

NYX Capital Corp.

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BR EF

51-57 Tannery Street and 208 Emby Drive City of Mississauga

June 2019 18038



Disclaimer

This Report represents the work of LEA Consulting Ltd ("LEA"). This Report may not be relied upon for detailed implementation or any other purpose not specifically identified within this Report. This Document is confidential and prepared solely for the use of NYX Capital Corp. Neither LEA, its sub-consultants nor their respective employees assume any liability for any reason, including, but not limited to, negligence, to any party other than NYX Capital Corp. for any information or representation herein.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1		INTRODUCTION						
	1.1	Scope of the SWM and Servicing Report	1					
	1.2	Site Location	Site Location					
	1.3	Stormwater Management Plan Objectives1						
	1.4	SWM Design Criteria – Credit Valley Conservation Authority	SWM Design Criteria – Credit Valley Conservation Authority					
2		EXISTING CONDITIONS	2					
	2.1	General	2					
	2.2	Rainfall Information	2					
	2.3	Peak Flow Rates under Existing Condition	3					
3		POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS	3					
	3.1	General	3					
	3.2	Peak Flow Rates under Proposed Condition	4					
	3.3	allowable flow rate	5					
	3.4	Impact on Water Environment	5					
4		PROPOSED SWM PLAN – SUB-CATCHMENT C1	6					
	4.1	Water Balance Requirement	6					
	4.2	Water Quantity Control Requirement	6					
	4.3	Water Quality Control Requirement	7					
	4.4	Erosion and Sediment Control during Construction	8					
5		PROPOSED storm sewer plan – SUB-CATCHMENT C2	8					
6		SITE SERVICING	9					
	6.2	Existing Municipal Services1	0					
	6.3	Proposed Municipal Services On Emby Drive Extension1	0					
	6.4	Proposed Site Service Connections1	0					
	6.5	Adequacy of Existing Municipal Services1	1					
	6	.5.1 Adequacy of Existing Storm and Sanitary Sewers1	1					
	6	.5.2 Adequacy of Existing Watermain1	1					
7		CONCLUSIONS 1	2					



LIST OF TABLES

ABLE 1: PRE-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	2
ABLE 2: RAINFALL PARAMETERS	3
ABLE 3: PRE-DEVELOPMENT PEAK FLOW RATES (L/s)	3
ABLE 4: RUNOFF COEFFICIENT ADJACMENT FACTORS	4
ABLE 5: POST-DEVELOPMENT PEAK FLOW RATES (L/s)	5
ABLE 6: KEY HYDROLOGIC PARAMETERS	5
ABLE 7: REQUIRED ON-SITE STORAGE VOLUMES (m ³)	6
ABLE 8: TTS REMOVAL ASSESSMENT SUB-CATCHMENT OC1	7
ABLE 9: EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES	8
ABLE 10: SITE SERVICING REQUIREMENT 1	0

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	STORMWATER PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE CALCULATION- SUB-CATCHMENT C1
APPENDIX B	STORMWATER PEAK FLOW CALCULATION- SUB-CATCHMENT C2
APPENDIX C	REGIONAL FLOW CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX D	STORM SEWERS CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX E	OVERLAND FLOW CALCULATIONS FOR EMBY DRIVE EXTENSION
APPENDIX F	SANITARY AND WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX G	SINGLE-USE DEMAND TABLE, HYDRANT FLOW TEST DATA AND WATERMAIN ADEQUACY ASSESSMENT
APPENDIX H	FIGURES AND DRAWING



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 SCOPE OF THE SWM AND SERVICING REPORT

LEA Consulting Ltd has been retained by NYX Capital Corp. to prepare a Servicing and Stormwater Management Report for a residential development project at 51-57 Tannery Street and 208 Emby Drive in City of Mississauga. This stormwater management and servicing report shall:

- " Examine the potential water quality and quantity impacts of the proposed townhouses and summarize how each will be addressed in accordance with the City of Mississauga and Credit Valley Conservation (CVC) stormwater management requirements.
- " Review the existing water supply, storm and sanitary services, and propose a site servicing plan.

1.2 SITE LOCATION

The proposed development site is located at the southeast quadrant of Tannery Street and Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR) and bounded by Tannery Street to the north, Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR) to the east, existing industrial building to the south and Mullet Creek to the west, contributory to Credit River watershed (or subwatershed #4, Mullet Creek) under the jurisdiction of Credit Valley Conservation (CVC). Site access is via Tannery Street or Emby Drive.

The site is approximately 1.8 ha in area in total.

1.3 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN OBJECTIVES

The objective of the stormwater management plan is to review the stormwater environment impact by the proposed residential development and address the City's requirements for stormwater quantity control and quality control as required.

1.4 SWM DESIGN CRITERIA - CREDIT VALLEY CONSERVATION AUTHORITY

Credit Valley Conservation Authority (CVC), in partnership with the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, has issued the Storm Water Management Criteria (August 2012) to provide direction on how to manage rainfall and runoff inside CVC's jurisdiction. A summary of the storm water management criteria applied for this project, is provided below:

- " Storm Water Quality Control: Mullet Creek is classified as requiring an Enhanced level of protection (80% TSS removal) by CVC quality control criteria.
- " Water Quantity Control: post development to pre-development control for all storm events from 2-year to 100-year and Regional storm is required by CVC within Mullet Creek Sub-watershed. Flows into the City's storm sewers must be controlled to the existing conditions 10-year storm event.
- " Water Balance Control Maintain pre-development groundwater recharge rates and appropriate distribution ensuring the protection of related hydrologic and ecologic functions.
- " Erosion Control On-site detention of 5mm within Mullet Creek sub-watershed.



2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

2.1 GENERAL

The site is currently occupied by four single family houses, two industrial buildings, 0.50 ha of green spaces and 0.56 ha of paved parking areas.

Under existing condition, majority area of the site generally slopes from the east (CPR) to the west (Mullet Creek). During rainfall events, runoff drains by overland flow westerly within the development site, and outlets to the Mullet Creek. Meanwhile, flow from the southeast area of the site drains southerly towards the existing Emby Drive.

Part of the land on the property is to be conveyed to the City for road widening at Tannery Street, and to the CVC at the west side (Mullet Creek) for environmental lands. The total drainage area after the conveyed land is1.4 ha.

For purpose of SWM analysis, the development site is divided into two sub-catchments based on postdevelopment scheme, i.e.

Sub-Catchment C1 (Development Lands): Consists of proposed townhouses blocks, fire routes, in-site walkways and soft landscape areas.

Sub-Catchment C2 (Emby Drive Extension): Consists of the extension of Emby Drive from Tannery Street to the south property line of the site

The area and composite runoff coefficients of two sub-catchments are listed in Table 1. Detailed calculations are provided in Appendix A, B and C.

Sub-catc	hment No	Catchment Description	Catchment Area (ha)	Runoff Coefficient
(21	Prop. Residential Development	1.060	0.56
(C2	Prop. Extension of Emby Dr.	0.331	0.66

TABLE 1: PRE-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF COEFFICIENT

Furthermore, both minor and major flows from Pearl Street and Broadway Street (sub-catchment EC1), and part of CPR right-of-way (sub-catchment EC2) east of the site, discharge to the existing ditches along the railway and drain westerly across the track and subject site through 825mm CSP culverts, and finally outlet to Mullet Creek. The total drainage area is approximately 3.18 ha.

Based on our review of the topographic survey and site observation, there is no on-site stormwater management facility under existing condition.

Figure 1 in Appendix H illustrates the existing storm drainage condition.

2.2 RAINFALL INFORMATION

The rainfall intensity for the site was calculated using the following equation:

$I = A / (T_c + B)^{0.78}$

Where; I = rainfall intensity in mm/hr,

 T_c = time of concentration in minutes,

A, B = constant parameters (see below)

The parameters (A and B) recommended for use in the City of Mississauga are defined in City Standard Drawing No. 2111.010 and are summarized in Table 2.

TABLE 2: RAINFALL PARAMETERS

Return Period (Year)	2yr	5yr	10yr	25yr	50yr	100yr
А	610	820	1010	1160	1300	1450
В	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.9

An initial time of concentration, TC, of 15 minutes is recommended in the City's Development Requirements Manual.

2.3 PEAK FLOW RATES UNDER EXISTING CONDITION

Based on the existing site condition and rainfall parameters, the Rational Method is adopted to calculate peak flows at different design storm events up to 100-yr storm.

As required by CVC, the Regional Flood flow shall be considered in Stormwater quantity control. A 24-hour SCS type II distribution is modeled utilizing the Visual Otthymo V5.0 program to calculate the Regional flow for each sub-catchment.

The calculated peak flow rates for the two sub-catchments under pre-development condition are summarized below in Table 3. Detailed calculations are provided in Appendices A, B and C.

Sub established No.	Sub Catabrant	Return Period (Year)				
Sub-catchinent No	Sub-Catchinent	2yr	10yr	100yr	Regional	
C1	Prop. Residential Development	99.07	164.04	232.73	149.0	
C2	Prop. Extension of Emby Dr.	33.44	55.38	78.56	53.0	

TABLE 3: PRE-DEVELOPMENT PEAK FLOW RATES (L/s)

3 POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

3.1 GENERAL

The proposed development consists of 155 new condominium in seven blocks with underground parking, and a proposed extension on Emby Drive between Tannery Street and southern property lint of the site. It is



understood that Emby Drive Extension will be a municipal road. The proposed storm drainage pattern is designed as follows:

Sub-Catchment C1 (Development Lands): Rainfall runoff from the proposed residential development will be captured by roof drains, area drains and catch basins and conveyed through storm sewers to the proposed concrete storm tank. The storm runoff collected from roof drains and area drains will be conveyed through the internal storm piping within the underground parking. A 200 m³ storm tank is designed at the southeast corner of the development area at underground parking level. The controlled flow from storage tank will be discharged to the proposed storm sewer on the Emby Drive.

Sub-Catchment C2 (Emby Drive Extension): Rainfall runoff from the proposed extension of Emby Drive will be collected by the proposed storm system on the Emby Drive and outlet to the municipal storm sewer on Thomas Street.

The construction of Emby Drive extension and proposed development will block the drainage outlet of Broadway Street and railway to the Mullet Creek. Therefore, all stormwater will be diverted to the new storm sewers under Emby Drive.

Refer to Figure 2 in Appendix F for proposed storm drainage condition.

The overland flow from proposed residential development and Emby Drive extension, will discharge onto existing Emby Drive and outlets to the Thomas Street and Mullet Creek, as shown on Dwg. C100–Site Grading Plan in Appendix H.

3.2 PEAK FLOW RATES UNDER PROPOSED CONDITION

Based on the proposed site condition and rainfall parameters, the Rational Method is adopted to calculate peak flows for 2-yr to 100-yr design storm events. The Regional Flood flow is calculated based on the 24-hour SCS type II distribution using the Visual Otthymo V5.0 program.

Regarding to the Section 2 of the City of Mississauga Development Manual, September 2016, runoff coefficient adjustment factors should be considered for saturated soil conditions during larger, less frequent storm events. The runoff coefficient adjustment factors are presented below in Table 4.

Return Period (Year)	Adjustment Factor
10yr	1.00
25yr	1.10
50yr	1.20
100yr	1.25

TABLE 4: RUNOFF COEFFICIENT ADJACMENT FACTORS

Since under post development condition, it is not feasible to implement discharge control for front or back of the proposed buildings, the discharge from proposed residential development will be overcontrolled to satisfy the City's discharge control criteria.



The calculated peak flow rates for the four sub-catchment C1 and C2 under post-development condition are summarized below in Table 5. Detailed calculations are provided in Appendices A, B and C.

TABLE 5: POST-DEVELOPMENT PEAK FLOW RATES (L/s)

Cul	a actobrant Na	Sub Catabrant	Return Period (Year)			
Sub-catchment No		Sub-Calchment	2yr	10yr	100yr	Regional
01	OC1		97.94	162.17	287.59	123.0
	UC1, UC2 & UC3	Prop. Residential Development	10.91	18.06	32.04	28.0
C2		Prop. Extension of Emby Dr.	38.83	64.29	114.01	55.0

3.3 ALLOWABLE FLOW RATE

As mentioned in section 1.4, the proposed site is located within Mullet Creek sub-watershed and required to control post development flow to pre-development level for 2yr to 100yr and the regional storm events based on the CVC stormwater management Criteria, 2012. Since the stormwater from residential development will be discharged to the municipal storm sewers under post development condition, the allowable flow rate from the proposed development will be limited to the 10-year pre-development flow according to the City's storm sewers design criteria.

Furthermore, under post development condition, it is not feasible to implement discharge control for the front areas of building A, B, C and D along the Tannery Street and Emby Drive Extension (sub-catchment UC1 and UC2) and back areas of the buildings E, F and G along the Mullet Creek (sub-catchment UC3). Therefore, the discharge from proposed residential development (sub-catchment OC1) will be overcontrolled to satisfy the City's discharge control criteria.

As a result, the allowable flow rate from proposed residential development or sub-catchment OC1 is estimated 131.63 l/s.

3.4 IMPACT ON WATER ENVIRONMENT

Based on the review and analysis for existing and proposed site conditions, Table 6 summarizes the key hydrologic parameters of the site under proposed condition.

Cub Catabasant Araa	Imperviousness (%)		Runoff Coefficient		100-year Peak Flow Rate (L/s)	
Sub-Catchment Area	Pre-Dev	Post-Dev	Pre-Dev	Post-Dev	Pre-Dev	Post-Dev
C1-Prop. Residential Development	35.1	51.1	0.56	0.66	232.73	324.16*
C2-Prop. Extension of Emby Dr.	34.0	78.6	0.54	0.69	78.56	126.67**

TABLE 6: KEY HYDROLOGIC PARAMETERS

*The calculated 100yr will be 259.3 I/s without considering the runoff coefficient adjustment factor.

**The calculated 100yr will be 101.3 l/s without considering the runoff coefficient adjustment factor.





As shown in the Table 6 The imperviousness and runoff coefficient will be increase under post development conditions. Therefore, mitigation measures will be required for sub-catchment C1 in accordance with the CVC's design criteria.

Provided that future Emby Drive extension will be a typical linear development with limited right-of-way, there is no space for stormwater quality and quantity control measures, therefore, there will no SWM measures implemented within the right-of-way of Emby Drive Extension.

4 PROPOSED SWM PLAN – SUB-CATCHMENT C1

4.1 WATER BALANCE REQUIREMENT

Based on the water balance requirement, the first 5mm of runoff shall be retained on-site and managed by way of infiltration, evapotranspiration or re-use. To satisfy the water balance criteria, an on-site storage volume of approximate 34.7 m³ is required for sub-catchment C1. Refer to Appendix A for calculations.

A large landscape area and permeable pavements for fire routes and internal walkways are proposed to achieve the water balance requirements. The other potential method to address the water balance criteria is to reuse the retained Stormwater for Irrigation of trees and plants on the property.

