6. DEPUTATIONS

6.7 Sidewalk - East side of Alexandra Avenue

Daniel Rodrigues, Ward 1 resident will be requesting that the sidewalk be placed back on the east side of Alexandra Avenue.

Petition 11.2

9. PRESENTATION OF COMMITTEE REPORTS


Motion

12. CORRESPONDENCE

12.1 Information Items

12.1.3 A letter dated June 20, 2016, from the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board informing City Council that at their Board Meeting on May 24, 2016 they approved to close St. Dunstan and St. Gertrude schools effective June 2017.

Receive for information
12.1.4 A letter dated June 30, 2016, from Hazel McCallion expressing her concerns with Transportation Network Companies in Mississauga.

Receive for information

12.1.5 A letter dated July 4, 2016, from WeirFoulds LLP, expressing concerns regarding the holding “H” symbol should be applied to all of the Subject Lands and not a simply portion.

By-laws 15.7 and 15.8
Receive for information

12.1.6 A letter dated June 22, 2016, from the City of Brampton provided their Resolution regarding their decision on the Regional Governance Review Task Force Report.

Receive for information

14. MOTIONS

14.1. To approve recommendations from the following Committee Report:


14.12. To request a special occasion permit for the Mississauga Serbian Food Fest on September 3, 2016 from 12:00 pm to 1:00 am and on September 4, 2016 from 12:00 pm to 10:00 pm in the parking lot of their church property located at 2520 Dixie Road, L4Y 2A5.

Information Item 12.1.1

14.13. To request a liquor license extension to host a telecast of the Tragically Hip’s very last concert on August 20, 2016 from 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. at 71 Lakeshore Road East, L5G 1C9.

Information Item 12.1.2
15. **INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BY-LAWS**


GC-0465-2016/June 29, 2016

18. **CLOSED SESSION**

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, Section 239(2)

18.5 Litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board re: Verbal Update - 3600, 3606 & 3618 Hurontario Street and 0 Enfield Place, File HOZ-136, SP-14-20, SP-09-27, OMB Case No: PL160192, OMB File No: 160146 & 160147 for the Conservatory Group (Ward 7).

18.6 Litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipal or local board re: Verbal Update - 3528 - 3536 Hurontario Street OZ 13/022 W7 for Solmar Inc. (Ward 7).

18.7 Labour relations or employee negotiations re: Memorandum of Settlement between the City of Mississauga and Canadian Union of Public Employees Local 66.

18.8 Labour relations or employee negotiations re: Verbal Update – Library Negotiations
REPORT 4-2016

To: MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

The Environmental Action Committee presents its fourth report for 2016 and recommends:

EAC-0024-2016
That the deputation by The Riverwood Conservancy with respect to programming and partnerships at the Riverwood Conservancy, be received for information.
(EAC-0024-2016)

EAC-0026-2016
That the verbal update by Andrea J. Mcleod, Environmental Specialist with respect to the 2016 Earth Markets, be received for information.
(EAC-0026-2016)

EAC-0025-2016
1. That the deputation and associated PowerPoint presentation by Daniela Paraschiv, Manager, Energy Management with respect to the City Wide Energy Audit Results, be received for information;
2. That the Corporate Report titled “City Wide Energy Audit Results” dated June 24, 2016 be received for information;
3. That the City will endorse the study to satisfy the financial grant agreement between the City and Federation of Canadian Municipalities.
(EAC-0025-2016)

EAC-0027-2016
That the EAC Work Plan 2015-2018, be approved.
(EAC-0027-2016)

EAC-0028-2016
That the email dated May 24, 2016 from Dave Beaton, EAC Community Group Member with respect to his resignation at Credit Valley Conservation Authority and the Environmental Action Committee, be received for information.
(EAC-0028-2016)

EAC-0029-2016
That the email dated June 2, 2016 from Melanie Kramer, Credit Valley Conservation Authority with respect to her appointment by the agency to the Environmental Action Committee, be received for information.
(EAC-0029-2016)

EAC-0030-2016
That the verbal update by Charles Cooper, Citizen Member with respect to the Provincial EAC Symposium, be received for information.
(EAC-0030-2016)
EAC-0031-2016
That the EAC Environmental Actions Summary updated for the July 5, 2016 meeting of the Environmental Action Committee, be received for information.
(EAC-0031-2016)
June 20, 2016

Crystal Greer
Director, Legislative Services & Clerk
City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive, 3rd Floor
Mississauga, ON L5B 3C1

Dear Crystal Greer:

RE: DUFFERIN-PEEL CATHOLIC DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD
NOTICE OF DECISION OF A PUPIL ACCOMMODATION REVIEW

I am writing to inform you that the following motion, regarding the East Credit Mississauga Pupil Accommodation Review, was approved by the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board of Trustees at their regular Board Meeting on May 24, 2016.

