee meeting and made further amendments as noted in Appendix 1, Guide to Good Governance Concluding Chapter Questions – Responses and Actions.

Approved (Councillor Saito)

- 5. Matters Considered
- 5.1 <u>Review of Chapter 4 and Chapter 5 of the book Guide to Good Municipal Governance,</u> by C. Richard Tindal and Susan Nobes Tindal (previously distributed)

Members of the Subcommittee reviewed and discussed Chapter 4 from the book Guide to Good Municipal Governance (See Appendix 1 for discussion notes)

The review of Chapter 5 was deferred to a future Governance Subcommittee meeting.

Janice Baker, City Manager and CAO, inquired whether staff input would be required for the concluding questions in chapter 5 and 6.

John Magill, Citizen Member, Chair, advised that staff input would be required for concluding questions in chapter 6. Ms. Baker will approach appropriate staff to seek their input prior to the next Governance Subcommittee meeting.

Governance Subcommittee	2017/04/03	3

5.2 <u>Community Engagement</u>

LeeAnn Lloyd, Strategic Leader, City Planning Strategies Division, provided an overview of the Community Engagement Project, highlighting the purpose of the project, defining engagement, community involvement, identified other Canadian Municipalities that have engagement policies and strategy timelines. Ms. Lloyd advised that the final strategy and guidelines report will be presented to General Committee in June 2017, and subsequently to Council in July 2017.

Sandy Milakovic, Citizen Member, Vice-Chair, inquired on whether there is any analysis from other municipalities that have an engagement strategy. Ms. Lloyd advised that there have been discussions with staff from the City of Guelph, who shared their experience of their process, for example, what worked, what didn't, what lessons were learned. Ms. Lloyd further advised that the City of Guelph, although a small municipality, they have developed a comprhensive tool kit that was approved in 2013.

- 6. Next Meeting Date May 8, 2017
- 7. Adjournment: 2:30 PM (S. Milakovic)

Guide to Good Municipal Governance Concluding Chapter Questions Review

Chapter 2 – Be Strategic and Selective

Is there a concerted effort, soon after each election, to agree on overall priorities and objectives to be accomplished by the municipality in the coming term?

Response	Action
Newly appointed Council does not formally agree on overall priorities as this has traditionally been done through the budget and strategic planning processes.	Prior to the inaugural Council meeting arrange a workshop where the new Council can share their individual priorities and establish common goals and objectives for the coming term of Council.
Council does not have set objectives of what is to be complete throughout the term.	Challenging Council to develop a list of overall priorities that can be agreed upon. Based on approach noted above.
The approved strategic plan already sets out city priorities and does not necessarily align or include Council's priorities.	A review of the strategic plan should occur every four years to align with the start of the term of Council.
There may be discrepancies between ward issues versus City priorities.	Find balance between ward and city priorities.

Does the municipality have a strategic plan that is reviewed and updated on a regular basis?

Response	Action
Council is not deeply involved in the strategic plan update/review process. Council approves the final document however Council is not involved in reviewing or developing the plan.	Council should get more involved with strategic plan review. It is suggested that Council conduct a planning session with newly elected Council to discuss individual ward priorities and align those with the City-wide priorities.
What is the definition of a regular update?	Yearly status reports provided.

Are local citizens and potential community partners involved in a substantial way in the establishment of municipal priorities?

Response	Action
Challenges with getting community	Expand public engagement efforts on
involvement in any substantial way.	receiving community input. To be discussed at
	a future meeting when dealing with Chapter 5.

Is the municipality actively involved in a program of risk management, including preparation, prevention and protection?

Response	Action
City staff, including those from Risk management, Emergency Management Office and Corporate Services, are well prepared and trained to assess and limit risk to the City.	Risk prevention strategies appear to be effective.
Audit Committee reviews any potential risk to the City.	2018 budget to include emergency/disaster relief funding.
The City of Mississauga works collaboratively with other levels of Government to review any type of risk towards the city.	NA

Are capital expenditures adequate to maintain and replace the local infrastructure, and to continue the viability of the municipality?

Response	Action
Limited budget to repair or replace infrastructure. Will continue to be a demand on the City's budget.	Departments report on funds allocated to aging infrastructure.
Capital expenditures amounts are being spent but are the amounts enough?	No, funding from other levels of gov't is insufficient. City owns 66% of the infrastructure yet only receives 10% tax dollars. Council should lobby other levels of gov't to get more funding. Systemic underfunding from other levels of government. City does a thorough job of allocating the tax dollars received and are transparent in the process through the budget project.
City has taken increased steps to evaluate and	NA
plan for asset management repairs.	

Chapter 3 – Align Organization with Priorities

Is there consistency among any overall priorities that are established, the land use plan of the municipality, its long-term capital forecast, its annual capital and operating budgets, and the actual services and service levels provided each year?