The exact application and consumption rate will be determined at the next design stage in consultation with project design team landscape designer and mechanical engineer. Based on the past project experiences, irrigation water alone is anticipated to satisfy the water balance requirement.

4.2 WATER QUANTITY CONTROL REQUIREMENT

According to the CVC's stormwater quantity control criteria – the post-development to pre-development peak flow control for all storms up to 100-yr and Regional storm should be provided.

As mentioned in section 3.3, since the stormwater from residential development will be discharged to the municipal storm sewers under post development condition, all flows rate from the proposed development will be controlled to the 10-year pre-development flow according to the City's storm sewers design criteria.

Based on the post-development conditions, the required on-site stormwater storage volume for different design storm events are calculated as shown in Appendix A and summarized in Table 7 below.

TABLE 7: REQUIRED ON-SITE STORAGE VOLUMES (m³)

Sub-Catchment No.	Sub-Catchment	2yr	10yr	100yr
C1	Prop. Condominium Area	0.0	27.15	140.03

Based on the proposed site condition for sub-catchment C1, a stormwater storage tank, located in the southeast corner of the underground parking is proposed and provide a total storage volume of 220 m³ for water balance and water quantity control. Refer to Dwg. C101–Site Servicing Plan in Appendix H for the tank location.





Since the invert of the tank is lower than the invert of the proposed storm sewer in the Emby Drive Extension, pumping system will be required to discharge flow. Related pump and orifice control device will be determined by the project design team mechanical engineer in the next design stage.

The proposed drainage system consists of roof drains, area drains and catch basins within the development is designed to be self-contained, and all storm runoff will not discharge into the adjacent properties.

Refer to Dwg.C-100 in Appendix H for temporary ponding limit and overland flow route within the site.

4.3 WATER QUALITY CONTROL REQUIREMENT

In order to achieve the long-term average removal of 80% of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) on an annual basis from all runoff leaving the sub-catchment C1, the following quality control measures will be provided:

Uncontrolled sub-Catchment UC1, UC2 and UC3

As mentioned in section 3.3, under post development condition storm runoff from the front areas of building A, B, C and D and back areas of the buildings E, F and G (sub-catchment UC1, UC2 and UC3) will be uncontrolled and directly discharged to the Tannery Street, Emby Drive Extension and Mullet Creek. More than 86% of these areas will be covered by soft landscape and the rest of area includes permeable pavement and buildings entrance stairs. Therefore, the runoff from uncontrolled areas would considered to be clean and additional water quality treatment facility is not required.

Overcontrolled sub-Catchment OC1

Based on the SWM design criteria, the residential blocks rooftop are not subjected to vehicular traffic, and the application of sand and de-icing salt constituents, petroleum hydrocarbons and heavy metals. As such, runoff from the roof surface is generally considered to be clean.

There is a small opportunity for unclean runoff to be generated from this development since the site will be taken up in its majority of rooftops, landscape and permeable pavements. Table 8 provides a preliminary estimate of TSS removal level of stormwater leaving the sub-catchment OC1.

Land Use	Area (m²)	TSS Removal Efficiency (%)	Composite TSS Removal Efficiency (%)
Roof	3738	80	35.3
Permeable Pavement	1704	50	10.1
Paved Areas and lower courtyards	1538	0.0	0.0
Landscape	1486	80	14.0
OGS	8466	50	50.0
Total	8466	-	>80.0

TABLE 8: TTS REMOVAL ASSESSMENT SUB-CATCHMENT OC1

To achieve a TSS removal of 80%, a CDS stormwater quality treatment facility model "PMSU2020-5" is





proposed. Sizing details are provided in Appendix A.

This quality treatment unit will be installed within the fire route at south of the site and prior to storage tank. The exact location will be determined by the project team mechanical engineer and architect in the next stage of design.

4.4 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL DURING CONSTRUCTION

Details of the erosion and sedimentation control for the entire site during construction will be subject to the City's approval prior to issuance of Building Permit.

During site construction, it is recommended that all erosion and sediment control Best Management Practices (BMPs) shall be installed and maintained in accordance with the Credit Valley Conservation Authorities' (CVC CA's) Stormwater Management criteria (August 2012);

In Report, the measures below will be provided on site during the entire period of construction:

- " Sediment control measures to prevent silt entry at all the existing area drains and catch basins;
- " Granular mud-mats at all construction ingress / egress locations;
- " An inspection and monitoring program following the CVC CA's Stormwater Management criteria (August 2012).

The above and additional Erosion and Sediment Control measures is summarized in the following Table 9.

Activity	Erosion Control Practice
Area Grading	 Construct and maintain entrance "mud-mat". Construct and maintain silt fencing around the downstream and west side of the site. Locate stockpiles away from sensitive areas.
Servicing and Asphalt Works	 Limit open trench lengths to minimize erosion potential of excavated material. Prevent erosion of material stockpiles. During work stoppages or inclement weather, plug ends of open sewers to prevent downstream sedimentation. Protect catch basins inlets with filter cloth wrapping.
Maintenance	 Remove accumulated sediments when depth exceeds 0.30m. Maintain and repair siltation control fencing as required. Maintain and repair catch basin sediment controls as required.

TABLE 9: EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES

5 PROPOSED STORM SEWER PLAN – SUB-CATCHMENT C2

The proposed Emby Drive Extension will be a municipal road. Under post development condition, the rainfall runoff from Sub-catchment C2 will be collected by the proposed storm system consists of proposed catch



basins, Manholes and storm sewers on the Emby Drive, discharged to the municipal storm sewer on Thomas Street and finally outlet to the Mullet Creek through a new replaced outfall.

It is understood that under existing condition, the minor and major flow from Pearl Street, Broadway Street and a part of the CPR right-of-way discharge to the existing ditch on the east side of railway, flows westerly across the railway through an 825mm CSP culvert then convey through another 825mm culvert within the development site. The total drainage area is approximately 3.19 ha. Since flow could not be conveyed through the site after construction of the residential development, it should be captured by a ditch inlet catch basin and diverted to the proposed storm sewer under Emby Drive extension.

In order to calculate the size of the proposed storm in Emby Drive extension and assess the adequacy of the existing storm pipe in Thomas Street (between Emby Drive and Mullet Creek outfall), a drainage area plan and design sheet are provided based on the survey and City's record drawings.

Refer to Fig 3 in Appendix H for drainage area plan. Design sheet of the pipe size calculations is presented in Appendix D.

Based on the pipe size calculations, the last two legs of the existing 600mm storm pipe in Thomas Street must be replaced with 750mm and 900mm pipes to provide adequate capacity to convey the existing and additional flow to the Mullet Creek.

The existing outfall is located at the left bank of the Mullet Creek and 5m upstream of the existing Bridge. The size of the outfall pipe is 600mm with an invert of 151.06m which is about 0.16m above the Creek bed. There are no existing erosion protection measures at outlet or downstream of the outfall. Picture of the existing outfall is presented in Appendix D.

Since the storm flow and pipe size of the outfall will be increased under post development conditions, the outfall should be replaced. The new outfall is proposed in the same location and same invert with a standard headwall (OPSD 804.030) and Rip-Rap protection. The detail of the rip-rap will be provided based on the CVC requirements in the next design stage.

The overland flow analysis is provided for Emby Drive extension. Calculations show that the maximum water depth at the southern entrance of the site would be 0.04m at the face of the curb that means 100-yr flow spread would be 2 m (runoff coefficient adjustment factor is considered). Detail of the calculations is provided in Appendix E.

6 SITE SERVICING

The purpose of this site servicing study is to review the site servicing requirement of the proposed new condominium development, and propose a site servicing plan, including water, sanitary and storm services. Refer to Dwg. C-101 - Site Servicing Plan for details of the proposed site service connections.



6.2 EXISTING MUNICIPAL SERVICES

The proposed development will require new service connections to the existing municipal services, i.e. storm sewers, sanitary sewers and watermains, located on Thomas Street, Emby Drive and Tannery Street adjacent to the site. Existing underground municipal services/utilities are summarized below:

- " 600mm dia. storm sewer on Thomas Street;
- " 200mm dia. PVC sanitary sewer on Emby Drive;
- " 300mm dia. PVC watermain on the Emby Drive;
- " 300mm dia. watermain on the Tannery Street;
- " 300mm dia. watermain on the Thomas Street;

Refer to Dwg. C-101 for existing municipal utilities.

6.3 PROPOSED MUNICIPAL SERVICES ON EMBY DRIVE EXTENSION

Based on City's design criteria, the following new municipal services will be provided:

- " New storm drainage system: catch basins, manholes and storm sewers to convey minor and major flow from pearl St. and Broadway St.,10-year design storm of the new Emby Drive extension and controlled storm discharged flow from the proposed residential development;
- " New water supply system: valves, fire hydrants and 300mm dia. PVC watermain;
- " New extension of existing 200mm PVC Sanitary sewer on Emby Drive.

6.4 PROPOSED SITE SERVICE CONNECTIONS

Based on the project statistics provided by the architect and Region's design criteria, sanitary flow and water demand are estimated in Appendix F and summarized in Table 10. Storm flow discharge rate has been provided in the previous section of this report.

TABLE 10: SITE SERVICING REQUIREMENT

Site	Storm Discharge Rate	Sanitary Discharge Rate	Water Demand
	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)
Prop. Condominium Area	13.22	13.22	102.73

Through discussion with design team, the locations and sizes of the proposed site service connections have been determined to satisfy the requirements of the City of Mississauga and Ontario Building Code (OBC). In summary:

- " Sanitary Service: The existing sanitary sewer on Emby Drive is extended northerly by 30m from existing manhole No.137 to proposed manhole No.2A. An 150mm dia. sanitary service connection will be installed to service the proposed condominiums and discharge to the proposed manhole No.2A on Emby Drive.
- " Storm Service: A 375mm dia. storm service connection will be installed to drain condominium area to proposed manhole 675mm concrete pipe on Emby Drive extension.
- " Water service:



- § Domestic Water Service: A 100mm dia. domestic water service connection will be installed to service the proposed condominiums and connected to the proposed 150mm dia. fire protection water service with a cut-in Tee.
- § Fire Protection Service: A 150mm fire protection PVC water service will be provided.

The existing 300mm diameter water main on Emby Drive will be extended northerly and connected to the existing 200mm diameter water main on Tannery Street to service the proposed development site.

Refer to Dwg. C-101 for details of proposed service connections.

6.5 ADEQUACY OF EXISTING MUNICIPAL SERVICES

The capacity of existing municipal water mains and sewers shall be reviewed based on the site servicing requirement, record drawings and hydrant flow test data.

6.5.1 Adequacy of Existing Storm and Sanitary Sewers

Based on the design criteria and design records, assessment of existing 600mm storm sewer on Thomas Street and 250mm sanitary sewer on Emby Drive are reviewed below:

The full flow capacity of the existing 250mm sanitary sewers on the Emby Drive is estimated at 76 L/s based on Region's record drawing and anticipated to be adequate to accommodate the sanitary flow (13.22 L/s) from the proposed development.

As mentioned in section 5, based on the City's record and proposed storm sewer pipe size design sheet, the existing 600mm storm sewer on Thomas Street, from Emby Drive to Mullet Creek (MH.4 to Outlet), will not be adequate to accommodate the storm flow rate of 1719.2 L/s from development site, minor and major flow from pearl Street and Broadway Street and storm flow from Thomas Street and industrial area south of the development site. In order to provide adequate capacity for the storm flow, the last two legs of storm sewer on Thomas Street will need to be upsized to 750 mm and 900 mm diameter concrete pipe. As a result of storm sewer upgrade, the existing outlet at Mullet Creek will need to be replaced.

6.5.2 Adequacy of Existing Watermain

The design water demand is estimated as 102.73 L/s based on the project statistics. In order to evaluate the adequacy of existing water supply, the existing 300mm watermain on Tannery Street and existing 300mm watermain on Thomas Street were tested on June 15, 2017 and May 10, 2019 by Focus Fire Protection. Tests result are included in Appendix G.

As shown by the test readings on Tannery street, the available water pressure ranges from 58 psi with a flow of 983.3 US GPM to 54 psi with a flow of 683.5 US GPM during the flow test with a static pressure of 62 psi. At the design water demand of 102.71 L/s (or 1628.27 US GPM) generated from the development, the flow test results show a residual pressure of 48.3 psi, which is greater than the minimum requirement of 20 psi (150 kPa).

The test readings on Thomas street shows that the available water pressure ranges from 73 psi with a flow of 602.9 US GPM to 71 psi with a flow of 696.2 US GPM during the flow test with a static pressure of 75 psi. At the design water demand of 102.71 L/s (or 1628.27 US GPM) generated from the development, the flow test





results show a residual pressure of 49.1 psi, which is greater than the minimum requirement of 20 psi (150 kPa).

Therefore, adequate water supply and pressure are available to serve the proposed development

7 CONCLUSIONS

Stormwater Management Plan – Sub-Catchment C1

- " Under existing condition, there are no existing on-site stormwater management facilities.
- " On-site storage volume of approximate 35 m³ will be provided for proposed condominium development site for retaining the first 5mm rainfall runoff as required to achieve water balance target. This portion of water shall be reused on site for irrigation. The consumption rate will be provided by the project team landscape designer in the next stage of design.
- " A CDS stormwater quality treatment facility model "PMSU2020-5" with proposed landscape and permeable pavement within the residential development site will satisfy the City's 80% TSS removal.
- " On-site storage volume of 144 m³ in volume will be required in order to control the post-development 100-year/Regional stormwater flows to 10-year pre-development level;
- " A Stormwater storage tank at underground parking lot is proposed to provide a total storage volume of 220 m³.

Stormwater Management Plan – Sub-Catchment C2

- " There will be no actual increase in Stormwater flow rate and volume in the Emby Drive Extension area;
- " Due to the constraints of available right-of-way, no SWM measures are proposed for Emby Drive extension. However, implementation of SWM plan in sub-catchment C1 will reduce the total runoff discharge compared to existing condition

Temporary Erosion & Sediment Control Measures

" Temporary erosion and sediment control measures will be provided before construction and maintained during construction in accordance with CVC CA's "Stormwater Management Criteria"

New Municipal Services for Emby Drive Extension

Based on City's design criteria, the following new municipal services will be provided:

- " New storm drainage system: catch basins, manholes and storm sewers to convey 10-year design storm;
- " New water supply: valves, fire hydrants and 300mm dia. PVC watermain;

Site Servicing

Proposed site service connections for the proposed development site:

- " Storm service: 375mm dia. PVC pipes
- " Sanitary service: 150mm dia. PVC pipes
- " Water service:
 - § 100mm dia. PVC pipe for domestic water supply





§ 150mm dia. PVC pipe for fire water supply

Prepared By:

LEA Consulting Ltd.