"That the board of trustees approve the closure of St. Dunstan and St. Gertrude schools effective June 2017. That St. Dunstan students residing north of Eglinton Avenue be directed to St. Herbert; that St. Dunstan students residing south of Eglinton Avenue be directed to St. Bernadette; that St. Gertrude regular track students be directed to St. Valentine and that St. Gertrude French Immersion students be directed to St. Gregory"

Copies of all reports, correspondence, presentations and other related materials generated throughout this pupil accommodation review process are posted on the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board website at www.dpcdsb.org.

Sincerely,

Marianne Mazzorato
Director of Education

Copies: Trustees
Executive Council
D. Del Bianco, Superintendent of Planning and Operations
THE MAYOR & MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, CITY OF MISSISSAUGA,

June 30th, 2016

Since June, 1974, the City of Mississauga has worked diligently alongside the taxi industry, via the Public Vehicle Advisory Committee, to ensure a balance between prompt, professional service to the people of Mississauga and an opportunity for cabbies to earn a reasonable living. It is fair to suggest we developed a for-hire ground transportation regulatory framework that became the envy of the Greater Toronto Area and beyond.

When I reflect upon all the work done over the years by members of Council; by Staff; by citizen representatives; and by elected members of the taxi industry - work aimed at ensuring that the public was well-served, and, at the same time, that Mississauga's cabbies were regulated - I am appalled by Uber's disregard of the laws of the City and ignoring the unanimous decision of the Council.

Uber's presence not only has seriously jeopardized the livelihoods, pensions and plate values of members of the taxi industry, but also has undermined our considerable efforts over the years to regulate the Taxi industry and to protect the users - the public. I was pleased to see Council adopt the Caption Option, and incorporating the Caption Option in the Public Vehicle Licensing By-law, seeing as, at the end of the day, Uber, and other so-called transportation network companies, are in fact taxi services. The Municipality has the right to regulate them.

Members of Mississauga's taxi industry are owed not only our gratitude for their efforts over the years, but also our support and consideration. After all, they have played by the rules we set down and if they didn't, the City took action. I was surprised that Council agreed to give Uber a voting seat on the Pilot Project Committee, since Uber is operating illegally in the City, and ignoring the by-laws of the City. I hope that other business and services will not follow Uber's disregard for the law.

If the Council decides that the taxi industry present regulations need to be reviewed and amended, then Uber should operate under the same revised regulations.

Mississauga prides itself on setting the pace when it comes to regulating taxis and ground transportation, in general. Other Municipalities including Toronto has looked to us for leadership in regards to the taxi industry.

I am also very surprised that the Federal and Provincial governments have not dealt with the Uber situation, because of the GST Regulations, Income Tax Regulations and the Highway Traffic Act etc, since I have already brought it to their attention.

I wish you well in the efforts to solve this challenge, that all Municipalities are facing and hope that an understanding and agreement can be developed to ensure that the City by-laws are being followed and that the public can get the service it undoubtedly deserves.

Yours Sincerely,

Hazel McCallion C.M., LL.D. B.A.Sc.
July 4, 2016

VIA E-MAIL

Mayor and Members of Council
City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, ON L5B 3C1

Dear Madam Mayor and Members of Council:

Re: File: OZ 13/022 W7 – Solmar Inc.
26-64 Elm Drive and 3528-3536 Hurontario Street
Agenda Items 15.7 and 15.8 July 6, 2016 Council Meeting

We represent 1077022 Ontario Inc., the owner of the property located at 3518 Hurontario Street, which is adjacent to the Solmar Inc. lands that are the subject of application OZ 13/022 ("Subject Lands"). We understand Council will be considering a draft by-law in relation to the Subject Lands at its meeting scheduled for July 6, 2016. On behalf of our client, we submit the following comments for Council’s consideration.

Our client expects to redevelop its lands in due course, together with the adjacent lands at 3514 Hurontario Street. It wants to ensure that the development of the Subject Lands does not prejudice the future development of its lands.

