FUNCTIONAL SERVICING & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

1575 HURONTARIO STREET

CITY OF MISSISSAUGA REGION OF PEEL

PREPARED FOR:

DREAM MAKER

PREPARED BY:

C.F. CROZIER & ASSOCIATES INC. 8 MARKET STREET, SUITE 600 TORONTO, ON M5E 1M6

DECEMBER 2017

CFCA FILE NO. 1110-4677

The material in this report reflects best judgment in light of the information available at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions made based on it, are the responsibilities of such third parties. C.F. Crozier & Associates Inc. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.



Revision Number	Date	Comments
Rev.0	September 14, 2017	Issued for OPA & ZBA (Not Submitted)
Rev.1	December 6, 2017	Issued for OPA & ZBA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0		4
2.0	GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION	4
3.0	WATER SERVICING	4
3.	Existing Water Servicing	4
3.	8.2 Water Design Demand	4
3.	 Proposed Water Servicing	5
4.0	SANITARY SERVICING	5
4.	.1 Existing Sanitary Servicing	5
4.	.2 Sanitary Design Flow	5
4.	Proposed Sanitary Servicing	6
5.0	STORMWATER DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	6
5.0 5.	STORMWATER DRAINAGE CONDITIONS	6
5.0 5. 5.	STORMWATER DRAINAGE CONDITIONS 9.1 Pre-Development Drainage Conditions 9.2 Post-Development Drainage Conditions	6 6 7
5.0 5. 5. 6.0	STORMWATER DRAINAGE CONDITIONS 9.1 Pre-Development Drainage Conditions 9.2 Post-Development Drainage Conditions STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	6 6 7 8
5.0 5. 5. 6.0 6.0	STORMWATER DRAINAGE CONDITIONS 5.1 Pre-Development Drainage Conditions 5.2 Post-Development Drainage Conditions STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 5.1 Stormwater Criteria	6 7 8 8
5.0 5. 5. 6.0 6.	STORMWATER DRAINAGE CONDITIONS 5.1 Pre-Development Drainage Conditions 5.2 Post-Development Drainage Conditions 5.2 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 5.1 Stormwater Criteria 5.2 Stormwater Quantity Control	6 6 7 8 9 9
5.0 5. 6.0 6. 6.	STORMWATER DRAINAGE CONDITIONS 5.1 Pre-Development Drainage Conditions 5.2 Post-Development Drainage Conditions 5.2 Post-Development Drainage Conditions 5.1 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 5.1 Stormwater Criteria 5.2 Stormwater Quantity Control 5.3 Stormwater Quality Control	6 7 8 9 9 9
5.0 5. 6.0 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.	STORMWATER DRAINAGE CONDITIONS 5.1 Pre-Development Drainage Conditions 5.2 Post-Development Drainage Conditions 5.2 Post-Development Drainage Conditions 5.1 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 5.1 Stormwater Criteria 5.2 Stormwater Quantity Control 5.3 Stormwater Quality Control 5.4 Water Balance	6
5.0 5. 6.0 6. 6. 6. 6. 7.0	STORMWATER DRAINAGE CONDITIONS 9.1 Pre-Development Drainage Conditions 9.2 Post-Development Drainage Conditions 9.3 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 9.1 Stormwater Criteria 9.2 Stormwater Quantity Control 9.3 Stormwater Quality Control 9.4 Water Balance EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL DURING CONSTRUCTION	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Estimated Domestic Water Demand
Table 2:	Estimated Sanitary Design Flow
Table 3:	Pre-Development Land Areas, Runoff Coefficients, and Peak Flow Rates
Table 4:	Post-Development Land Areas, Runoff Coefficients, and Peak Flow Rate
Table 5:	Summary of Treatment Train Control Measures
Table 6:	Summary of Peak Flow Rates
Table 7:	Summary of Infiltration Gallery Parameters

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A:	Water Demand Calculations
-------------	---------------------------

- Appendix B: Sanitary Flow Calculations
- Appendix C: Stormwater Management Calculations
- Appendix D: External Reports

LIST OF DRAWINGS

- Drawing C01: Removals Plan, Erosion & Sediment Control Plan
- Drawing CO2: Site Servicing Plan
- Drawing CO3: Site Grading Plan
- Drawing CO4: Construction Notes & Details

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1: Pre-Development Storm Drainage Plan
- Figure 2: Post-Development Storm Drainage Plan

1.0 INTRODUCTION

C.F. Crozier & Associates Inc. (Crozier) has been retained by Dream Maker for civil engineering design of the proposed residential development at 1575 Hurontario Street in the City of Mississauga. Crozier has prepared this report in support of an Official Plan Amendment (OPA) and Zoning By-Law Amendment (ZBA) to outline the water and sanitary servicing, grading, and stormwater management.

The purpose of this report is to demonstrate to the City of Mississauga that the proposed development is constructible from a site servicing and stormwater management perspective.