Farshid Morshedi

Water Resources Engineer



APPENDIX A

Stormwater Peak Flow and Storage Calculation Sub-Catchment C1



LEA Consulting Ltd.	Land Use				
		Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	A-01
and Planners	Checked:	R.B.			
Project: 51-57 Tannery	/ Street	Proj. #	18038		
City Of Mississauga		Date:	13-Jun-19		

EXISTING CONDITIONS:

Existing Land Use	Area (m ²)	
Building Asphalt Gravel Lawn & Tree Total Residential Development Area (C1):	2459.0 1266.0 2524.0 4351.0 10600.0	
PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Sub-Catchment OC1- Overcontrolled Area		
Proposed Land Use	Area (m ²)	
Building Paved Area Permeable Pavement Landscaped Area Total: Sub-Catchment UC1, UC2 and UC3- Uncontrolled Areas	4829.0 447.0 1704.0 1486.0 8466.0	
Proposed Land Use	Area (m ²)	
Building's Stairs Permeable Pavement Landscaped Area Total:	141.0 153.0 1840.0 2134.0	
Total Residential Development Area (C1):	10600.0	

LEA Consulting Ltd.		Co	omposite "C	" Calculatio	on
Consulting Engl	Planners		F.M	Page No.	A-02
Flatiners			R.B.		
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street	SUB- City Of	Proj. #	18038		
Mississauga	City Of	Date:	13-Jun-19		
Pre-Development Composite Run	off Coefficient	"C"			
Location	Area (ha)	С	Composite	"C"	
Building	0.246	0.90			
Asphalt	0.127	0.90			
Gravel	0.252	0.60			
Lawn & Tree	0.435	0.25			
Total Residential Development Area (C1):	1.060		0.56		
Imperviousness Percent:	35.1				

Post-Development Composite Runoff Coefficient "C"

Sub-Catchment OC1- Overcor			
Location	Area (ha)	С	Composite "C"
Building	0.483	0.90	
Paved Area	0.045	0.90	
Permeable Pavement	0.170	0.50	
Landscaped Area	0.149	0.25	
Total:	0.847		0.71
Imperviousness Percent:	62.3		

Post-Development Composite Runoff Coefficient "C"

Sub-Catchment UC1, UC2 and U	C3- Uncontrolled		
Location	Area (ha)	С	Composite "C"
Building's Stairs	0.0141	0.90	
Permeable Pavement	0.0153	0.50	
Landscaped Area	0.184	0.25	
Total:	0.213		0.31
Imperviousness Percent:	6.6		
Composite runoff coefficient for	entire site:		0.66
Total impervious percent:			51.1

LEA Consulting Ltd.		5mm Rainfall Retention Volume (Water Balance)					
	and Planners	Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	A-03		
	Checked:	M.D.					
Project: 51-57 Tanne	ry Street	Proj. #	18038				
City Of Mississauga	1	Date:	13-Jun-19	Ĩ			

According to the CVC Guidelines, in order to achieve the water balance target, it is required to retain all runoff from a small event - typically 5mm (in Toronto, storms with 24 hour volumes of 5mm or less contribute about 50% of the total average annual rainfall volume) through infiltration, evapotranspiration & rainwater reuse.

Site Area:	1.060 ha
Runoff Coefficient :	0.66 Post-development site conditions

Runoff volume from 5mm rainfall event on site:

 $V = 1.06 \times 0.66 \times 0.005 \times 10000$ =34.97 m³

Required on-site retention volume for 5mm rainfall event: 34.97 m³

LEA Consulting Ltd.		Pre-Development Peak Flow Rates Calculation				
	Planners	Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	A-04	
Flamers		Checked:	R.B.			
Project: 51-57 Tanne	ery Street SUB	Proj. #	18038			
Mississauga	City Of	Date:	13-Jun-19			

Rational Formulae: Q = 2.78 CIA (L/s)

Site Area:	1.060 ha
Time of Concentration	15 minutes as per City Guidelines
Runoff Coefficient :	0.56 Pre-development condition

Rainfall Intensity: I = a/(Tc+b)^c

(City Std. 2111.010)

Return Period:	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr):	59.89	80.51	99.17	113.89	127.13	140.69

Peak Flow Rate (L/s):

Return Period:	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
Under existing site conditions (L/s):	99.07	133.18	164.04	188.40	210.30	232.73

The proposed site is under Mullet Creek and requires to control post development flow to pre development level for storm events that include the regional storm based on the CVC stormwater management Criteria, 2012. Since the stormwater will be discharged to the municipal sewers, the allowable flow rate from the site is limited to the 10-year flow according to the City's storm sewers design flow.

Furthermore, the stormwater from some areas in north, west and south of the site (Sub-catchment UC1, UC2 and UC3) is not feaseable to controlled due to the site constraint, threfore, the stormwater discharge from catchment C1 will be overcontrolled. I.e. allowable discharge flow rate from sub-catchment OC1 will be:

Sub-catchment UC1, UC2 and UC3 (Post Development 100-yr storm):	32.41 L/s
Sub-catchment OC1 (Pre-development 10-yr storm):	164.04 L/s

Overcontrolled discharge rate from sub-Catchment OC1 into	
municipal storm sewer on Emby Drive:	131.63 L/s

	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	Post-Development Peak Flow Rates Calculation (Uncontrolled)					
		Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	A-05		
		Checked:	R.B.				
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street SUB-CATCHMENT C1 City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038				
		Date:	13-Jun-19				

Rational Formulae: Q = 2.78 CIA (L/s)

Overcontrolled Area: Time of Concentration: Runoff Coefficient :	0.847 ha 15 minutes as per City Guidelines 0.71
Uncontrolled Area:	0.213 ha
Time of Concentration:	15 minutes as per City Guidelines
Runoff Coefficient :	0.31
Runoff Coefficient Adjustment Factors:	1.00 (10-year)
-	1.10 (25-year)

1.20 (50-year) 1.25 (100-year)

Rainfall Intensity: I = a/(Tc+b)^c

(City Std. 2111.010)

Return Period:	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr):	59.89	80.51	99.17	113.89	127.13	140.69

Sub-Catchment OC1- Overcontrolled Area

Return Period:	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
Under existing site conditions (L/s):	99.36	133.57	164.52	188.95	210.91	233.40
Under Post development condition with Adjastment Factors (L/s):	99.36	133.57	164.52	207.84	253.10	291.75

Sub-Catchment UC1, UC2 and UC3- Uncontrolled Areas

Return Period:	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
Under existing site conditions (L/s):	11.04	14.84	18.28	20.99	23.43	25.93
Under Post development condition with Adjastment Factors (L/s):	11.04	14.84	18.28	23.09	28.12	32.41

	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	On-Site Storage Calculation (2-Year Storm)					
		Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	A-06		
		Checked:	R.B.				
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street SUB-CATCHMENT C1 City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038				
		Date:	13-Jun-19				

Total Drainage Area (ha) = 0.847Drainage Area Composite C = 0.71

ha

L/s

Year

Allowable Release Rate = 99.07

Return Period = 2

Site storage Requirement:

Time (minutes)	Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	Peak Flow (L/s)	Storm Runoff Volume (m ³)	Release Rate (L/s)	Release Flow Volume (m ³)	Required Storage Volume (m ³)
(()	(=, 0)	()	(_, 0)	()	()
15	59.89	99.36	89.42	99.07	89.17	0.25
20	50.16	83.22	99.87	99.07	118.89	-19.02
25	43.42	72.04	108.06	99.07	148.61	-40.55
30	38.45	63.78	114.81	99.07	178.33	-63.52
35	34.60	57.41	120.56	99.07	208.05	-87.49
40	31.54	52.32	125.58	99.07	237.78	-112.20
45	29.03	48.16	130.04	99.07	267.50	-137.46
50	26.94	44.69	134.06	99.07	297.22	-163.16
55	25.16	41.73	137.72	99.07	326.94	-189.22
60	23.62	39.19	141.09	99.07	356.67	-215.58
65	22.29	36.98	144.21	99.07	386.39	-242.18
70	21.12	35.03	147.13	99.07	416.11	-268.98
75	20.07	33.30	149.86	99.07	445.83	-295.97
80	19.14	31.76	152.43	99.07	475.55	-323.12
85	18.30	30.37	154.86	99.07	505.28	-350.42
90	17.54	29.11	157.17	99.07	535.00	-377.83
95	16.85	27.96	159.37	99.07	564.72	-405.35
100	16.22	26.91	161.47	99.07	594.44	-432.97
105	15.64	25.95	163.48	99.07	624.16	-460.68
110	15.11	25.06	165.41	99.07	653.89	-488.48

Required Storage Volume = 0.25 m^3

	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	On-Site Storage Calculation (5-Year Storm)					
		Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	A-07		
		Checked:	R.B.				
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street SUB-CATCHMENT C1 City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038				
		Date:	13-Jun-19				

Total Drainage Area (ha) = 0.847Drainage Area Composite C = 0.71

ha

L/s

Year

Allowable Release Rate = 133.18 Return Period = 5

Site storage Requirement:

Time (minutes)	Rainfall Intensity (mm/br)	Peak Flow	Storm Runoff Volume (m ³)	Release Rate	Release Flow Volume (m ³)	Required Storage Volume (m ³)
(minuco)	(11111/111)	(Ц/3)	(111)	(Ľ/3)	(111)	(111)
15	80.51	133.57	120.21	133.18	119.86	0.35
20	67.43	111.87	134.25	133.18	159.82	-25.57
25	58.37	96.84	145.26	133.18	199.77	-54.51
30	51.68	85.74	154.33	133.18	239.73	-85.40
35	46.52	77.17	162.06	133.18	279.68	-117.62
40	42.40	70.34	168.81	133.18	319.63	-150.82
45	39.02	64.74	174.80	133.18	359.59	-184.79
50	36.21	60.07	180.21	133.18	399.54	-219.33
55	33.82	56.10	185.13	133.18	439.50	-254.37
60	31.76	52.68	189.66	133.18	479.45	-289.79
65	29.96	49.71	193.86	133.18	519.41	-325.55
70	28.38	47.09	197.78	133.18	559.36	-361.58
75	26.98	44.77	201.45	133.18	599.31	-397.86
80	25.73	42.69	204.90	133.18	639.27	-434.37
85	24.60	40.82	208.17	133.18	679.22	-471.05
90	23.58	39.13	211.28	133.18	719.18	-507.90
95	22.66	37.59	214.24	133.18	759.13	-544.89
100	21.81	36.18	217.06	133.18	799.09	-582.03
105	21.03	34.88	219.76	133.18	839.04	-619.28
110	20.31	33.69	222.35	133.18	879.00	-656.65

Required Storage Volume = 0.35 m^3

	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	On-Site Storage Calculation (10-Year Storm)				
		Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	A-07	
		Checked:	R.B.			
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street SUB-CATCHMENT C1 City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038			
		Date:	13-Jun-19			

Total Drainage Area (ha) = 0.847Drainage Area Composite C = 0.71Allowable Release Rate = 131.63

ha

L/s Year

Return Period = 10

Site storage Requirement:

Time	Rainfall Intensity	Peak Flow	Storm Runoff Volume	Release Rate	Release Flow Volume	Required Storage Volume
(minutes)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(m³)	(L/s)	(m³)	(m³)
15	99.17	164.52	148.06	131.63	118.47	29.59
20	83.06	137.80	165.36	131.63	157.96	7.40
25	71.90	119.28	178.92	131.63	197.45	-18.53
30	63.66	105.61	190.09	131.63	236.93	-46.84
35	57.30	95.05	199.61	131.63	276.42	-76.81
40	52.22	86.63	207.92	131.63	315.91	-107.99
45	48.07	79.74	215.31	131.63	355.40	-140.09
50	44.60	73.99	221.96	131.63	394.89	-172.93
55	41.65	69.10	228.03	131.63	434.38	-206.35
60	39.11	64.89	233.61	131.63	473.87	-240.26
65	36.91	61.23	238.78	131.63	513.36	-274.58
70	34.96	58.00	243.60	131.63	552.85	-309.25
75	33.24	55.14	248.12	131.63	592.34	-344.22
80	31.69	52.58	252.38	131.63	631.82	-379.44
85	30.31	50.28	256.41	131.63	671.31	-414.90
90	29.05	48.19	260.23	131.63	710.80	-450.57
95	27.90	46.29	263.88	131.63	750.29	-486.41
100	26.86	44.56	267.35	131.63	789.78	-522.43
105	25.90	42.96	270.68	131.63	829.27	-558.59
110	25.01	41.50	273.87	131.63	868.76	-594.89

29.59 m³ Required Storage Volume =

On-Site Storage Calculation LEA Consulting Ltd. (25-Year Storm) **Consulting Engineers** Prepared: Page No. F.M A-07 and Planners Checked: R.B. Project: 51-57 Tannery Street Proj. # 18038 SUB-CATCHMENT C1 Date: 13-Jun-19 City Of Mississauga

ha

Total Drainage Area (ha) = 0.847

Drainage Area Composite C = 0.71

Allowable Release Rate = 131.63 L/s Year

Return Period = 25

Runoff coefficient adjastment factor = 1.1

Site storage Requirement:

Time	Rainfall Intensity	Peak Flow	Storm Runoff Volume	Release Rate	Release Flow Volume	Required Storage Volume
(minutes)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(m³)	(L/s)	(m³)	(m³)
15	113.89	207.84	187.06	131.63	118.47	68.59
20	95.40	174.09	208.90	131.63	157.96	50.94
25	82.58	150.69	226.04	131.63	197.45	28.59
30	73.11	133.42	240.15	131.63	236.93	3.22
35	65.80	120.09	252.18	131.63	276.42	-24.24
40	59.98	109.45	262.68	131.63	315.91	-53.23
45	55.21	100.74	272.01	131.63	355.40	-83.39
50	51.22	93.47	280.42	131.63	394.89	-114.47
55	47.84	87.30	288.08	131.63	434.38	-146.30
60	44.92	81.98	295.13	131.63	473.87	-178.74
65	42.39	77.35	301.66	131.63	513.36	-211.70
70	40.15	73.28	307.76	131.63	552.85	-245.09
75	38.17	69.66	313.47	131.63	592.34	-278.87
80	36.40	66.43	318.85	131.63	631.82	-312.97
85	34.81	63.52	323.94	131.63	671.31	-347.37
90	33.36	60.88	328.77	131.63	710.80	-382.03
95	32.05	58.49	333.37	131.63	750.29	-416.92
100	30.85	56.29	337.76	131.63	789.78	-452.02
105	29.74	54.28	341.97	131.63	829.27	-487.30
110	28.73	52.42	346.00	131.63	868.76	-522.76

68.59 m³ Required Storage Volume =

On-Site Storage Calculation LEA Consulting Ltd. (50-Year Storm) **Consulting Engineers** Prepared: Page No. F.M A-07 and Planners Checked: R.B. Project: 51-57 Tannery Street Proj. # 18038 SUB-CATCHMENT C1 Date: 13-Jun-19 City Of Mississauga

ha

Total Drainage Area (ha) = 0.847

Drainage Area Composite C = 0.71

Allowable Release Rate = 131.63 L/s Year

Return Period = 50

Runoff coefficient adjastment factor = 1.2

Site storage Requirement:

Time	Rainfall Intensity	Peak Flow	Storm Runoff Volume	Release Rate	Release Flow Volume	Required Storage Volume
(minutes)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(m³)	(L/s)	(m³)	(m³)
15	127.13	253.10	227.79	131.63	118.47	109.32
20	106.57	212.16	254.59	131.63	157.96	96.63
25	92.30	183.75	275.62	131.63	197.45	78.17
30	81.75	162.75	292.94	131.63	236.93	56.01
35	73.60	146.53	307.70	131.63	276.42	31.28
40	67.10	133.58	320.58	131.63	315.91	4.67
45	61.77	122.97	332.03	131.63	355.40	-23.37
50	57.32	114.11	342.34	131.63	394.89	-52.55
55	53.54	106.59	351.74	131.63	434.38	-82.64
60	50.28	100.11	360.38	131.63	473.87	-113.49
65	47.45	94.46	368.39	131.63	513.36	-144.97
70	44.95	89.49	375.86	131.63	552.85	-176.99
75	42.74	85.08	382.86	131.63	592.34	-209.48
80	40.76	81.14	389.46	131.63	631.82	-242.36
85	38.97	77.59	395.70	131.63	671.31	-275.61
90	37.36	74.37	401.62	131.63	710.80	-309.18
95	35.89	71.45	407.25	131.63	750.29	-343.04
100	34.54	68.77	412.63	131.63	789.78	-377.15
105	33.31	66.31	417.78	131.63	829.27	-411.49
110	32.17	64.05	422.72	131.63	868.76	-446.04

109.32 m³ Required Storage Volume =

LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers

and Planners

ng Ltd.	On-Site Storage Calculation (100 - Year Storm)							
Jilleers	Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	A-08				
	Checked:	R.B.						
	Proj. #	18038						
	Date:	13-Jun-19						

Total Drainage Area (ha) = 0.847

47 ha

Year

Drainage Area Composite C = 0.71 Allowable Release Rate = 131.63 L/s

Return Period = 100

Runoff coefficient adjastment factor = 1.25

Site storage Requirement:

Project: 51-57 Tannery Street

SUB-CATCHMENT C1 City Of Mississauga

Time	Rainfall Intensity	Peak Flow	Storm Runoff Volume	Release Rate	Release Flow Volume	Required Storage Volume
(minutes)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(m³)	(L/s)	(m³)	(m³)
15	140.69	291.75	262.58	131.63	118.47	144.11
20	118.12	244.96	293.95	131.63	157.96	135.99
25	102.41	212.37	318.56	131.63	197.45	121.11
30	90.77	188.24	338.84	131.63	236.93	101.91
35	81.77	169.58	356.11	131.63	276.42	79.69
40	74.58	154.66	371.18	131.63	315.91	55.27
45	68.68	142.43	384.56	131.63	355.40	29.16
50	63.75	132.21	396.62	131.63	394.89	1.73
55	59.56	123.52	407.61	131.63	434.38	-26.77
60	55.95	116.03	417.71	131.63	473.87	-56.16
65	52.81	109.50	427.07	131.63	513.36	-86.29
70	50.03	103.76	435.79	131.63	552.85	-117.06
75	47.58	98.66	443.97	131.63	592.34	-148.37
80	45.38	94.10	451.66	131.63	631.82	-180.16
85	43.39	89.99	458.94	131.63	671.31	-212.37
90	41.60	86.27	465.85	131.63	710.80	-244.95
95	39.97	82.88	472.43	131.63	750.29	-277.86
100	38.47	79.78	478.71	131.63	789.78	-311.07
105	37.10	76.94	484.71	131.63	829.27	-344.56
110	35.84	74.31	490.48	131.63	868.76	-378.28

Required Storage Volume = 144.11 m³

	CDS Annual TSS Removal Efficiency Using Historical Weather Data							
Area (ha) = Composite C = Rational Conv. CDS Model: Flowrate =	0.8466 0.79 2.775 PMSU2020-5 31	converts from r	n3/s to I/s		Engineer: Contact: Report Date: Site:	LEA Consulti Farshid Morsh 13-Jun-19 51 57 Tanner	ing Ltd. iedi, P.Eng. v Street	
Weather Station: PSD:	6158350 FINE				Location: OGS ID:	Mississauga, OGS	ON	
Rainfall Intensity Range (mm/hr)	Total Rainfall* (mm)	Rainfall intensity mm/hr (I)	Runoff Rate Per The Rational Method (I/s) Q = C x I x A x 2.77	Rainfall Volume %	CDS Flow Rate (I/s)	Operating Rate	Efficiency** (%)	Relative Efficiency (%)
0.0 - 0.5	620.70	0.5	0.9	7.3%	0.9	0.03	98.0	7.2
0.5 - 1.0	791.80	1.0	1.8	9.4%	1.8	0.06	97.1	9.1
1.0 - 1.5	809.20	1.5	2.8	9.6%	2.8	0.09	96.3	9.2
1.5 - 2.0	765.50	2.0	3.7	9.1%	3.7	0.12	95.4	8.7
2.0 - 2.5	546.70	2.5	4.6	6.5%	4.6	0.15	94.6	6.1
2.5 -3.0	512.90	3.0	5.5	6.1%	5.5	0.18	93.7	5.7
3.0 - 4.0	840.50	4.0	7.4	10.0%	7.4	0.24	92.0	9.2
4.0 - 5.0	644.80	5.0	9.2	7.6%	9.2	0.30	90.3	6.9
5.0 - 6.0	505.30	6.0	11.1	6.0%	11.1	0.36	88.6	5.3
6.0 - 7.0	430.30	7.0	12.9	5.1%	12.9	0.42	86.9	4.4
7.0 - 8.0	302.10	8.0	14.8	3.6%	14.8	0.48	85.2	3.1
8.0 - 9.0	167.40	9.0	16.6	2.0%	16.6	0.54	83.5	1.7
9.0 - 10.0	275.00	10.0	18.5	3.3%	18.5	0.60	81.8	2.7
10.0 - 11.0	198.10	11.0	20.3	2.3%	20.3	0.66	80.1	1.8
11.0 - 12.0	160.70	12.0	22.2	1.9%	22.2	0.71	78.4	1.5
12.0 - 13.0	136.50	13.0	24.0	1.6%	24.0	0.77	76.7	1.2
13.0 - 15.0	150.10	15.0	27.7	1.8%	27.7	0.89	73.2	1.3
15.0 - 20.0	366.60	20.0	36.9	4.3%	31.0	1.00	58.9	2.5
20.0 - 25.0	70.80	25.0	46.2	0.8%	31.0	1.00	47.1	0.4
25.0 - 30.0	111.90	30.0	55.4	1.3%	31.0	1.00	39.3	0.5
30.0 -35.0	0.00	35.0	64.6	0.0%	31.0	1.00	33.7	0.0
35.0 - 40.0	38.70	40.0	73.9	0.5%	31.0	1.00	29.5	0.1
	8445.60							
						TS	S Removal:	88.8%
						Efficiency	Adjustment:	6.5%
					Net	Annual TS	S Removal:	82.3%
					Net Ar	nnual Volun	ne Treated:	96.8%

1) Historical Data including years 1982 to 1998 from Ontario Climate Centre

ſ

2) CDS Efficiency based on testing conducted at the University of Central Florida

3) Adjustment for use of 60 minute time step data on site with a time of concentration less than 30 minutes

4) CDS design flowrate and scaling based on standard manufacturer model & product specifications





APPENDIX B

Stormwater Peak Flow Calculation Sub-Catchment C2



	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	Land Use				
		Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	B-01	
		Checked:	R.B.			
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street		Proj. #	18038			
City Of Mississauga	2	Date:	26-Jun-19			

EXISTING CONDITIONS:

Existing Land Use	Area (m ²)
Building	454 0
Asphalt	817.0
Gravel	710.0
Lawn & Tree	1761.0
Total Site Area:	3742.0

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

Proposed Land Use	Area (m ²)
Road and walkway	2091.0
Berm	852.0
Total Landscaped Area	799.0
Total Site Area	3742.0

LEA Consulting Ltd.	Composite "C" Calculation				
and Planners	Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	B-02	
and Flanners	Checked:	R.B.			
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street	Proj. #	18038			
City Of Mississauga	Date:	26-Jun-19	I		

Pre-Development Composite Runoff Coefficient "C"

Imperviousness Percent:

Location Building Asphalt Gravel Lawn & Tree	Area (ha) 0.045 0.082 0.071 0.176	C 0.90 0.90 0.60 0.25	Composite "C"				
Total Site Area:	0.374		0.54				
Imperviousness Percent:	34.0						
Post-Development Composite Runoff Coefficient "C"							
Location	Area (ha)	С	Composite "C"				
Road and walkway	0.209	0.90	•				
Berm	0.085	0.60					
Total Landscaped Area	0.080	0.25					
Total Site Area	0.374		0.69				

78.6

	LEA Consulting Ltd.	5mm Rainfall Retention Volume (Water Balance)				
	Planners	Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	B-03	
		Checked:	R.B			
Project: 51-57 Tanne	ry Street SUB-	Proj. #	18038			
Mississauga	City Of	Date:	26-Jun-19			

According to the CVC Guidelines, in order to achieve the water balance target, it is required to retain all runoff from a small event - typically 5mm (in Toronto, storms with 24 hour volumes of 5mm or less contribute about 50% of the total average annual rainfall volume) through infiltration, evapotranspiration & rainwater reuse.

Site Area:	0.374 ha
Runoff Coefficient :	0.69 Post-development site conditions

Runoff volume from 5mm rainfall event on site:

 $V = 0.374 \times 0.71 \times 0.005 \times 10000 = 12.96 m^3$

Required on-site retention volume for 5mm rainfall event:

12.96 m³
	A Consulting Ltd.	Pre-De	evelopment Calcul	Peak Flow ation	Rates
	d Planners	Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	B-04
		Checked:	R.B.		
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street SUB-CATCHMENT C2 City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038		
		Date:	26-Jun-19		

Rational Formulae: Q = 2.78 CIA (L/s)

Site Area:	0.374 ha
Time of Concentration	15 minutes as per City Guidelines
Runoff Coefficient :	0.54 Pre-development condition

Rainfall Intensity: I = a/(Tc+b)^c

(City Std. 2111.010)

Return Period:	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr):	59.89	80.51	99.17	113.89	127.13	140.69

Peak Flow Rate (L/s):

Return Period:	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
Under existing site condition (L/s):	33.44	44.96	55.38	63.60	70.99	78.56

Allowable discharge rate into municipal storm sewer:

@ 10-year storm:

55.38 L/s

	LEA Consulting Ltd.	Post-D Ca	evelopment alculation (L	: Peak Flow Incontrolle	Rates d)
	and Planners	Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	B-05
		Checked:	R.B.		
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street SUB-CATCHMENT C2 City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038		
		Date:	26-Jun-19		

Rational Formulae: Q = 2.78 CIA (L/s)

Site Area:	0.374 ha
Time of Concentration	15 minutes as per City Guidelines
Runoff Coefficient :	0.69 Post-development

Runoff Coefficient Adjustment Factors:

1.00 (10-year) 1.10 (25-year) 1.20 (50-year) 1.25 (100-year)

Rainfall Intensity: I = a/(Tc+b)^c

(City Std. 2111.010)

	Return Period:	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
Rai	nfall Intensity (mm/hr):	59.89	80.51	99.17	113.89	127.13	140.69

Peak Flow Rate (L/s):

Return Period:	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
Under Post development condition (L/s):	43.14	57.99	71.43	82.04	91.57	101.34
Under Post development condition with Adjastment Factors (L/s):	43.14	57.99	71.43	90.24	109.89	126.67

	LEA Consulting Ltd.	On-Site Storage Calculation (2-Year Storm)				
	and Planners	Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	B-06	
		Checked:	R.B.			
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street SUB-CATCHMENT C2 City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038			
		Date:	26-Jun-19			

ha

L/s

Drainage Area Composite C = 0.69Allowable Release Rate = 55.38

Return Period = 2

Year

Site storage Requirement:

-	rage negui	cincint.							
	Time	Time Rainfall Intensity		Time Rainfall Intensity Peak Flow		Storm Runoff Volume	Release Rate	Release Flow Volume	Required Storage Volume
	(minutes)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(m³)	(L/s)	(m³)	(m³)		
	15	59.89	43.14	38.83	55.38	49.84	-11.01		
	20	50.16	36.13	43.36	55.38	66.45	-23.09		
	25	43.42	31.28	46.92	55.38	83.06	-36.14		
	30	38.45	27.69	49.85	55.38	99.68	-49.83		
	35	34.60	24.93	52.34	55.38	116.29	-63.95		
	40	31.54	22.72	54.52	55.38	132.90	-78.38		
	45	29.03	20.91	56.46	55.38	149.52	-93.06		
	50	26.94	19.40	58.20	55.38	166.13	-107.93		
	55	25.16	18.12	59.79	55.38	182.74	-122.95		
	60	23.62	17.02	61.26	55.38	199.35	-138.09		
	65	22.29	16.05	62.61	55.38	215.97	-153.36		
	70	21.12	15.21	63.88	55.38	232.58	-168.70		
	75	20.07	14.46	65.06	55.38	249.19	-184.13		
	80	19.14	13.79	66.18	55.38	265.81	-199.63		
	85	18.30	13.18	67.24	55.38	282.42	-215.18		
	90	17.54	12.64	68.24	55.38	299.03	-230.79		
	95	16.85	12.14	69.19	55.38	315.64	-246.45		
	100	16.22	11.68	70.11	55.38	332.26	-262.15		
	105	15.64	11.27	70.98	55.38	348.87	-277.89		
	110	15.11	10.88	71.82	55.38	365.48	-293.66		