This matter was considered by the Planning and Development Committee on June 22, 2015. We provided a letter dated June 19, 2015 to the Committee setting out our client’s concerns with the proposal relating to access and separation distances. A copy of that letter is attached. Our client’s concerns have in large part been addressed in the draft by-law before Council, subject to our comments below.

Access Issues

As we noted in our June 19, 2015 letter, the City’s Official Plan policies suggest that any future redevelopment of 3514 and 3518 Hurontario Street would not have full vehicular access onto Hurontario Street. Accordingly, in anticipation of the redevelopment of our client’s lands, we had asked that a condition of approval of the subject application should be imposed to ensure above and below ground vehicular access to and from Elm Drive West for the noted adjacent properties.
The draft by-law proposes to apply a holding symbol to a portion of the Subject Lands and states as follows with respect to lifting the hold.

The holding symbol "H" is to be removed...upon satisfaction of the following requirements:

(1) Confirmation from the owner that satisfactory arrangements have been made with respect to the coordination of development of Buildable Areas "C1" and "C2" with the abutting landowners at 3518 Hurontario Street and 3514 Hurontario Street, including providing access to these properties through the subject lands;

Our client's position is that the proposed condition for lifting the holding symbol is not sufficiently specific and clear to address the issue of access to our client's lands. In addition, the "H" should be applied to all of the Subject Lands and not simply a portion, since access will be required over the entire Subject Lands and not just areas C1 and C2.

Accordingly, we propose the following language:

The holding symbol "H" is to be removed...upon satisfaction of the following requirements:

(1) Confirmation from the owner that satisfactory arrangements have been made with respect to the coordination of development of Buildable Areas "A", "B", "C1" and "C2" with the abutting landowners at 3518 Hurontario Street and 3514 Hurontario Street, including providing vehicular and pedestrian access between Elm Drive West and Kariya Drive to these properties through the subject lands;

Separation Distances

In our June 19, 2015 letter, we raised concerns that the proposal, if approved, would have compromised our client's ability to provide a 25-metre separation distance between the point tower proposed for the third phase of the subject application and the point tower contemplated for our client's intended development.

The draft by-law imposes a 12.5 setback from the south boundary of the Subject Lands to Buildable Area C1 as shown on the attached Schedule RA5-46, and a 25 metre tower separation distance between towers on the Subject Lands. The draft by-law therefore addresses our client's concerns regarding separation distances as set out in our June 19, 2015 letter. Should Council decide to reduce the setback to the south property line or the tower separation distances, or to adjust the locations of the Buildable Area envelopes, our client's earlier concerns would be re-engaged.
Thank you for your consideration of these submissions.

Yours truly,

WeirFoulds LLP

Kim Mullin

KAM/jnb
Attachments

9300412.3
June 19, 2015

VI A E-MAIL

City of Mississauga
Planning and Development Committee
Civic Centre
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, ON L5B 3C1

Attention: Chair and Members of Committee

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: File: OZ 13/022 W7 – Solmar Inc.
Recommendation Report June 2, 2015
26-64 Elm Street and 3528-3536 Hurontario Street

We represent 1077022 Ontario Inc., the owner of the property located at 3518 Hurontario Street, which is adjacent to the Solmar Inc. lands that are the subject of application OZ 13/022. We understand that the Committee intends to consider application OZ 13/022 at its meeting scheduled for June 22, 2015. On behalf of our client, we submit the following comments for Council’s consideration.

Our client expects to redevelop its lands in due course, together with the adjacent lands at 3514 Hurontario Street. It wants to ensure that the development of the Solmar site does not prejudice the future development of its lands. Our client’s preliminary concept plans are attached to this letter for your information.

Access Issues

Our client has concerns about the proposed condition for lifting the “H” Holding Symbol from the proposed “Phase 3” development contained in the staff “Recommendation Report” dated June 2, 2015. The proposed condition reads:

The “H” Holding Symbol is to be lifted subject to the following conditions:

Satisfactory arrangements have been made between the applicant, the City and the abutting land owners of 3514 and 3518 Hurontario Street in order to develop a concept plan for all their lands; ...
The general statement requiring satisfactory arrangements to develop a concept plan for lands located at 3514 and 3518 Hurontario Street does not adequately address or identify the central issue of vehicular access to the properties.