2.0 GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

The subject lands cover an area of approximately 0.38 ha and currently consists of a vacant asphalt parking lot, a concrete building foundation and landscaped areas. The property is bounded by commercial properties to the north and south, residential properties and Glenview Drive to the east, and Hurontario Street to the west. An existing swale conveys drainage from the northern neighbouring property through the site to an existing double catch basin in an existing stormwater easement adjacent to the south property line. Based on correspondence with City of Mississauga staff, the existing drainage condition, which allows surface drainage to be conveyed from the northern adjacent property to the existing stormwater easement on the south property line, will be maintained in the proposed drainage condition.

The proposed development consists of two stacked back-to-back townhouse blocks with 32 and 28 units respectively, built above an underground parking garage. A main access road extends east from Hurontario Road through the site and provides access to the proposed underground parking garage. The site slopes downgrade from Hurontario Street towards Glenview Drive at an average rate of 4.0%, with sanitary and stormwater servicing connections being proposed at the south-east corner of the site, into existing municipal service lines on Glenview Drive. A water connection is proposed on the west property line into the municipal service line on Hurontario Street.

3.0 WATER SERVICING

3.1 Existing Water Servicing

According to the approved Site Grading and Servicing Plan prepared by Charlton Engineering Limited, dated April 2003, there is an existing 450 mm diameter concrete watermain on Hurontario Street and a 150 mm diameter watermain on Maplewood Road. There is an existing fire hydrant on the east side of Hurontario Street, approximately 7 m south of the south-west corner of the site.

3.2 Water Design Demand

The Region of Peel Public Works Watermain Design Criteria was used to estimate the proposed water demands for domestic purposes. A density of 2.54 people per unit (ppu) was applied for the stacked townhouse units, as designated by Peel Region. The proposed water service was sized to convey a peak hour flow rate of 1.48 L/s for this development, as determined by Region of Peel criteria. A summary of the results is presented in **Table 1**, with detailed calculations provided in **Appendix A**.

Table 1: Estimated Proposed Domestic Water Demand					
Method	Average Daily Demand (L/s)	Maximum Daily Demand (L/s)	Peak Hourly Demand (L/s)		
Region of Peel	0.49	0.99	1.48		

The Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) method was used to complete the fire flow demand analysis for the proposed development, with the water service sized to service the full build-out of the development. The proposed fire line water service will be required to accommodate a fire flow of 183.3 L/s for a duration of 2.5 hours per the FUS calculations, which are provided in **Appendix A**.

Note that the Fire Underwriter's Survey value is a conservative estimate for comparison purposes only. The Mechanical Engineer for this development will complete the required analysis for fire protection, and the Architect will design fire separation methods per the determined fire flow rate in order to meet municipally available flows and pressures. The exact location of the existing watermain will need to be verified by the contractor in the field. If required, in order to determine the available fire flow and pressure within the existing municipal system, a hydrant flow test can be completed.

3.3 **Proposed Water Servicing**

The proposed development will be serviced through a water service connection to the existing 450 mm diameter watermain on the east side of Hurontario Street. The proposed 150 mm diameter watermain will split at the property line into a 150 mm diameter fire connection, and a 100 mm diameter domestic water service (refer to Drawing CO2). The proposed water service and fire line will be equipped with a property line valve and box and connect inside the mechanical room of the building, per mechanical design and specifications. A water meter with backflow preventer will be installed inside the mechanical room located in the underground parking garage structure, per mechanical design and specifications. The connection will adhere to Region of Peel standards.

4.0 SANITARY SERVICING

4.1 **Existing Sanitary Servicing**

According to the approved Site Grading and Servicing Plan prepared by Charlton Engineering Limited, dated April 2003, there is an existing 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer on Hurontario Street and an existing 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer on Glenview Drive.

4.2 **Sanitary Design Flow**

The Region of Peel Public Works Sanitary Sewer Design Criteria was consulted to estimate the sanitary design flows generated by the proposed development. A summary of the results is presented in Table 2, with detailed sanitary design flow calculations provided in Appendix B.

Method	Average Daily Flow (L/s)	Peak Flow (L/s)	Infiltration Flow (L/s)	Total Peak Flow (L/s)
Region of Peel	0.53	2.24	0.08	2.31

Table 2: Estimated Sanitary Design Flow

For this application, the proposed sanitary service was sized to convey a peak hourly flow rate of 2.31 L/s, as determined by the Region of Peel design criteria.

4.3 Proposed Sanitary Servicing

The proposed development will be serviced through a sanitary service connection to an existing manhole on the existing 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer on Glenview Drive (refer to **Drawing CO2**). A 250 mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer at 2.0% will connect from the existing manhole to a proposed property line manhole. The sanitary sewer will enter through the wall of the underground parking garage structure and the internal sanitary system of the buildings will be designed by the Mechanical Engineer to ensure the required connection for each townhouse block.