Required Storage Volume = -11.01 m^3

	LEA Consulting Ltd.	On-Site Storage Calculation (5-Year Storm)				
	and Planners	Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	B-07	
		Checked:	R.B.			
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street SUB-CATCHMENT C2 City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038			
		Date:	26-Jun-19			

ha

L/s

Year

Drainage Area Composite C = 0.69Allowable Release Rate = 55.38

Return Period = 5

Site sto	Site storage Requirement:											
	Time	Rainfall Intensity	Peak Flow	Storm Runoff Volume	Release Rate	Release Flow Volume	Required Storage Volume					
	(minutes)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(m³)	(L/s)	(m³)	(m³)					
	15	80.51	57.99	52.19	55.38	49.84	2.35					
	20	67.43	48.57	58.29	55.38	66.45	-8.16					
	25	58.37	42.05	63.07	55.38	83.06	-19.99					
	30	51.68	37.23	67.01	55.38	99.68	-32.67					
	35	46.52	33.51	70.36	55.38	116.29	-45.93					
	40	42.40	30.54	73.29	55.38	132.90	-59.61					
	45	39.02	28.11	75.89	55.38	149.52	-73.63					
	50	36.21	26.08	78.24	55.38	166.13	-87.89					
	55	33.82	24.36	80.38	55.38	182.74	-102.36					
	60	31.76	22.87	82.35	55.38	199.35	-117.00					
	65	29.96	21.58	84.17	55.38	215.97	-131.80					
	70	28.38	20.45	85.87	55.38	232.58	-146.71					
	75	26.98	19.44	87.46	55.38	249.19	-161.73					
	80	25.73	18.53	88.96	55.38	265.81	-176.85					
	85	24.60	17.72	90.38	55.38	282.42	-192.04					
	90	23.58	16.99	91.73	55.38	299.03	-207.30					
	95	22.66	16.32	93.02	55.38	315.64	-222.62					
	100	21.81	15.71	94.24	55.38	332.26	-238.02					
	105	21.03	15.15	95.41	55.38	348.87	-253.46					
	110	20.31	14.63	96.54	55.38	365.48	-268.94					

Required Storage Volume = 2.35 m^3

	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	On-Site Storage Calculation (10-Year Storm)				
		Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	B-07	
		Checked:	R.B.			
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street SUB-CATCHMENT C2 City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038			
		Date:	26-Jun-19			

ha

L/s

Year

Drainage Area Composite C = 0.69Allowable Release Rate = 55.38

Return Period = 10

Site storage Requirement:

Time (minutes)	Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	Peak Flow (L/s)	Storm Runoff Volume (m ³)	Release Rate (L/s)	Release Flow Volume (m ³)	Required Storage Volume (m ³)
		· ·		i i	· · ·	
15	99.17	71.43	64.29	55.38	49.84	14.45
20	83.06	59.83	71.79	55.38	66.45	5.34
25	71.90	51.79	77.68	55.38	83.06	-5.38
30	63.66	45.85	82.53	55.38	99.68	-17.15
35	57.30	41.27	86.67	55.38	116.29	-29.62
40	52.22	37.61	90.27	55.38	132.90	-42.63
45	48.07	34.62	93.48	55.38	149.52	-56.04
50	44.60	32.12	96.37	55.38	166.13	-69.76
55	41.65	30.00	99.00	55.38	182.74	-83.74
60	39.11	28.17	101.43	55.38	199.35	-97.92
65	36.91	26.58	103.67	55.38	215.97	-112.30
70	34.96	25.18	105.77	55.38	232.58	-126.81
75	33.24	23.94	107.73	55.38	249.19	-141.46
80	31.69	22.83	109.58	55.38	265.81	-156.23
85	30.31	21.83	111.33	55.38	282.42	-171.09
90	29.05	20.92	112.99	55.38	299.03	-186.04
95	27.90	20.10	114.57	55.38	315.64	-201.07
100	26.86	19.35	116.08	55.38	332.26	-216.18
105	25.90	18.65	117.52	55.38	348.87	-231.35
110	25.01	18.02	118.91	55.38	365.48	-246.57

Required Storage Volume = 14.45 m^3

	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	On-Site Storage Calculation (25-Year Storm)				
		Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	B-07	
		Checked:	R.B.			
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street SUB-CATCHMENT C2 City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038			
		Date:	26-Jun-19			

ha

L/s

Year

Drainage Area Composite C = 0.69Allowable Release Rate = 55.38

Return Period = 25

Site storage Requirement:

Time (minutes)	Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	Peak Flow	Storm Runoff Volume (m ³)	Release Rate (L/s)	Release Flow Volume (m ³)	Required Storage Volume (m ³)
(11111000)	()	(1,0)	()	(2,0)	()	()
15	113.89	82.04	73.83	55.38	49.84	23.99
20	95.40	68.71	82.46	55.38	66.45	16.01
25	82.58	59.48	89.22	55.38	83.06	6.16
30	73.11	52.66	94.79	55.38	99.68	-4.89
35	65.80	47.40	99.54	55.38	116.29	-16.75
40	59.98	43.20	103.68	55.38	132.90	-29.22
45	55.21	39.76	107.36	55.38	149.52	-42.16
50	51.22	36.89	110.68	55.38	166.13	-55.45
55	47.84	34.46	113.71	55.38	182.74	-69.03
60	44.92	32.36	116.49	55.38	199.35	-82.86
65	42.39	30.53	119.07	55.38	215.97	-96.90
70	40.15	28.92	121.47	55.38	232.58	-111.11
75	38.17	27.50	123.73	55.38	249.19	-125.46
80	36.40	26.22	125.85	55.38	265.81	-139.96
85	34.81	25.07	127.86	55.38	282.42	-154.56
90	33.36	24.03	129.77	55.38	299.03	-169.26
95	32.05	23.08	131.58	55.38	315.64	-184.06
100	30.85	22.22	133.32	55.38	332.26	-198.94
105	29.74	21.42	134.98	55.38	348.87	-213.89
110	28.73	20.69	136.57	55.38	365.48	-228.91

Required Storage Volume = 23.99 m^3

	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	On-Site Storage Calculation (50-Year Storm)				
		Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	B-07	
		Checked:	R.B.			
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street SUB-CATCHMENT C2 City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038			
		Date:	26-Jun-19			

ha

L/s

Year

Drainage Area Composite C = 0.69Allowable Release Rate = 55.38

Return Period = 50

Site storage Requirement:

Time (minutes)	Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	Peak Flow (L/s)	Storm Runoff Volume (m ³)	Release Rate (L/s)	Release Flow Volume (m ³)	Required Storage Volume (m ³)
,	(/		()	()		
15	127.13	91.57	82.42	55.38	49.84	32.58
20	106.57	76.76	92.12	55.38	66.45	25.67
25	92.30	66.48	99.72	55.38	83.06	16.66
30	81.75	58.88	105.99	55.38	99.68	6.31
35	73.60	53.01	111.33	55.38	116.29	-4.96
40	67.10	48.33	115.99	55.38	132.90	-16.91
45	61.77	44.49	120.13	55.38	149.52	-29.39
50	57.32	41.29	123.86	55.38	166.13	-42.27
55	53.54	38.56	127.26	55.38	182.74	-55.48
60	50.28	36.22	130.39	55.38	199.35	-68.96
65	47.45	34.18	133.29	55.38	215.97	-82.68
70	44.95	32.38	135.99	55.38	232.58	-96.59
75	42.74	30.78	138.52	55.38	249.19	-110.67
80	40.76	29.36	140.91	55.38	265.81	-124.90
85	38.97	28.07	143.17	55.38	282.42	-139.25
90	37.36	26.91	145.31	55.38	299.03	-153.72
95	35.89	25.85	147.35	55.38	315.64	-168.29
100	34.54	24.88	149.30	55.38	332.26	-182.96
105	33.31	23.99	151.16	55.38	348.87	-197.71
110	32.17	23.17	152.95	55.38	365.48	-212.53

Required Storage Volume = 32.58 m^3

LEA Consult Consulting Er and Planners

t ing Ltd. ngineers	On-Site Storage Calculation (100 - Year Storm)							
	Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	B-08				
	Checked:	R.B.						
	Proj. #	18038						
	Date:	26-Jun-19						

Total Drainage Area (ha) = 0.374 Drainage Area Composite C = 0.69

ha

Allowable Release Rate = 55.38 L/s Year

Return Period = 100

Site storage Requirement:

Project: 51-57 Tannery Street

SUB-CATCHMENT C2 City Of Mississauga

Time (minutes)	Rainfall Intensity (mm/br)	Peak Flow	Storm Runoff Volume (m ³)	Release Rate	Release Flow Volume (m ³)	Required Storage Volume (m ³)
(minuco)	(11117/117)	(Ľ/3)	(111)	(Ľ/3)	(111)	(111)
15	140.69	101.34	91.20	55.38	49.84	41.36
20	118.12	85.08	102.10	55.38	66.45	35.65
25	102.41	73.77	110.65	55.38	83.06	27.59
30	90.77	65.38	117.69	55.38	99.68	18.01
35	81.77	58.90	123.69	55.38	116.29	7.40
40	74.58	53.72	128.92	55.38	132.90	-3.98
45	68.68	49.47	133.57	55.38	149.52	-15.95
50	63.75	45.92	137.76	55.38	166.13	-28.37
55	59.56	42.90	141.58	55.38	182.74	-41.16
60	55.95	40.30	145.09	55.38	199.35	-54.26
65	52.81	38.04	148.34	55.38	215.97	-67.63
70	50.03	36.04	151.37	55.38	232.58	-81.21
75	47.58	34.27	154.21	55.38	249.19	-94.98
80	45.38	32.68	156.88	55.38	265.81	-108.93
85	43.39	31.26	159.41	55.38	282.42	-123.01
90	41.60	29.96	161.81	55.38	299.03	-137.22
95	39.97	28.79	164.09	55.38	315.64	-151.55
100	38.47	27.71	166.27	55.38	332.26	-165.99
105	37.10	26.72	168.36	55.38	348.87	-180.51
110	35.84	25.81	170.36	55.38	365.48	-195.12

41.36 m³ Required Storage Volume =

APPENDIX C

Regional Flow Calculations



CANADA | INDIA | AFRICA | ASIA | MIDDLE EAST



Pre-Development Schematic Drainage Area plan

output for regional storm-Existing Condition _____ V V SSSSS U (v 5.1.2004) U А V SS U U ΑA L V Т V V SS U U AAAAA L SS U V V U А А Т L VV SSSSS UUUUU А А LLLLL Т 000 TTTTT TTTTT 000 ТΜ Н Н Y Y М Μ 0 0 Н Н Υ Υ MM MM 0 \cap Т Т Т Т Υ 0 0 Н Н М Μ 0 0 000 000 Т Т Н Н Υ М М Developed and Distributed by Civica Infrastructure Copyright 2007 - 2013 Civica Infrastructure All rights reserved. * * * * * DETAILED 0 U T P U T ***** filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 5.1\VO2\voin.dat Input Output filename: C: \Users\FMorshedi \AppData\Local \Civi ca\VH5\8d9e0e7d-7966-43ca-9251-48e843853e98\ab 6edc7b-7254-4f48-a263-6880009db647\sc Summary filename: C: \Users\FMorshedi \AppData\Local \Civi ca\VH5\8d9e0e7d-7966-43ca-9251-48e843853e98\ab 6edc7b-7254-4f48-a263-6880009db647\sc DATE: 06/27/2019 TIME: 11:07:35 USER: COMMENTS: ** SIMULATION : Regional ****** * * * * * * * * * * * READ STORM Filename: C: \Users\FMorshedi \AppD ata\Local \Temp\ 4cfb9d5a-13a9-4837-adec-9befc572362a\9c7215c3 Ptotal = 212.00 mm Comments: Hazel TIME RAIN TI ME RAIN TI ME RAIN TI ME RAIN mm/hr mm/hr mm/hr mm/hr hrs hrs hrs hrs 1.00 6.00 4.00 13.00 7.00 23.00 10.00 53.00 2.00 4.00 5.00 17.00 8.00 13.00 11.00 38.00 6.00 9.00 13.00 3.00 6.00 12.00 13.00 13.00 CALI B STANDHYD (0001) (ha) = 1.06 Area Page 1

I D=	1 DT= 5.0	ou min	tput for Total Ir	regional mp(%)= 3	storm-E 35.10 [xisting Dir. Conm	Conditio n. (%)=	n 1. 00	
	Surface Ar Dep. Stora Average SI Length Mannings n	ea ge ope	(ha) = (mm) = (%) = (m) = =	MPERVI OL 0. 37 1. 00 1. 00 84. 06 0. 013	JS PEF	RVI OUS (i 0.69 1.50 1.20 40.00 0.250)		
	NOTE:	RAINFA	ALL WAS TI	RANSFORME	ED TO	5.0 MIN.	TIME STE	P.	
	May Eff In	TI ME hrs 0. 083 0. 167 0. 250 0. 333 0. 417 0. 500 0. 583 0. 667 0. 750 0. 833 0. 917 1. 000 1. 083 1. 167 1. 250 1. 333 1. 417 1. 500 1. 583 1. 417 1. 500 1. 583 1. 417 1. 750 1. 750 1. 750 2. 083 2. 167 2. 250 2. 333 2. 417 2. 500 2. 833 2. 417 2. 500 2. 833 2. 417 2. 500 2. 833 2. 417 2. 500 2. 833 2. 417 3. 000	RAIN mm/hr 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.0	TRA TI ME hrs 3. 083 3. 167 3. 250 3. 333 3. 417 3. 500 3. 583 3. 667 3. 750 3. 833 3. 917 4. 000 4. 083 4. 167 4. 250 4. 333 4. 167 4. 250 4. 333 4. 417 4. 500 4. 583 4. 417 4. 500 4. 583 4. 667 4. 750 5. 083 5. 167 5. 250 5. 333 5. 417 5. 500 5. 383 5. 417 5. 500 5. 383 5. 417 5. 500 5. 383 5. 417 5. 500 5. 583 5. 667 5. 750 5. 833 5. 917 6. 000 5. 000	NSFORMEI RAI N mm/hr 13. 00 13. 00 17. 00 13. 00 13. 00 13. 00 13. 00 13. 00 13. 00 13. 00	 HYETOGH TI ME hrs 6.083 6.167 6.250 6.333 6.417 6.500 6.583 6.667 6.750 6.833 6.917 7.000 7.083 7.167 7.250 7.333 7.417 7.500 7.583 7.667 7.750 7.833 7.917 8.000 8.083 8.167 8.250 8.333 8.417 8.500 8.833 8.917 9.000 	RAPH RAI N mm/hr 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 23.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00 13.00	TI ME hrs 9. 08 9. 17 9. 25 9. 33 9. 42 9. 50 9. 58 9. 67 9. 75 9. 83 9. 92 10. 00 10. 08 10. 17 10. 25 10. 33 10. 42 10. 50 10. 58 10. 67 10. 75 10. 83 10. 92 11. 00 11. 08 11. 17 11. 25 11. 33 11. 42 11. 50 11. 58 11. 67 11. 75 11. 83 11. 92 12. 00	RALN mm/hr 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00 38.00
	Storage Co Unit Hyd.	over (eff. (Tpeak ((mi n) = (mi n) = (mi n) =	53.00 5.00 2.97 5.00	(ii)	15.00 12.04 (ii 15.00)		
	опп с нуа. PEAK FLOW TIME TO PE RUNOFF VOL TOTAL RAIN RUNOFF COE	Peak (AK (UME FALL FFICIEN	(cms) = (hrs) = (mm) = (mm) = IT =	0. 28 0. 00 9. 58 211. 00 212. 00 1. 00	18 27	0.09 0.15 10.00 31.66 12.00 0.86	*T0T 0. 10 181 212 0	ALS* 149 (iii).00 .94 2.00).86)