The Mississauga Official Plan identifies Hurontario Street as an arterial road and corridor that is intended to move large volumes of traffic and which requires controlled access. In addition, an objective of the City is to consolidate vehicular entrances to support the creation of continuous building frontages with entrances facing public streets and oriented to pedestrians. To meet the traffic and design objectives of the City, vehicular access to Hurontario Street should be avoided. The City’s policies therefore suggest that any future redevelopment of 3514 and 3518 Hurontario Street would not have full vehicular access onto Hurontario Street.

Accordingly, in anticipation of the redevelopment of our client’s lands and in order to implement the City’s objectives, a condition of approval of the subject application should be imposed to ensure above and below ground vehicular access to and from Elm Drive West for the noted adjacent properties.

**Separation Distances**

Through the development of standards for tall buildings, the City has recognized the importance of tower separation to ensure privacy, daylight and access to sky views. The City typically requires a separation distance of at least 25 metres between point towers. Our client’s concept plan for its development contemplates a point tower, and there is a point tower located on the property to the south of 3514 Hurontario. If Phase 3 of the applicant’s development is approved as proposed, it will compromise our client’s ability to provide a 25-metre separation distance in order to ensure privacy, daylight and access to sky views for the residents of its proposed development.

We submit that it would not be good planning for the City to approve development on the applicant’s site that will create adverse development conditions for our client’s property. A condition of approval of the application should therefore be imposed to ensure that there is compatibility between the built form of the applicant’s development and our client’s proposed development.

**Conclusion**

In order to address the concerns raised above, our client requests that the staff recommendations be amended to read:
The "H" Holding Symbol is to be lifted subject to the following conditions:

Satisfactory arrangements have been made between the applicant, the City and the abutting land owners of 3514 and 3518 Hurontario Street in order to develop a concept plan for all their lands which ensures compatibility between the applicant's development and the proposed development on the abutting lands, and which ensures vehicular access to and from Elm Drive West for the abutting landowners; ...

Our client also asks that the "H" Holding Symbol be applied to the entire Solmar site and not just the Phase 3 lands.

Thank you for your consideration of these submissions.

Yours truly,

WeirFoulds LLP

Kim Mullin

Attachments

8235782.1
The Council of The Corporation of the City of Brampton passed the following resolutions at its meeting of June 22, 2016:

WHEREAS the Council of The Corporation of the City of Brampton has consistently advocated for fair and equitable representation for the City of Brampton at the Region of Peel Council;

WHEREAS the Region is responsible for major arterial roads, sewers, water, policing and a whole host of services which are cost shared between the three municipalities;

WHEREAS the principles for the Mayor and Acting CAO participating in Governance Review Task was that a status quo model was unacceptable as an option and the City of Brampton is currently under-represented at Regional Council;

WHEREAS the task force acknowledged that Brampton is under-represented at Regional Council and that any change to the size and composition of Regional Council should address this imbalance.

WHEREAS representation by population is an established and enshrine principle of our democracy;

WHEREAS the Task Force report sets out four (4) options for the size and composition of Regional Council.

WHEREAS the Council of The Corporation of the City of Brampton remains committed to effective, fair and equitable regional representation;
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

1. The Council of Corporation of the City of Brampton recommends Regional Council adopt the Regional Chair’s report recommendation based on the Regional Council size and composition Option 2 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Municipality</th>
<th>Seats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brampton</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caledon</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississauga</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>32</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. AND FURTHER THAT a copy of this Resolution be forwarded to the Region of Peel Council for consideration at its meeting on June 23, 2016, the City of Mississauga and Town of Caledon for their information, as well as the Premier of Ontario, Minister of Municipal Affairs and all Brampton Members of Provincial Parliament.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Peter Fay
City Clerk, Corporate Services
Tel: 905-874-2172
peter.fay@brampton.ca

cc: The Honourable Kathleen Wynne, Premier of Ontario
The Honourable Bill Mauro, Minister of Municipal Affairs
Brampton Area MPPs:
   Vic Dhillon, MPP, Brampton West
   Harinder Malhi, MPP, Brampton-Springdale
   Amrit Mangat, MPP, Mississauga-Brampton South
   Jagmeet Singh, MPP, Bramalea-Gore-Malton
Crystal Greer, City Clerk, City of Mississauga
Carey deGorter, Town Clerk, Town of Caledon
City of Brampton:
   Mayor and Members of Council
   Harry Schlange, Chief Administrative Officer