5.0 STORMWATER DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

5.1 Pre-Development Drainage Conditions

Based on a review of the existing topographic survey prepared by Tom A. Senkus, dated June 8th, 2015, the development area currently consists of a vacant asphalt parking lot on the west half of the site and landscaped areas on the east half of the site. The site generally slopes downgrade eastward from Hurontario Street to the south-east corner of the property at Maplewood Road and Glenview Drive. An existing catch basin is located at the south-east corner of the asphalt area and is assumed to connect to the existing double catch basin at the south property line, which is located within an existing stormwater easement and connects to the existing 750 mm diameter storm sewer on Maplewood Road. An existing swale conveys drainage from the adjacent northern property through the site to the existing stormwater easement and eventually to the storm sewer on Maplewood Road.

Based on a discussion with City of Mississauga staff, the existing drainage condition which allows surface drainage to be conveyed from the northern adjacent property to the existing stormwater easement at the south property line, will be maintained in the proposed drainage condition. City of Mississauga staff also provided an existing drainage plan for the area, which is included in **Appendix D** and was used to complete the Pre-Development Drainage Plan (**Figure 1**). Based on the existing drainage plan, the site encompasses 0.34 ha of an existing 2.76 ha drainage area, with a pre-development runoff coefficient of 0.40. The pre-development drainage plan outlines a portion of the site which fronts onto Hurontario Street draining to Hurontario Street, with the remainder of the site draining to the existing double catch basin and the 750 mm diameter storm sewer on Maplewood Road.

Based on the existing topographic information and the existing drainage plan provided by City of Mississauga staff, the portion of the site included within the existing drainage plan is considered the predevelopment catchment area (Catchment 101), with the outlet being the existing 750 mm diameter storm sewer on Maplewood Road. The remainder of the existing external drainage catchment is considered Catchment 102. A summary of the pre-development catchment area characteristics is shown in **Table 3**, with detailed calculations provided in **Appendix C**.

Catchment Area	Pervious Area (ha) (RC = 0.25)	Impervious Area (ha) (RC = 0.90)	Total Area (ha)	Weighted Runoff Coefficient (RC)	Design Storm Event	Peak Flow Rate (L/s)
					2	23.0
					5	30.9
101(Cito)	0.26	0.09	0.24	0.40	10	38.1
IUI (Site)	0.26	0.08	0.34	0.40	25	43.7
					50	48.8
					100	54.0
	1.86	0.56	2.41	0.40	2	162.0
					5	217.7
102 (Extornal)					10	268.1
102 (External)					25	308.0
					50	343.8
					100	380.5
		0.64	2.76	0.40	2	185.0
					5	248.6
Entire	2.12				10	306.2
Catchment					25	351.7
					50	392.6
					100	434.5

Table 3: Pre-Development Land Areas, Runoff Coefficients, and Peak Flow Rates

5.2 Post-Development Drainage Conditions

Under post-development conditions, the site is divided into two drainage catchment areas, which along with the existing external drainage catchment, are shown in **Figure 2**. The drainage catchments are described below in detail and summarized in **Table 4**, with detailed calculations provided in **Appendix C**.

Catchment 201:

The majority of the site (0.38 ha) will drain through an internal system of area drains and catch basins. The area drains are located within the footprint of the underground parking garage and the catch basins are located adjacent to the underground parking garage. All area drains and catch basins will be connected to the underground parking garage structure's internal conveyance system, which will be designed by the mechanical engineer. The rooftop leaders from the townhouse blocks will splash to grade and drain to the internal storm sewer system. The internal storm sewer system will convey runoff into the proposed underground stormwater cistern, which is located in the underground parking garage structure, and the Triton S-22 underground stormwater chamber, which is located outside of the underground parking garage structure. The runoff will be controlled to below the allowable release rate and then released by gravity flow into the storm sewer system on Maplewood Road as described in Section 6.0.

Catchment 202:

Catchment 202 comprises a small landscaped area (0.01 ha) along the south property line, which is adjacent to the existing double catch basin and stormwater easement. Based on the existing drainage conditions, this area will drain uncontrolled to the storm sewer system on Maplewood Road.

Catchment 203:

Catchment 203 comprises the existing external drainage catchment with a runoff coefficient of 0.40, as indicated by the existing drainage plan. As previously indicated, the existing drainage condition which allows surface drainage to be conveyed from the northern adjacent property to the existing stormwater easement at the south property line, will be maintained in the post-development drainage plan.