output for regional storm-Existing Condition ***** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! ***** WARNING: FOR AREAS WITH IMPERVIOUS RATIOS BELOW 20% YOU SHOULD CONSIDER SPLITTING THE AREA. (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 83.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORÁGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. _____ READ STORM Filename: C: \Users\FMorshedi \AppD ata\Local \Temp\ 4cfb9d5a-13a9-4837-adec-9befc572362a\9c7215c3 Ptotal = 212.00 mm Comments: Hazel RAIN |' mm/hr |' TIME RAIN | TIME TIME RALN | TIME RALN mm/hr hrs hrs mm/hr | hrs hrs mm/hr 4.00 13.00 7.00 23.00 10.00 6.00 53.00 1.00 4.00 5.00 13.00 2.00 17.00 8.00 11.00 38.00 3.00 6.00 6.00 13.00 9.00 13.00 12.00 13.00 CALIB Area (ha)= 0.37 Total Imp(%)= 34.00 STANDHYD (0002) I D= 1 DT=15.0 min Dir. Conn. (%) = 1.00 _____ I MPERVI OUS PERVIOUS (i) 0.13 0.25 Surface Area (ha) =(mm) =1.50 1.00 Dep. Storage 1.00 Average Slope (%) =1.50 49.95 Length 40.00 (m) =Manni ngs n 0.013 0.250 = RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 15.0 MIN. TIME STEP. NOTE: ---- TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH ----TIME TIME TIME RAIN RAIN RAIN | TIME RAIN mm/hr | mm/hr mm/hr hrs hrs hrs hrs mm/hr 6.00 9.25 0.250 3.250 13.00 6.250 23.00 53.00 3.500 3.750 23.00 0.500 6.00 13.00 6.500 9.50 53.00 6.750 9.75 0.750 53.00 6.00 13.00 23.00 6.00 1.000 4.000 13.00 7.000 23.00 10.00 53.00 17.00 1.250 4.00 4.250 7.250 13.00 10.25 38.00 1.500 7.500 10.50 4.00 4.500 17.00 13.00 38.00 1.750 4.00 4.750 17.00 7.750 13.00 10.75 38.00 2.000 4.00 5.000 17.00 8.000 13.00 11.00 38.00 11.25 2.250 6.00 5.250 13.00 8.250 13.00 13.00 2.500 6.00 5.500 13.00 8.500 13.00 11.50 13.00 5.750 2.750 6.00 13.00 8.750 11.75 13.00 13.00 3.000 6.00 6.000 13.00 9.000 13.00 | 12.00 13.00 77.38 15.00 Max. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr) = 53.00 over (min) 15.00 2.17 (ii) 10.70 (ii) Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 15.00 15.00 0. 11 0.09 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (CMS) = 0.00 0.05 0.053 (iii) Page 3

	output for	regi onal	storm-Existing C	ondi ti on	
ΤΙΜΕ ΤΟ ΡΕΑΚ	(hrs)=	9.50 °	10.00	10.00	
RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm) =	211.00	184.82	185.07	
TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) =	212.00	212.00	212.00	
RUNOFF COEFFIC	IENT =	1.00	0.87	0.87	
***** WARNING: STOR	AGE COEFF.	IS SMALLER	R THAN TIME STEP!		
***** WARNING: FOR A	REAS WITH I	MPERVI OUS	RATIOS BELOW 209	%	
YOU SI	HOULD CONSI	DER SPLITT	ING THE AREA.		
(i) CN PROCE	DURE SELECT	ED FOR PEF	RVIOUS LOSSES:		
CN* =	85.0 la	i = Dep. St	orage (Above)		
(ii) TIME STE	P (DT) SHOU	ILD BE SMAL	LER OR EQUAL		
THAN THE	STORAGE CO	EFFICIENT.			
(iii) PEAK FLO	N DOES NOT	INCLUDE BA	ASEFLOW IF ANY.		
FINISH					
		==========			
	=====				



Post-Development Schematic Drainage Area plan

output for regional storm-Proposed condition _____ _____ V V SSSSS U (v 5.1.2004) U А T V SS U U ΑA L V Т V V SS U U AAAAA L SS U V V U А А Т L VV SSSSS UUUUU А А LLLLL Т 000 TTTTT TTTTT 000 ТΜ Н Н Y Y М Μ 0 0 Н Н Υ Υ MM MM 0 0 Т Т Т Т Υ 0 0 Н Н М Μ 0 0 000 000 Т Т Н Н Υ М М Developed and Distributed by Civica Infrastructure Copyright 2007 - 2013 Civica Infrastructure All rights reserved. * * * * * DETAILED 0 U T P U T ***** filename: C:\Program Files (x86)\Visual OTTHYMO 5.1\VO2\voin.dat Input Output filename: C: \Users\FMorshedi \AppData\Local \Civi ca\VH5\8d9e0e7d-7966-43ca-9251-48e843853e98\eb 682d50-8331-4db2-9003-9d849dae14ab\sc Summary filename: C: \Users\FMorshedi \AppData\Local \Civi ca\VH5\8d9e0e7d-7966-43ca-9251-48e843853e98\eb 682d50-8331-4db2-9003-9d849dae14ab\sc DATE: 06/27/2019 TIME: 11:06:03 USER: COMMENTS: ** SIMULATION : Regional * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ****** * * * * * * * READ STORM Filename: C: \Users\FMorshedi \AppD ata\Local \Temp\ 31cd175b-0273-4245-8d5c-9f00c3144d99\9c7215c3 Ptotal = 212.00 mm Comments: Hazel TIME RAIN TI ME RAIN TI ME RAIN TI ME RAIN mm/hr mm/hr mm/hr mm/hr hrs hrs hrs hrs 1.00 6.00 4.00 13.00 7.00 23.00 10.00 53.00 2.00 4.00 5.00 17.00 8.00 13.00 11.00 38.00 6.00 9.00 13.00 3.00 6.00 12.00 13.00 13.00 CALI B STANDHYD (0001) (ha) = 0.85 Area Page 1

I D=	1 DT= 5.0	ou min	tput for Total I	regional mp(%)= 6	storm-F 2.30 I	Proposed Dir. Conr	conditio n.(%)= 4	n I8.00	
	Surface Ar Dep. Stora Average SI Length Mannings n	ea ge ope	(ha) = (mm) = (%) = (m) = =	I MPERVI OL 0.53 1.00 1.00 75.14 0.013	JS PEI	RVI OUS (i 0.32 1.50 2.00 40.00 0.250)		
	NOTE:	RAINFA	ALL WAS T	RANSFORME	D TO	5.0 MIN.	TIME STE	EP.	
		TI ME hrs 0. 083 0. 167 0. 250 0. 333 0. 417 0. 500 0. 583 0. 667 0. 750 0. 833 0. 917 1. 000 1. 083 1. 167 1. 250 1. 333 1. 417 1. 500 1. 583 1. 417 1. 500 1. 583 1. 417 1. 500 1. 833 1. 417 1. 500 2. 083 2. 167 2. 250 2. 333 2. 417 2. 500 2. 333 2. 417 2. 500 2. 333 2. 417 2. 500 2. 333 2. 417 2. 500 2. 583 2. 667 2. 750 2. 583 2. 607 2. 500 2. 583 2. 607 2. 500 2. 583 2. 607 2. 500 2. 583 2. 607 3. 600 2. 583 2. 607 3. 600 3. 600 3. 600 3. 600 5. 6000 5. 6000 5. 600000000000000000000000000000000000	RAI N mm/hr 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.0	TI ME hrs 3. 083 3. 167 3. 250 3. 333 3. 417 3. 500 3. 583 3. 667 3. 750 3. 833 3. 917 4. 000 4. 083 4. 167 4. 250 4. 333 4. 417 4. 500 4. 583 4. 667 4. 750 4. 833 4. 667 4. 750 5. 083 5. 167 5. 250 5. 333 5. 417 5. 500 5. 583 5. 417 5. 500 5. 583 5. 667 5. 750 5. 583 5. 917 6. 000	NSFORMEI RAI N mm/hr 13. 00 13. 00 17. 00 13. 00	D HYETOGH TIME hrs 6.083 6.167 6.250 6.333 6.417 6.500 6.583 6.667 6.750 6.833 6.917 7.000 7.083 7.167 7.250 7.333 7.417 7.500 7.583 7.667 7.750 7.833 7.667 7.750 8.003 8.167 8.000 8.083 8.167 8.250 8.333 8.417 8.500 8.583 8.417 8.500 8.583 8.417 1.750 1.67 1.583 1.67 1.583 1.67 1.583 1.667 1.583 1.67 1.583 1.667 1.583 1.67 1.583 1.67 1.583 1.667 1.583 1.67 1.583 1.67 1.583 1.67 1.583 1.67 1.583 1.667 1.583 1.67 1.583 1.67 1.583 1.667 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.583 1.67 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1.550 1	RAPH RAI N mm/hr 23. 00 23. 00 13. 0	TIME hrs 9. 08 9. 17 9. 25 9. 33 9. 42 9. 50 9. 58 9. 67 9. 75 9. 83 9. 92 10. 00 10. 08 10. 17 10. 25 10. 33 10. 42 10. 50 10. 58 10. 67 10. 75 10. 83 10. 92 11. 00 11. 08 11. 17 11. 25 11. 33 11. 42 11. 50 11. 58 11. 67 11. 75 11. 83 11. 92 12. 00	RAIN mm/hr 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 53.00 500 500 5000
	Max. Eff. In Storage Co Unit Hyd. Unit Hyd	iten.(mm over (peff. (Tpeak (peak (n/hr) = (min) (min) = (min) = (cms) =	53.00 5.00 2.77 5.00 0.28	(ii)	71.45 15.00 10.85 (ii 15.00 0.09)		
	PEAK FLOW TIME TO PE RUNOFF VOL TOTAL RAIN RUNOFF COE	(AK (UME IFALL FFICIEN	(cms) = (hrs) = (mm) = (mm) = NT =	0. 06 9. 67 211. 00 212. 00 1. 00	18 21	0. 06 10. 00 86. 57 12. 00 0. 88	*T0T 0. 10 198 212	ALS* 123 (iii). 00 3. 29 2. 00). 94)

output for regional storm-Proposed condition **** WARNING: STORAGE COEFF. IS SMALLER THAN TIME STEP! (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 87.0 I a = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. _____ Filename: C: \Users\FMorshedi \AppD READ STORM ata\Local \Temp\ 31cd175b-0273-4245-8d5c-9f00c3144d99\9c7215c3 Ptotal = 212.00 mm Comments: Hazel _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ . RAIN |' TIME mm/hr |' hrs TIME TIME RALN | RAIN | TIME RAIN mm/hr mm/hr hrs hrs hrs mm/hr 10.00 1.00 6.00 4.00 13.00 7.00 23.00 53.00 11.00 2.00 4.00 5.00 17.00 8.00 13.00 38.00 6.00 3.00 6.00 13.00 9.00 13.00 | 12.00 13.00 _____ CALI B STANDHYD (0002) Area (ha) = 0.37 ID= 1 DT=15.0 min | Total Imp(%) = 78.60Dir. Conn. (%) = 1.00 ------I MPERVI OUS PERVIOUS (i) (ha)= 0.29 0. 08 Surface Area Dep. Storage 1.00 1.50 (mm) =1.00 1.50 Average Slope (%) = Length 49.93 40.00 (m) = Mannings n 0.013 0.250 NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 15.0 MIN. TIME STEP. ---- TRANSFORMED HYETOGRAPH ----RAIN |' TIME TIME RAIN TIME RALN | TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr | hrs mm/hr 9.25 6.00 3.250 13.00 6. 250 0.250 23.00 53.00 3.500 9.50 0.500 6.00 13.00 6.500 23.00 53.00 0.750 3.750 13.00 23.00 9.75 6.00 6.750 53.00 7.000 1.000 6.00 4.000 13.00 23.00 10.00 53.00 4.250 17.00 1.250 4.00 7.250 13.00 10.25 38.00 1.500 4.00 4.500 17.00 7.500 13.00 10.50 38.00 4.750 1.750 4.00 17.00 7.750 10.75 13.00 38.00 2.000 4.00 5.000 17.00 8.000 13.00 11.00 38.00 6.00 5.250 2.250 13.00 8.250 13.00 11. 25 13.00 2.500 6.00 5.500 13.00 8.500 13.00 11.50 13.00 11.75 2.750 6.00 5.750 13.00 8.750 13.00 13.00 6.00 6.000 13.00 9.000 13.00 | 12.00 3.000 13.00 53.00 15.00 Max. Eff. Inten. (mm/hr) = 244.66 over (min) 15.00 Storage Coeff. 2.17 (ii) 7.55 (ii) (min) =15.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 15.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = 0.11 0.10 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW 0.00 (CMS) =0.05 0.055 (iii) 9.50 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 10.00 10.00 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 211.00 204.44 204.50 Page 3

ou1 TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFICIEN	cput for regiona (mm)= 212.00 T = 1.00	l storm-Proposed (212.00 0.96	condi ti on 212. 00 0. 96	
***** WARNING: STORAGE ***** WARNING: FOR AREA YOU SHOU	COEFF. IS SMALL S WITH IMPERVIOU LD CONSIDER SPLI	ER THAN TIME STEP IS RATIOS BELOW 20 TTING THE AREA.	! %	
(i) CN PROCEDUR CN* = 88 (ii) TIME STEP (THAN THE ST (iii) PEAK FLOW D	E SELECTED FOR P .O Ia = Dep. DT) SHOULD BE SM ORAGE COEFFICIEN OES NOT INCLUDE	PERVIOUS LOSSES: Storage (Above) MALLER OR EQUAL IT. BASEFLOW IF ANY.		
READ STORM	Filename: C:\U ata\ 31cd Comments: Haze	Isers\FMorshedi \Ap Local \Temp\ 1175b-0273-4245-8d 1	pD 5c-9f00c3144d99\	9c7215c3
TIME hrs 1.00 2.00 3.00	RAINTIME hrsmm/hrhrs6.004.004.005.006.006.00	RALN'TIMEmm/hr'hrs13.007.0017.008.0013.009.00	RAINTIMEmm/hrhrs23.0010.0013.0011.0013.0012.00	RAIN mm/hr 53.00 38.00 13.00
CALIB NASHYD (0003) ID= 1 DT= 5.0 min	Area (ha)= Ia (mm)= U.H. Tp(hrs)=	0.21 Curve Num 5.00 # of Line 0.20	ber (CN)= 80.0 ar Res.(N)= 3.00	

NOTE: RAINFALL WAS TRANSFORMED TO 5.0 MIN. TIME STEP.