Response	Action
When the City of Mississauga is in control of the priority than there is consistency. When other levels of Government are involved we have no control over the actual service levels.	City should lobby other levels of government for more appropriate funding and partnership opportunities.
An example is the LRT where the impact on the operating budget is not known.	Need to build business plans/cases to demonstrate to other levels of government the need for additional funding. This is done on a projects specific basis. The municipal sector

City must be prepared to address any changes and be nimble to reprioritize. Action: Build resiliency and adaptation into the system to respond.
 Focus of business case is what it actually costs to accommodate the density proposed by the province.
Action: Need better advocacy for an allocation funding model. Operating and replacement costs do not seem to be understood by other levels of government
City has unfunded projects and state of good repair that are unfunded and have not identified the funding.
is making the argument that federal money and provincial money should have funding allocated based on a reliable basis to better plan due to consistency, similar to gas tax model.

Is the municipality undertaking comprehensive HR planning, including succession planning, staff appraisals and professional development of existing personnel?

Response	Action
Yes – the City does an excellent job.	Metrics are reported through the Budget process annually including details regarding: recruitment, lost time reporting, succession planning, demographics of workforce, turnover.

Do the municipality's professional development activities extend to members of council as well as staff?

Response	Action
Members of Council have the opportunity to enroll in any professional development course that aligns with the expense policy.	Clerk's Office to ensure Members of Council are aware and publish a list of opportunities that are available throughout the year for the Councillor's professional development, similar to the information provided by the Region.
Members of Council have the opportunity to enroll in any training that is offered to staff.	Look at opportunities such as that offered by Trillium Health's "back to school" program where an in-house conference is offered to Council.

Do the procedure by-law rules and the format of the agenda and of staff reports all help to streamline council deliberations and focus them on matters that are most important, particularly those related to the municipalities strategic priorities?

Response	Action
The City has recently made improvements to	Continue to identify opportunities for
the procedure by-law, with changes such as	improvement through the Governance
recorded votes and consent agendas.	Committee.
Format of agenda and corporate reports to be more streamlined.	Corporate reports should include section of where the report highlights: how the report's recommendations align with the City's priorities: any risk considerations. Use the report example provided in the Tindal book.
Agendas still need to be printed for Members of Council.	Continue printing agendas for Council.

Is the internal organization of Council and staff effective and supportive of the municipality's priorities?

Response	Action
Yes, many examples where the internal organization has changed and evolved over time to align with changing priorities.	NA
The City does not want an Executive Committee.	NA

Chapter 4 – Measure Results – Reward Performance

Is there a strong commitment, on the part of council and senior management, to the measurement of performance?

Response	Action
The City will be conducting a maturity	City has gold standards in some areas and will
assessment in 2017 of performance	continue to identify the other areas in efforts to
measures. There are areas difficult to	bring those areas up to the same standards.
measure, which have smaller systems that	
have not been updated and data is not	Focus around new technology in all
available; administrative processes fall into	technology plans as systems are being
that category.	updated and improved to ensure measures
	are understood, and, what data is required.
The City's Budget Book is the primary	NA
document through which performance metrics	
are reported out. Corporate Reports may also	
contain metrics.	
Council drive metrics in most cases. Where	NA
there are no metrics prepared, a review maybe	
required. 90% of metrics are developed for	
management and reporting out on	
accountability.	

The City benchmarks against other	Benchmarking is undertaken regularly.
municipalities.	

Is good performance recognized, reinforced and celebrated?

Response	Action
Council is very supportive of events to recognize staff. Good performance is recognized, reinforced and celebrated through Corporate Awards program. Recipients are acknowledged at Council with a presentation of their award. There is a culture of extending appreciation.	NA

Is performance measurement carried out in an environment of open and frank debate, with an emphasis on continuous improvement and learning from mistakes, and not in an atmosphere of fear or blame?

Response	Action
The City conducts Employee Engagement	Employee Engagement Survey refresher in
Survey's every two years.	2018
Employee Performance Reviews conducted	NA
annually across the Corporation. Budgets are	
set for performance increases. Each	
Department is given a budget allocation for	
performance increases; distribution of tier	
quotas; staff can move within the tiers but not	
outside of them. The Leadership Team	
receives reports from HR on the average cost	
of increase. Staff can request a review of their	
performance review to the Director,	
Commissioner up to the City Manager level.	· · · · ·

Does the municipality have effective processes for linking priorities, actions and results?

Response	Action
The City does have effective processes for	NA
linking priorities, actions and results.	
There are annual agreements for Non-Union staff, which highlights core competencies and key results. Focus on objectives and	NA
successes.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ensure that Corporate Reports speak to	Continue to highlight in Corporate Reports

4.1 5

strategic plan, initiatives and recommendations supports that strategic	
priority	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Is there a regular and systematic review of municipal service delivery, with the objective of improving processes and service to the public?

Response	Action
There is a regular and systematic review of	The City has a Corporate Performance and
municipal service delivery, with the objective of	Innovations group to assist management with
improving processes and service to the public	studies.
	The City is using a lean methodology in
	reviewing day to day operations.