Catchment Area	Pervious Area (ha) (RC = 0.25)	Impervious Area (ha) (RC = 0.90)	Total Area (ha)	Weighted RC	Design Storm Event	Peak Flow Rate (L/s)
					2	47.1
					5	63.3
201 (Site –	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.74	10	77.9
controlled)	0.09	0.29	0.38	0.74	25	89.5
					50	99.9
					100	110.6
					2	0.2
					5	0.2
202 (Site –	0.01	0	0.01	0.01 0.25	10	0.3
uncontrolled)	0.01		0.01		25	0.3
					50	0.4
					100	0.4
					2	162.0
	1.86	0.56	2.41	0.40	5	217.7
203 (External)					10	268.1
					25	308.0
					50	343.8
					100	380.5
					2	209.2
					5	281.2
Entire	1.05	0.94	2 90*	0.45	10	346.4
Catchment	1.95	0.84	2.80	0.45	25	397.8
					50	444.1
					100	491.4

Table 4: Post-Develo	oment Land Areas.	Runoff Coefficients	and Peak Flow Rates
	princine Euria Arcus,	Ranon coemciency	

*The pre-development total drainage area is equal to 2.76 hectares. The post-development total drainage area is equal to 2.80 hectares. This difference is due to the existing drainage plan, which identifies a portion of the site draining towards Hurontario Street in the pre-development condition, while the post-development condition considers the entire site area (3829 m²) draining towards the 750 mm diameter storm sewer on Maplewood Road.

6.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Implementation of the proposed development will result in changes to the quantity and quality of the stormwater runoff leaving the site, as well as to the existing water balance patterns. The proposed stormwater management (SWM) plan will mitigate the impact of the proposed development on the existing drainage conditions by implementing best management practices (BMP) designed to provide quantity, quality, and balance controls. The proposed control measures will be implemented in a comprehensive treatment train and in accordance with City of Mississauga SWM criteria.

A qualitative summary of the proposed SWM treatment train is summarized in **Table 5** below:

Ohiastiva	Control Measures by Level				
Objective	Lot	<u>Conveyance</u>	End-of-pipe		
Water Quantity	Enhanced Initial Abstraction	Grassed Swales	Underground Storage		
Water Quality	Clean Runoff Separation	Grassed Swales	Oil and Grit Separator		
Water Balance	Enhanced Initial Abstraction	Grassed Swales	Infiltration Gallery		

Table 5: Summary of Treatment Train Control Measures

The proposed SWM treatment train includes lot, conveyance and end-of-pipe measures designed to meet the water quantity, quality and balance design objectives. Quantitative descriptions of the SWM treatment train components for each design objective are provided in Sections 6.2, 6.3, and 6.4 of this report. The treatment train will be complemented by erosion and sediment control measures at all stages of construction, with details provided in Section 7.

6.1 Stormwater Criteria

The stormwater management for the site includes controlling the stormwater from the subject property in accordance with the standards set out by the 'Development Requirements Manual' (City of Mississauga Transportation and Works, September 2016) and the 'Stormwater Management Criteria' (Credit Valley Conservation (CVC), August 2012). As the site is located within the Mary Fix Creek subwatershed and the ultimate storm sewer outlet is the existing 750 mm diameter storm sewer on Maplewood Road, the SWM objectives for the proposed SWM Plan were based on CVC and Ministry of Environment and Climate Change (MOECC) criteria as follows:

- Quantity Control: Control 10-yr post-development peak flows to 2-yr pre-development peak flow levels.
- Quality Control: Enhanced Levels of Protection per MOECC Standards (80% TSS Removal).
- Water Balance: 5 mm retention of stormwater across the entire site.
- Erosion and Sediment Control: Controls to be provided during construction.

The site is located within a Ministry of Transportation (MTO) regulated area. The MTO criteria for quantity control states that post-development peak flow rates for all storms up to and including the 100-year event must be controlled to pre-development levels using only surface storage (rooftop and subsurface systems are not considered in the calculations). Based on the existing site conditions (site grade slopes at an average 4% from Hurontario Street to Maplewood Drive), surface storage is not feasible.

6.2 Stormwater Quantity Control

Water quantity objectives will be achieved by reducing post-development peak flow rates to target rates through a combination of lot, conveyance and end of pipe measures. At the lot level, enhanced initial abstraction values will be provided by a thicker layer of topsoil (minimum of 0.2 m) in landscape areas that will result in greater local runoff volumes infiltrated as well as greater volumes of runoff from adjacent impervious surfaces intercepted; this will in turn result in lower overall runoff volumes leaving the site. The proposed grassed swales will also contribute to peak flow reduction by lengthening the path of flows and encouraging infiltration. Ultimate control of the flows leaving the site will be provided by the orifice control, which will be installed downstream of the proposed underground storage chamber and cistern.

The Modified Rational Method was used to determine the pre-development and post-development peak flow rates for the site using Mississauga rainfall intensities, individual catchment areas, and calculated runoff coefficients. The peak flow rates were then used to determine the maximum allowable discharge rate based on the 2 year pre-development design storm event.

The site runoff entering the storm sewer on Maplewood Road must be controlled from the 10 year postdevelopment design storm event (78.2 L/s) to the 2 year pre-development design storm event (23.0 L/s). Since the 10-year design flows from Catchment 202 (0.3 L/s) will be released uncontrolled, the maximum allowable release rate for the quantity controls in Catchment 201 will be reduced to 22.7 L/s (23.0 L/s – 0.3 L/s).