		TRA	ANSFORME) HYETOGR	APH		
TIME	RALN	TIME	RALN	' TIME	RALN	TIME	RALN
hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr	' hrs	mm/hr	hrs	mm/hr
0.083	6.00	3.083	13.00	6. 083	23.00	9.08	53.00
0. 167	6.00	3. 167	13.00	6. 167	23.00	9.17	53.00
0.250	6.00	3. 250	13.00	6. 250	23.00	9.25	53.00
0.333	6.00	3.333	13.00	6.333	23.00	9.33	53.00
0.417	6.00	3.417	13.00	6. 417	23.00	9.42	53.00
0.500	6.00	3.500	13.00	6.500	23.00	9.50	53.00
0.583	6.00	3.583	13.00	6.583	23.00	9.58	53.00
0.667	6.00	3.667	13.00	6.667	23.00	9.67	53.00
0.750	6.00		13.00	6.750	23.00	9.75	53.00
0.033	6.00	3.033 2.017	13.00		23.00	9.83	53.00
	6.00		13.00		23.00	9.92	53.00
1 083	4 00	4.000	17 00	7.000	13 00	10.00	38 00
1 167	4 00	4 167	17.00	7 167	13 00	10.00	38 00
1 250	4 00	4 250	17.00	7 250	13 00	10.25	38 00
1 333	4 00	4 333	17.00	7 333	13 00	10 33	38 00
1.417	4.00	4.417	17.00	7.417	13.00	10.42	38.00
1.500	4.00	4.500	17.00	7.500	13.00	10.50	38.00
1.583	4.00	4.583	17.00	7.583	13.00	10. 58	38.00
1.667	4.00	4.667	17.00	7.667	13.00	10.67	38.00
1.750	4.00	4.750	17.00	7.750	13.00	10.75	38.00
1.833	4.00	4.833	17.00	7.833	13.00	10.83	38.00
1. 917	4.00	4.917	17.00	7.917	13.00	10. 92	38.00
			Page 4				

outpu	t for rec	ji onal	storm-Pr	roposed c	ondi ti or:	า	
2.000	4.00 5	000	17.00	8.000	13.00	11.00	38.00
2.083	6.00 5	. 083	13.00	8.083	13.00	11.08	13.00
2. 167	6.00 5	. 167	13.00	8.167	13.00	11.17	13.00
2.250	6.00 5	. 250	13.00	8.250	13.00	11.25 11.22	13.00
2.333	6.00 5	. 333 117	13.00	0.333 Q 117	13.00	11.33	13.00
2.417	6 00 5	500	13.00	8 500	13.00	11.42	13.00
2, 583	6.00 5	. 583	13.00	8.583	13.00	11.58	13.00
2.667	6.00 5	. 667	13.00	8.667	13.00	11.67	13.00
2. 750	6.00 5	. 750	13.00	8.750	13.00	11.75	13.00
2.833	6.00 5	. 833	13.00	8.833	13.00	11.83	13.00
2.917	6.00 5	. 917	13.00	8.917	13.00	11.92	13.00
3.000	6.00 6	. 000	13.00	9.000	13.00	12.00	13.00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms	s) = 0.04	41					
PEAK FLOW (cms	(5) = 0.02	28 (i)					
TIME TO PEAK (hrs	s) = 10.00	00 00					
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm	n)= 158.10	03					
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm	ı)= 212. <u>0</u>	00					
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	= 0.74	46					
(I) DEAK FLOW DOES N							
(I) FLAK I LOW DOES IN		DL DAJL		ANT.			
FI NI SH							
			=======	========		========	

APPENDIX D

Storm Sewers Size Calculations



CANADA | INDIA | AFRICA | ASIA | MIDDLE EAST

Sub-catchment EC1 City's record information

LEGEND

IN DE MIL DE AN A

NOTES

- 1. TRENCH WIDTH (SEPARATE TRENCH) AT THE TOP OF THE PIPE SHALL BE AS PER CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARD C.2-1-31.
- CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SUPPLYING ADDITIONAL BEDDING AND/OR STRONGER PIPE, IF ACTUAL TRENCH WIDTHS EXCEED DESIGN WIDTHS.
- 3. SEWERS TO HAVE CLASS B BEDDING TO CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARD C.2-1-31 AND CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARD C.2-1-32 AND 300mm SAND COVER TO CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARD C.2-1-33
- 4. CONCRETE SEWER PIPE TO HAVE RUBBER GASKET JOINTS.
- CONCRETE SEWER PIPE TO BE ENCASED IN 20MPa CONCRETE, FROM EACH MANHOLE TO THE FIRST JOINT OUTSIDE MANHOLE. ENCASEMENT TO EXTEND FROM UNDISTURBED GROUND TO 300mm ABOVE TOP OF PIPE.
- SURROUND ALL MANHOLES WITH A MINIMUM OF 1.0m COMPACTED GRANULAR BACKFILL. ALL CATCHBASINS TO HAVE COMPLETE, COMPACTED GRANULAR BACKFILL SURROUND.
- 7. EXCAVATED ROADS TO BE REINSTATED TO LATEST CITY OF MISSISSAUGA AND REGION OF PEEL STANDARDS.



	SUBDIVISION CONSULTANT MAJOR DRAI	INAG	STR	A BR	VILLE COADW	ay 5	REET	-	CITY STORM FOR CIRCL	OF DRAI	MI NAGE DRAII	SSI DESI NS FL	SSA GN C	AUG HART			SI PF DE	HEET	Na r No d By.	1	_ OF _		DATE	<u>1989</u>	
	LOCATION OF SECTION		FROM UPSTREAM	TO DOWNSTREAM	ADJACENT CONTRIBUTARY AREA	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT		ACCUMULATIVE AREA DRAINED BY SECTION	ACCUMULATIVE AREA TIMES RUNOFF COEFFICIENT FOR SECTION	FLOW TIME TO SECTION (FROM EXTREME	INITIAL TIME OF CONCENTRATION AT EXTREME UPSTREAM	TIME OF CONCENTRATION AT UPSTEAM END OF SECTION	INTENSITY OF RAINFALL	OUANTIFY OF FLOW TO BE ACCOMMODATED IN BECTION.	TYPE OF PIPE	MANNINGS ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT	SLOPE	DIAMETER	LENGTH OF SECTION	VELOCITY OF FLOWING WITH PIPE FLOWING	CAPACITY OF PIPE FLOWING FULL	PIPE INVERT AT UPSTREAM M.H.	PIPE INVERT AT DOWNSTREAM MH	TIME OF FLOW	
			MH#	MH#	A	CA	AAXCA	A=ZAA	A * C = & A * C A	.161	1ci	1c=1c1+c1	1	0=1AC 360		ก	S,	D	L	V	Q			1=Vx60	
				·	(ha)			(ha)		(min)	(min)	min	mm/hr	m3/SEC			.%	mm	m	m/SEC	m3/SEC	m	m	min :	
-									A 077	<u> </u>		15													
- 1	3ROADWAY ST.		PROP. 42	Ex.4	:822	0.45	0.370	· 822	0.510			15.0	100	0.03		.013.	0.5%	450	27.5	1.45	.208			0.31	
			F AC	C. A	2012		0 905	2010	. 905			150	100	0751		012	05%	(00	F275	177	162				
F		\sim	EX.9C	CX. 4-	2.012	0.45	0.195	2.012	0.905	~~~~~		12:0	100	0.251		.015	0.5%	au	3.15	677	. 40			0.26	
ł		+	EXA	ONTRU	2924	0.15	1275	2024	1.275			1531	98	0.347		.013	1.1%	600	15.5	2628	1.72	*****	[Find the second	3
		tu	<u>uu</u>		uu	uu	1.213	iiiiiii	1.219				10						12.0	2.020	.916			0.10	3
1	ш								- Contraction of the sector of the																
ſ									THE REAL PROPERTY OF												1				
	0																								
L	0																								
	F- N																								
F	- 20																								
\vdash																									
F													•	·									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
\vdash		-+							·																
\vdash																									
·	a l										·														
-												-+													
												-+													
	· .																								
Ľ		.		1				•																	





SUBDIVISION :	215	BROAD	WAY H	OLDING	S INC.			CIT	Y OF I	MISSIS	SAU	GA				SHEET No.			1	of	1
		215 BR	OADWA	AY STREE	T											PROJECT N	10. :		212-N	//79	
MAJOR DRAINAGE								ST	ORM SEV	VER DESIG	GN CHAI	RT				DESIGNED	BY:		M.E	3.	
AREA:			Z-39	E												DATE :		and a second	Oct -	'15	
	OKID		2222	ATEOL					FINAL	SUBMISS	ION					$I_{(10YR)} = 10$	10/(Tc+4.6)	0.78	b) is been served a defension between the served in the server of the	n an andreas al an e of same of a gran	
CONSULTANT :	SKIR	4 & A	SSOCI	ATESL	ID.											MANNING'S RO	JGHNESS COE	EFF. n = 0.013			
	FROM	то	AREA	RUNOFF		ACCUM.	ACCUM.	Tc	INTENSITY	EXPECTED	TYPE OF	LENGTH	SLOPE	PIPE SIZE	CAPACITY	VELOCITY	TIME OF	VELOCITY	VELOCITY	INVER	ELEV.
LOCATION	MH	MH		COEFF.		AREA	AaxCa			FLOW	PIPE			NOMINAL	n=0.013	n=0.013	FLOW	n = 0.009	ACTUAL	UPPER	LOWER
			Aa	Са	AaxCa	A=∑Aa	C=∑AaxCa		1	380		L	S	D	Q	V	T = V × 80				
	MH#	MH#	ha			ha		min	mm/hr	m³/s		m	%	mm	m³/s	m/s	min	m/s		MH	MH
BROADWAY STREET	1	EX.2	0.37	0.60	0.22	0.37	0.22	15.00	99 17	0.061	PVC.	19.0	0.60	450	0.230	1.40	0.77				
	EX.2	EX.3	0.73	0.60	0.44	1.10	0.66	15.77	96.23	0.176	CONC	65.0	0.60	450	0.230	1.40	0.77				
THOMAS STREET	EX.3	EX.5	3.39	0.60	2.03	4.49	2.69	16.54	93.48	0.699	CONC	14.0	0.82	675	0.795	2.15	0.11	3			
C	m	····	·····	·····	uuu	·····	uuu	uu	······	······	·····	uuu	m	uuu	······	mm	·····	7			
																			ressin		
																			OFESSION	AL	
																		10	()	12	
																		136		Z	
																		12	R. T. KERKU	SZ B	
																			23461015		
																		11	10/11/	2	
																		P	CONT.	18	
																		0	INCEPED	NT	
																			CE OF		
															alah menangkan dari sebagai se						

		<u> </u>			3 2 3
--	--	----------	--	--	-------

SUB-CATCHMENT C3 City Of Mississauga

LEA Consul Consulting and Planner Project: 51-57 Tannery Street

Iting Ltd.	Land Use								
Engineers	Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	D-01					
15	Checked:	R.B.							
	Proj. #	18038							
	Date:	Feb.08/18							

Land Use	Area (m ²)
Sub-Catchment SC1	
Asphalt & Concrete	990.0
Lown	719.0
Permeable Pavement	35.0
Berm	823.0
Total Site Area:	2567.0
Sub-Catchment SC2	
Asphalt & Concrete	725.0
Lown	823.0
Permeable Pavement	75.0
Total Site Area:	1623.0

Sub-Catchment EC1

Refer to City of Mississauga reecords including drainage area and design sheet

Sub-Catchment EC2	
Railway (Gravel)	1161.0
Lown	2361.0
Total Site Area:	3522.0
Sub-Catchment EC3	

Total Site Area:	4250.0
Lawn & Tree	1727.0
Gravel	574.0
Building and Paved	1949.0

Sub-Catchment EC4

Refer to City of Mississauga reecord design sheet

Sub-Catchment EC5

Total Site Area:	12684.0
Lawn & Tree	1975.0
Gravel	3742.0
Building and Paved	6967.0

LEA Consulting Ltd.	Composite "C" Calculation					
Consulting Engineers and	Prepared:	F.M	Page No. D-02			
r lanners	R.B.	R.B.				
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street	Proj. #	18038				
City Of Mississauga	Date:	Feb.08/18				
Composite Runoff Coefficient "C"						
Land Use	Area (ha)	С				
Sub-Catabrant SC1						
Asphalt & Concrete	0 000	0 90				
	0.033	0.30				
Permeable Payement	0.072	0.20				
	0.004	0.50				
	0.082	0.00				
Total Sile Alea.	0.174		0.60			
			0.02			
imperviousness Percent:			30.8%			
Sub-Catchment SC2						
Asphalt & Concrete	0.073	0.90				
Lown	0.082	0.25				
Permeable Pavement	0.008	0.50				
Total Site Area:	0 162	0.00				
Composite "C"	01102		0 55			
Imperviousness Percent:			44 7%			
imperviousness rereent.			44.770			
Sub-Catchment EC1						
Based on the City's Records:	2.834	0.45				
Sub-Catchment EC2						
Railway (Gravel)	0.116	0.6				
Lown	0.236	0.25				
Total Site Area:	0.352	0.20				
Composite "C"			0.37			
Imperviousness Percent:			0.0%			
•						
Sub-Catchment EC3						
Building and Paved	0.195	0.90				
Gravel	0.057	0.60				
Lawn & Tree	0.173	0.25				
Total Site Area:	0.425					
Composite "C"			0.60			
Imperviousness Percent:			45.9%			
Sub-Catchment EC4						
Based on the City's Records:	4.49	0.6				
Sub Catabrant EC5						
Duilding and David	0 607	0.00				
Dulluling and Paved	0.09/	0.90				
	0.3/4	0.60				
	0.198	0.25				
I otal Site Area:	1.268		0.74			
Composite "C"			U./1			
Imperviousness Percent:			54.9%			



LEA Consulting Ltd.
Consulting Engineers
and Planners

g Ltd.	Flow Rates Calculation										
leers	Prepared:	F.M	Page No.	D-03							
	R.B.	R.B.									
	Proj. #	18038									
	Date:	Feb.08/18									

Project: 51-57 Tannery Street SUB-CATCHMENT C3 City Of Mississauga

Rational Formulae: Q = 2.78 CIA (L/s)

Rainfall Intensity: I = a/(Tc+b)^c

(City Std. 2111.010)

Sub-Catchment SC1

Site Area:	0.1744	$T_{c}=$	15	min	C: 0.62		
	Return Period	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr
Rainfall Int	tensity (mm/hr)	59.89	80.51	99.17	113.89	127.13	140.69
Peak I	Flow Rate (L/s)	18.11	24.34	29.98	34.43	38.43	42.53

Т

Sub-Catchment SC2

Site Area: 0.1623	3	T _c =	15	min	C: 0.55		
Return Period	l 2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr	
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr	59.89	80.51	99.17	113.89	127.13	140.69	
Peak Flow Rate (L/s) 14.90	20.03	24.68	28.34	31.64	35.01	

Sub-Catchment EC1

Site Area:	2.834		$T_c =$	15.31	min	C:	C: 0.45		
	Return Period	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr		
Rainfall Inte	ensity (mm/hr)	59.16	79.53	97.96	112.51	125.59	139.00		
Peak F	low Rate (L/s)	209.60	281.76	347.05	398.59	444.95	492.46		

Sub-Catchment EC2

Site Area:	0.3522		$T_c =$	15	min	C: 0.37		
F	Return Period	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr	
Rainfall Inte	nsity (mm/hr)	59.89	80.51	99.17	113.89	127.13	140.69	
Peak Fl	ow Rate (L/s)	21.41	28.78	35.45	40.72	45.45	50.29	

Sub-Catchment EC3

Site Area:	0.425		T _c =	15	min	C: 0.60		
	Return Period	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr	
Rainfall Int	ensity (mm/hr)	59.89	80.51	99.17	113.89	127.13	140.69	
Peak F	Flow Rate (L/s)	42.10	56.59	69.70	80.06	89.36	98.89	

Sub-Catchment EC4

Site Area:	4.49		T _c =	16.54	min	C: 0.60		
	Return Period	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr	
Rainfall Inte	ensity (mm/hr)	56.46	75.90	492.46	107.37	119.88	132.74	
Peak F	low Rate (L/s)	422.55	568.02	3685.54	803.54	897.21	993.44	

Sub-Catchment EC5

Site Area:	1.2684		T _c =	15	min	C: 0.71		
	Return Period	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	25-yr	50-yr	100-yr	
Rainfall In	tensity (mm/hr)	59.89	80.51	99.17	113.89	127.13	140.69	
Peak I	Flow Rate (L/s)	149.90	201.50	248.19	285.05	318.19	352.11	

DEVELOPMENT: 51-57 Tannery Street

CONSULTANT: LEA Consulting Ltd

Transportation and Works

SHEET No .:

DATE: March.27,2018

DESIGNED BY: F.M.