To control the 10-year flow from Catchment 201 to the above target, a 35.2 m³ underground stormwater storage chamber (Triton S-22 or approved equivalent) and 65.0 m³ underground stormwater storage cistern will be installed upstream of a 75 mm orifice tube. The stormwater chamber and cistern will gravity drain to the existing 750 mm diameter storm sewer on Maplewood Road.

Refer to **Table 6** for a summary of the pre-development and post-development design storm event peak flows. Detailed calculations of the Modified Rational Method, storage requirements and orifice tube sizing are provided in **Appendix C**.

Storm Event	Total Area (ha)	Pre- Development Peak Flow (L/s)	Post- Development Peak Flow (L/s)	Catchment 201 10-Yr Post-Development Peak Flow Rate (Controlled*) (L/s)	<u>Catchment 202</u> 10-Yr Post-Development Peak Flow Rate (Uncontrolled) (L/s)	<u>Entire site</u> 10-Yr Post- Development Peak Flow Rate (L/s)
2	2 5	23.0	47.3			
5		30.9	63.5			
10	0.20	38.1	78.2	19.0	0.3	10.2
25	0.38	43.7	89.8	18.9	0.3	19.2
50]	48.8	100.3			
100		54.0	111.0			

Table 6: Summary of Peak Flow Rates

*Refer to Orifice Tube Design Summary in Appendix C

6.3 Stormwater Quality Control

Water quality objectives will be achieved by reducing, intercepting and removing suspended solids from runoff through the implementation of lot, conveyance and end-of-pipe measures. At the lot level, clean and dirty runoff will be separated from roof and ground surfaces when possible to simplify the treatment of the "dirty" runoff. At the conveyance level, grassed swales upstream of the storm sewer system will slow down runoff and encourage the interception and settling of suspended solids. The final stage of the water quality treatment train will be an oil and grit separator (OGS) located downstream of the underground stormwater storage chamber and cistern. As shown in **Appendix C,** preliminary sizing calculations have found a Stormceptor Model 300 or approved equal will provide 84% removal of suspended solids for 99% of the runoff volume, as required by the MOECC and the City of Mississauga.

6.4 Water Balance

Water balance objectives will be met by a combination of lot, conveyance and end-of-pipe measures designed to retain and/or infiltrate on site the first millimeters of all precipitation events. At the lot level, the initial abstraction of pervious surfaces will be enhanced to increase their potential for the retention and

interception of runoff. At the conveyance level, it is expected that the proposed grassed swales will retain and infiltrate a portion of the runoff that they convey. To complete the required objective, an infiltration gallery will receive runoff from the landscaped areas and a portion of the townhouse rooftops.

The infiltration gallery is provided in the form of a gravel sump located beneath the stormwater storage chamber (Triton S-22 or approved equivalent). The gravel sump has been sized to infiltrate the 5 mm water balance requirement for the site over a drawdown period of 48 hours. For a total site area of 0.38 ha, the required water balance volume is 19.15 m³. The drawdown calculations for the infiltration facilities were completed using parameters and assumptions from the 'Low Impact Development SWM Planning and Design Guide' (CVC, 2010). **Table 7** includes a summary of the required infiltration system parameters with detailed drawdown calculations provided in **Appendix C**.

	Infiltration Gallery
Footprint Area (m ²)	45.36
Gravel Sump Depth (m)	1.06
Length (m)	12.76
Width (m)	3.56
5mm Design Storm Event Runoff Volume Storage (m ³)	19.23

The gravel sump will be filled with 50 mm diameter clear stone and wrapped in a geotextile to prevent the migration of fine material. The exact location of the proposed infiltration gallery is shown in **Drawing CO2**.

7.0 Erosion and Sediment Control During Construction

Erosion and sediment controls will be installed prior to the commencement of any construction activities and will be maintained until the site is stabilized or as directed by the Site Engineer and/or the City of Mississauga. The Erosion & Sediment Control Plan (**Drawing C01**) identifies the location of the recommended control features. Controls will be inspected after each significant rainfall event and maintained in proper working condition.

The following sediment and erosion controls will be included during construction on the site:

Heavy Duty Silt Fencing

A Heavy Duty Silt fence will be installed on the perimeter of the site to intercept sheet flow. Additional silt fences may be added based on field decisions by the Site Engineer and Owner, prior to, during and following construction.

Rock Mud Mat

A rock mud mat will be installed at the entrance to the construction zone in order to prevent mud tracking from the site onto the surrounding lands and perimeter roadway network. All construction traffic will be restricted to this access only.

Silt Sacks in Catch Basins

A silt sack shall be installed on the top of existing storm sewer catch basins located on Hurontario Street, Glenview Drive, and Maplewood Road during construction and on the top of new catch basins and area drains until the finished surfaces are stabilized.

8.0 CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the information contained within this summary report, we offer the following conclusions:

- The proposed development can be serviced by the existing 450 mm ø watermain located on Hurontario Street, the existing 250 mm ø sanitary sewer on Maplewood Road, and the existing 750 mm ø storm sewer on Maplewood Road.
- A new water service connection to Hurontario Street will be provided for water services (domestic and fire). A new sanitary service connection for the Building 'A' and 'B' townhouse units will be made into the existing municipal service line on Maplewood Road. A new storm connection for the site will be made into the existing storm sewer line on Maplewood Road.
- Stormwater management will control the runoff from the post-development site to below the allowable release flow rate of 23.0 L/s through a gravity-fed 75 mm orifice tube to the receiving storm sewer on Maplewood Road.
- Stormwater quality control will be met using a treatment train approach, including lot, conveyance, and end of pipe measures to achieve the required 80% TSS removal for 100% of the runoff volume prior to discharging to the receiving storm sewer.
- Stormwater water balance objectives will be met using a stormwater chamber gravel sump to retain and infiltrate the 5 mm storm event over a drawdown period of 48 hours.
- All site drainage will be self-contained with the exception of a small area along the south property line, which will drain uncontrolled to Maplewood Road. An emergency overland flow route is provided to Maplewood Road along the access road for stormwater volumes exceeding the storage capacity of the minor system.

Based on these conclusions, we recommend approval of the Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-Law Amendment from the perspective of functional servicing and stormwater management.

Respectfully submitted,

C.F. CROZIER & ASSOCIATES INC.

Benjamin Peachman, EIT Civil

AS/bp I:\1100\1110 - Dream Suites\4677 - 1575 Hurontario St.\Reports\2017.12.06_FSR&SWM.docx C.F. CROZIER & ASSOCIATES INC.

Ashish Shukla, P.Eng Project Manager

APPENDIX A

Water Demand Calculations



Date: 9/6/2017 gn BY: TL ed By: BP

DOMESTIC WATER DEMAND TABLE

PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES FOR CONFIRMATION OF CAPACITY STATEMENT

Proposed Site Conditions

A.	Proposed Units						
		Stacked Back t	o Back Townhouse	2	60		
		Т	otal		60		
В.	Area's			(m²)			
	Total Residential L Site Area	Jnits GFA		5,584 3,829	<u>k</u>)		
C.	Design Criteria						
	Population per He	ectare ¹ = 2.54	ppu * (# units) area				
	Population per He Total Population =	ectare =	398 152	p/ha			
	Note 1: Stacked tow	nhome population	density of 2.54 ppu o	as recommended b	y Regional corresponder	nce, dated March 13, 201	

Residential Average Consumption Rate ² =	280.0 L/cap/d	
Apartments	Max Day Factor ² =	2.0
Apartments	Peak Hour Factor ² =	3.0

Average day flow	280	х	152 =		42,672 L	/day =	0.49 L/s
Maximum day flow	280	Х	152 x	2.0 =	85,344 L	./day =	0.99 L/s
Peak hour flow	280	Х	152 x	3.0 =	128,016 L	./day =	1.48 L/s

Note 2: Average Consumption Rate, Max day Factor and Peak Hour Factor each determined from Table #1 - Typical Water Demand Criteria, Region of Peel Public Works Watermain Design Criteria.

F. Total Domestic Demand

Average day flow	0.49	L/s
Maximum day flow	0.99	L/s
Peak hour flow	1.48	L/s

Note:

Average consumption rate, max day factor and peak hour factor per Region of Peel Public Works Watermain Design Criteria



1575 Hurontario Street - Building A Fire Protection Volume Calculation CFCA File: 1110-4677

Water Supply for Public Fire Protection - 1999 Fire Underwriters Survey
Part II - Guide for Determination of Required Fire Flow
1. An estimate of fire flow required for a given area may be determined by the formula:
F = 220 * C * sqrt A
where F = the required fire flow in litres per minute
C = coefficient related to the type of construction:
= 1.5 for wood frame construction (structure essentially all combustible)
= 1.0 for ordinary construction (brick or other masonry walls, combustible floor and interior)
= 0.6 for fire-resistive construction (fully protected frame, floors, roof)
 A = The total floor area in square metres (including all storeys, but excluding basements at least 50 percent below grade) in the building considered.
Proposed Buildings
Building Area = 676.0 sg.m
Total Floor Area (+ 50% of floor above) = 996.0 sq.m
C = 1.0 Assume ordinary construction
Therefore F = 6,943 L/min
Fire flow determined above shall not exceed:
30,000 L/min for wood frame construction
30,000 L/min for ordinary construction
25,000 L/min for fire-resistive construction
 Values obtained in No. 1 may be reduced by as much as 25% for occupancies having low contents fire hazard or may be increased by up to 25% surcharge for occupancies having a high fire hazard.
Non-Combustible -25% Free Burning 15%
Limited Combustible -15% Rapid Burning 25%
Compusible 0% (No Change)
Combustible 0% reduction
0 L/min reduction 6,943 L/min
Note: Flow determined shall not be less than 2,000 L/min
 Sprinklers - The value obtained in No. 2 above maybe reduced by up to 50% for complete automatic sprinkler protection. The credit for the system will be a maximum of 30% for an adequately designed system conforming to NFPA 13 and other NFPA sprinkler standards.
As part of this analysis, building is assumed to have no sprinkler protection,
0 L/min reduction