STORM DRAINAGE DESIGN CHART FOR CIRCULAR DRAINS FLOWING FULL

CHECKED BY: F.M.

MAJOR DRAINAGE AREA: Mullet Creek

											City of Miss	sissauga	Intensity	10yr = 10	10.42/(tc	+4.6) ^{0.78}							
FROM UPSTREAM	TO DOWNSTREAM	Catchment AREA	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	AREA TIMES RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	ACCUMULATIVE AREA DRAINED BY SECTION	ACCUMULATIVE AREA TIMES RUNOFF COEFFICIENT FOR SECTION	FLOW TIME TO SECTION FROM EXTREME UPSTREAM INLET	INITIAL TIME OF CONCENTRATION AT EXTREME UPSTREAM INL.	TIME OF CONCENTRATION UPSTREAM END OF SECTION	INTENSITY OF RAINFALL	QUANTITY OF FLOW TO BE ACCOMODATED IN SECTION	TYPE OF PIPE	MANNING ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT	SLOPE	DIAMETER	LENGTH OF SECTION	VELOCITY OF FLOW WITH PIPE FLOWING FULL	CAPACITY OF PIPE FLOWING FULL	PIPE INVERT AT UPSTREAM M.H.	PIPE INVERT AT DOWNSTREAM M.H.	TIME OF FLOW IN SECTION	QUANTITY OF FLOW TO PIPE FLOWING FULL	
MH#	MH#	A	С	AxC	SUM. A	SUM AxC	tC _f	tc _i	tc=tc _f +tc _i	i	Q=iAC/360		n	S	D	L	V	Q _f			t=L/Vx60	Q/Q _f	
DICB2	MH3	3.186			na		min	min	min	mmym	542.8	CONC	0.013	1.5	600	10.3	2.6597	751.99	154.91	154.76	0.0645	% 0.7218	External minor record. sub-ca and Major flow
МНЗ	CBMH1	0.174	0.62	0.11	0.17	0.11	0.06454	15	15.06	98.9	572.4	CONC	0.013	0.60	750	69.90	1.95	862.3	154.61	154.19	0.60	0.66	Sub-catchmer
CBMH1	Ex. DCBMH1	0.162	0.55	0.09	0.16	0.09	0.60	15.06	15.66	96.6	596.4	CONC	0.013	0.60	750	108.90	1.95	862.3	154.17	153.51	0.93	0.69	Sub-catchmer
Ex. DCBMH1	MH4	0.425	0.60	0.26	0.59	0.34	0.93	15.66	16.59	93.3	793.3	CONC	0.013	1.00	750	12.70	2.52	1113.2	153.49	153.37	0.08	0.71	Dischared flow Minor Flow fro
MH4	Ex. DCBMH2	4.490	0.60	2.69	5.08	3.04	0.08	16.59	16.54	93.5	1493.0	CONC	0.013	2.80	750	50.10	4.22	1862.8	153.29		0.20	0.80	Minor flow fror Tc=16.54 min
Ex. DCBMH2	Outfall	1.268	0.71	0.90	6.35	3.94	0.20	16.54	16.74	92.8	1719.5	CONC	0.013	1.40	900	48.00	3.37	2141.9	-0.15	-0.82	0.24	0.80	Sub-catchmer

Refer to Fig. 3 in Appendix H for Sewers Drainage Area Plan

NOTES
or and major flow from Pearl St. and broadwat St. based on the City's atchment EC1: $(Q_{10}=351.3 \text{ l/s}; Q_{100}=492.5 \text{ l/s})$ Minor w from Railway ditches- Sub-catchment EC2: $(Q_{100}=50.3 \text{ l/s})$
nt SC1
nt SC2
w from the site: 131.6 L/S om EC3
m EC4. based on the City's records: A= 4.49ha, C=0.6, Q=699l/s,
nt EC5



Existing Outfall



Existing Outfall



Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Brief 51 Tannery Street and 208 Emby Drive, City of Mississauga

APPENDIX E

Overland Flow calculations for Emby Drive Extension



CANADA | INDIA | AFRICA | ASIA | MIDDLE EAST

Page | 18

	LEA Consulting Ltd.	Overland Flow Analysis along Emby Drive							
	Consulting Engineers and Planners			Page No.	E-01				
Project: 51-57 Tanner Emby Drive Extension	y Street n (From Tannery St.	Proj. #	18038						
to Southern Entrance City Of Mississauga	Date:	May.28/19							

Composite Runoff Coefficient "C"

Land-use	Area (ha)	С	Composite "C"
Asphalt and paved	0.311	0.90	
Permeable Pavement	0.000	0.45	
Landscaped Area	0.151	0.25	
Total Site Area Imperviousness Percent:	0.463		0.69 67.3

Rational Formulae: Q = 2.78 CIA (L/s)

Drainage Area:	0.463 ha
Time of Concentration	15 minutes as per City Guidelines
Runoff Coefficient :	0.69 Post-development
Runoff Coefficient	1.00 (10-year)
Adjustment Factors:	1.00 (10-year) 1.25 (100-year)

Rainfall Intensity: I = a/(Tc+b)^c (City Std. 2111.010)

0.15r 6825

_

Return Period:	10-yr	100-yr
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr):	99.17	140.69
Peak flow rate under proposed site conditions (L/s):	87.62	155.38

Q₁₀₀ - Q₁₀ 67.76 L/s Overland Flow

INPUT DATA

Longitudinal slope, S_0	0.015 m/m
Manning's n	0.013
Overland Flow, Q	0.068 m ³ /s
CALCULATION	
Overland flow Depth at end of the Emby Drive Extension	0.04 m
¢ exten: emby i	SION OF DRIVE
0.15m DEPTH: 0.04m 2.0%	2.0%

8.0 m



APPENDIX F

Sanitary and Water Demand Calculations



CANADA | INDIA | AFRICA | ASIA | MIDDLE EAST

Page | 19

	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	Sanitary Flow Rate Calculation			
		Prepared:	F.M.	Page No.	F-01
		Checked:	M.D.		
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038		
		Date:	Feb.08/18		

POPULATION CALCULATION					
Site Area Number of Townhoses		10834 m ² 156 units			
Proposed Building Type	Density (P.P.U)	Population			
Residential	2.7	421.20			
Total		421.20			
SANITARY FLOW CALCULATION	N				
Harmon Peaking Factor:	M=1+14/(4+P ^{0.5})				
Peaking Factor Average Daily Wastewater Flow Total Actual Domestic Flow		4.01 302.8 L/cap/day 5.92 L/sec			
Total Domestic Flow (For less than 1000 person shall be 13.0 L/sec-STD.DWG. 2-5-2, Region of Peel)		13.00 L/sec			
Infiltration Allowance (@ 0.2 L/sec. Actual Design flow Standard Design Flow	/ha)	0.22 L/sec 6.14 L/sec 13.22 L/sec			
	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	Water Demand Calculation			
------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------	----------	------
		Prepared:	F.M.	Page No.	F-02
		Checked:	M.D.		
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038		
		Date:	Feb.08/18		

This calculation is following the "Water Supply for Public Fire Protection" by Fire Underwriters Survey.

Formula:	whore	F = 220C√A			
	WIEFE	F = the requirC = coefficien= 1.0 for OrA = the total fconsider onlytwo immediat	red fire flow intrelated to t related to t relinary const floor area in s the area of t ely adjoining	n litres po the type of ruction square m the larges floors.	er minute of construction. etres. For fire resistive buildings, st floor plus 25% of each of the
Step 1	د ما م				
According	Ground Flo 2nd Floor 3rd Floor A	adjoining largest adjoining	rea (m2) 541 576 576 855		
Therefore, Step 2	F =	6000	l/min		
Occupanc	y reduction	า:			
	For occupa	ancies with a lo	ow contents	fire haza	rd, the reduction rate is 15%,
	Therefore:	F=	5100 l/m	nin	
Step 3					
Reduction	for sprinkle Using the I Therefore:	r protection: NFPA sprinkle F =	r system, a r 3570 l/m	eduction	rate of 30% is used.
Step 4					
Separation	charge:				
	Charge for	the separation	ns on each s	ide:	
		Separation	Ch	arge	N/+
		0-3m		25%	VV est
		30.1 to 20 m		%C ۱۵۵/	North
		20.1 to 30 m		10% 25%	South
		0-511		2070	Last
	Total chard	ge in %		65%	
	Total char	ge in l/min		2320.5	
Required F	ire Flow:			6000	l/min
oquilou i		01		100.00	//s
		01	r	1585	US GPM

	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	Water Demand Calculation			
and Planners		Prepared:	F.M.	Page No.	F-03
and Flammers		Checked:	M.D.		
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038		
		Date:	Feb.08/18		

Total Population:	421 (See Page F-01)		
Peak Hour Demand Ca	culation:		
Residential Per Capita D Peaking Factor Peak Hour Demand	emand		280 L/cap/day 3 4.10 L/sec
Maximum Day Demand	Calculation:		
Residential Per Capita D Peaking Factor Maximum Day Demand	emand		280 L/cap/day 2 2.73 L/sec
Fire Flow for Residenti	al:		100.00 L/sec
Max. Day Demand plus	Fire Flow:		102.73 L/sec
Design Water Demand			102.73 L/sec
		or	1628.27 US GPM

APPENDIX G

Single Use Demand Table, Hydrant Flow Test Data and Watermain Adequacy Assessment



CANADA | INDIA | AFRICA | ASIA | MIDDLE EAST

	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	Connection Demand Table			
		Prepared:	F.M.	Page No.	G-01
		Checked:	R.B.		
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038		
		Date:	27-Jun-19		

Connection Demand Table

WATER CONNECTION

Connaction Point	Emby Drive Extension
Pressure zone of connection point	Zone 3
Total equivalent population to be serviced	419 Person- Based on 2.7 PPU
Total lands to be serviced	1.08 ha

HYDRANT FLOW TEST

Hydrant flow test location	Tannery Street		
	Pressure	Flow	Timo
	(kPa)	(I/s)	TITLE
Minimum water pressure	54	683.5	
Maximum water pressure	58	983.3	
Hydrant flow test location	Thomas Street		
	Pressure	Flow	Timo
	(kPa)	(I/s)	TITLE
Minimum water pressure	71	696.2	
Maximum water pressure	73	602.9	

*See fire hydrant flow tests

No	Wate Demand	Domand	Unite
NO.	Demand type	Demanu	Units
1	Average day flow	1.37	l/s
2	Maximum day flow	2.73	l/s
3	Peak hour flow	4.10	l/s
4	*Fire flow	100.00	l/s
Analysis			
5	Maximum day plus fire flow	102.73	l/s
1.0.01			

*See fire calculations

HYDRANT FLOW TEST

Connaction Point	Emby Drive Extension, MH2A
Total equivalent population to be serviced	419 Person- Based on 2.7 PPU
Total lands to be serviced	1.08 ha
Wastewater sewers effluent (I/s)	*13.22

*As per Region of Peel Guidelines, population less than 1000 person, sewage flow is to be 13.0 l/s

	ng Ltd.	Residual Pressure				
and Planners	Pr	epared:	F.M.	Page No.	G-02	
and hanners	Cł	necked:	R.B.			
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street		oj. #	18038			
City Of Mississauga	Da	ate:	27-Jun-19			

Hydrant Test Readings (300mm watermain, 51 Tannery Street) undertaken on June 15, 2017, by Focus Fire Protection

, ,	-	
Flow	Residual Pressure	
0 US GPM	62 psi	
683.5 US GPM	54 psi	
983.3 US GPM	58 psi	
3522 US GPM	20 psi	Focus Fire Protection Estimate

Interpolated					
PM) Residual F	Pressure (psi)				
62.0					
60.8					
59.7					
58.5					
57.3					
56.1					
55.0					
54.0					
55.3					
56.7					
58.0					
57.8					
48.3					
42.8					
27.8					
20.0					
	nterpolated PM) Residual F 62.0 60.8 59.7 58.5 57.3 56.1 55.0 54.0 55.3 56.7 58.0 57.8 48.3 42.8 27.8 20.0				







	LEA Consulting Ltd. Consulting Engineers and Planners	Residual Pressure			
		Prepared:	F.M.	Page No.	G-04
		Checked:	R.B.		
Project: 51-57 Tannery Street City Of Mississauga		Proj. #	18038		
		Date:	27-Jun-19		

Hydrant Test Readings (300mm watermain, Thomas Street) undertaken on May 10, 2019, by Focus Fire Protection

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Flow	Residual Pressure
0 US GPM	75 psi
602.9 US GPM	73 psi
692.2 US GPM	71 psi
2866.9 US GPM	20 psi

Focus Fire Protection Estimate

Interpolated				
Flow (US GPM)	Residual Pressure (psi)			
0	75.0			
100	74.7			
200	74.3			
300	74.0			
400	73.7			
500	73.3			
600	73.0			
602.9	73.0			
703	70.8			
803	68.5			
692.2	71.0			
1000	63.8			
1628	49.1			
2000	40.3			
3000	16.9			
2867	20.0			







APPENDIX H

Figures and Drawing



CANADA | INDIA | AFRICA | ASIA | MIDDLE EAST



(11"x17" / 279 x 431 mm)



(11"x17" / 279 x 431 mm)