1575 Hurontario Street - Building A Fire Protection Volume Calculation CFCA File: 1110-4677

Date: 9/6/2017

Designed By: TL Checked By: BP

Page 2

ire Underv	writers Survey								
		Part II - G	uide for D	etern	nination	of Req	uired Fire Flo	w	
4. Exposur	e - To the value obtain	ed in No. 2, a per	centage sl	hould	be adde	d for stru	uctures expose	ed within 45 metres	
by the fir	re area under considera	ation. The perce	ntage shall	l depe	nd upon	the heig	ght, area, and	construction of the	
building(s) being exposed, the s	separation, open	ings in the	expos	sed build	ing(s), tl	he length and	height of exposure,	
the prov	ision of automatic sprin	klers and/or outs	ide sprinkl	ers in	the build	ding(s) e	xposed, the or	ccupancy of the	
exposed	l building(s) and the effe	ect of hillside loca	ations on th	ne pos	ssible sp	read of f	ire.		
	Separation	Charge	Separatio	on		Charge			
	0 to 3 m	25%	20.1 to 3	0 m		10%			
	3.1 to 10 m	20%	30.1 to 4	5 m		5%			
	10.1 to 20 m	15%							
Expose	d buildings		Charge	Surc	harge				
Name		Distance (m)	(%)	(L/s)					
North	Adjacent Dwelling	8	20%	、 ,	1388.6				
South	Adjacent Dwelling	15	15%		1041.5				
East	Adjacent Dwelling	8.5	20%		1388.6				
West	Adjacent Dwelling	31	5%		347.2				
					4,166	L/min S	urcharge		
								Required Durati	on of Fire Flow
Determi	ne Required Fire Flow	v						Flow Required	Duration
								L/min	(hours)
	No.1	6,943	3					2,000 or less	1.(
	No. 2	c C	reduction					3,000	1.2
	No. 3	C C	reduction					4,000	1.5
	No. 4	<u>4,166</u>	surcharge	Э				5,000	1.
		44.400						6,000	2.0
Dermided	Required Flow:	11,109	L/min			400.0	1./-	8,000	2.0
Rounded t	o nearest 1000 L/MIN	11,000		OI.		103.3		10,000	2.0
						2,900		14,000	2.3
								14,000	3.U 2.I
								18,000	4 (
								20.000	4
								22,000	5.0
								24,000	5.5
								26,000	6.0
								28,000	6.5
								30,000	7.0
								32,000	7.5
								34,000	8.0
								36,000	8.5
								36,000 38,000	8.8 9.0



1575 Hurontario Street - Building B Fire Protection Volume Calculation CFCA File: 1110-4677

		/ey						
			Part II - C	Buide for Deterr	nination of Requi	red Fire Flow		
1. An estimat	e of fire flow	required for	a given area	a may be determ	ined by the formul	a:		
		F	= 220 * C * s	qrt A				
where	F = the	required fire	flow in litres	per minute				
	C = coe	efficient relat	ed to the type	e of construction				
	0 000	=	1.5	for wood frame	construction (struct	ure essentially all	combustible)	
		=	1.0	for ordinary con	struction (brick or ot	her masonry wall	s, combustible floor	and interior)
		=	0.8	for non-combus	tible construction (u	nprotected metal	structural componen	its)
		=	0.6	for fire-resistive	construction (fully p	rotected frame, fl	oors, roof)	
	A = The 50	e total floor a percent belo	rea in square w grade) in t	e metres (includi he building cons	ng all storeys, but idered.	excluding baser	ments at least	
Proposed	Buildings							
		В	ilding Area :	= 594.0 sq.m	า			
Tota	al Floor Area	a (+ 50% of f	loor above) :	= 874.0 sq.m	ו			
C =	1.0	As	sume ordina	ry construction				
Theref	ore F =	6,504 L/	nin					
	Fire flow de	termined ab	ove shall not	exceed:				
		30,000 L/ı	nin for wood	frame construct	ion			
		30,000 L/i	nin for ordina	ary construction				
		25,000 L/i	nin for non-c	combustible cons	struction			
		25,000 L/I	nin for fire-re	esistive construc	tion			
2. Values obt	ained in No	1 may be re	duced by as	much as 25% f	or occupancies ha	ving low content	s fire hazard or ma	ау
be increas	ed by up to	25% surchai	ge for occup	ancies having a	high fire hazard.			
Non-Com	bustible	-25%			Free Burning	15%		
Limited Com	bustible	-15%			Rapid Burning	25%		
Com	bustible	0% (N	o Change)					
Combustib	le				0% reduction			
		0 L/	nin reductio	on				
		6,504 L/	nin					
Note: Flow	determined	l shall not be	less than 2,	000 L/min				
3. Sprinklers The credit NFPA sprin	- The valu for the systen hkler standa	e obtained in em will be a r rds.	n No. 2 abov naximum of	e maybe reduce 30% for an adec	d by up to 50% for juately designed s	complete auton ystem conformir	natic sprinkler protong to NFPA 13 and	ection. other
	As part of	his analysi	s, building is	s assumed to h	ave no sprinkler	protection,		

1575 Hurontario Street - Building B Fire Protection Volume Calculation CFCA File: 1110-4677

Date: 9/6/2017

Designed By: TL Checked By: BP

Page 2

ire Under	writers Survey	Tolection - 19	99						
ire Onder	writers Survey	Part II - G	uide for D	etern	nination	of Req	uired Fire Flow	I	
	e - To the value obtain	ed in No. 2. a per	centare s	hould	ha adda	d for str	uctures expose	d within 45 metres	
by the fir	re area under considera	ation. The percer	tage shall	l depe	nd upon	the hei	oht, area, and c	onstruction of the	
building((s) being exposed, the	separation, openi	ngs in the	expos	sed build	ling(s), t	he length and h	eight of exposure,	
the prov	ision of automatic sprin	klers and/or outs	ide sprinkl	ers in	the build	ding(s) e	exposed, the oc	cupancy of the	
exposed	building(s) and the effective	ect of hillside loca	tions on t	ne pos	ssible sp	read of	fire.		
	Separation	Charge	Separati	on		Charge]		
	0 to 3 m	25%	20.1 to 3	0 m		10%			
	3.1 to 10 m	20%	30.1 to 4	5 m		5%			
	10.1 to 20 m	15%							
Expose	d buildings		Charge	Surc	harge				
Name		Distance (m)	(%)	(L/s)	•				
North	Adjacent Dwelling	8	20%		1300.8				
South	Adjacent Dwelling	27	10%		650.4				
East	Adjacent Dwelling	27	10%		650.4				
West	Adjacent Dwelling	8.5	20%		1300.8				
					3,902	L/min S	Surcharge		
								Required Duration	on of Fire Flow
Determi	ine Required Fire Flow	N						Flow Required	Duration
	•							L/min	(hours)
	No.1	6,504						2,000 or less	1.0
	No. 2	2 0	reduction					3,000	1.2
	No. 3	3 0	reduction					4,000	1.5
	No. 4	1 <u>3,902</u>	surcharge	Э				5,000	1.7
								6,000	2.0
	Required Flow:	10,406	L/min					8,000	2.0
Rounded t	to nearest 1000 L/min:	: 10,000	L/min	or		166.7	L/s	10,000	2.0
						2,642	USGPM	12,000	2.5
								14,000	3.0
								16,000	3.5
								18,000	4.0
								20,000	4.0 E (
								22,000	5.0
								26,000	5.0 6.0
								28,000	0.0
								20,000	0.0
								32,000	7.0
								34,000	7.0
								.74 (11 11 1	/
								36,000	0.0 8 F
								36,000 36,000 38.000	8.5 9.0

APPENDIX **B**

Sanitary Flow Calculations



Date: 9/6/2017

Address: 1575 Hurontario St. Checked B

Design By: TL Checked By: BP

SANITARY SEWAGE FLOWS

Project No: 1110-4677

PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES FOR CONFIRMATION OF CAPACITY STATEMENT

A. Proposed Development

Residential Unit Type	Total Res. Units		Site area =	0.3829	ha
Roll Dewllings	60				
Totals	60				
Population per Hectare =	2.54 pp	2.54 ppu * (# units)			
		area			
Population per Hectare =	398	p/ha			
Total Population	152	capita			

Note 1: Stacked townhome population density of 2.54 ppu as recommended by Regional correspondence, dated March 13, 2017.

B. Proposed Flow

Unit Type	Gross Floor Area	Site Area	Population	Average Sanitary Flow (302.8L/cap/d)		Harmon Peaking Factor	Total Peak Flow
	(m²)	(ha)		(L/s)	(m ³ /day)		(L/s)
Stacked Townhouse	5,584	0.383	152	0.53	46.15	4.19	2.24
						Total	2.24

Note 2: Average Sanitary Flow - 302.8 L/cap/d Region of Peel Public Works Criteria Manual - Std. Dwg. 2-9-2 Note 3: Peaking Factor = Harmon Formula

C. Infiltration

Site Area (ha)	Infiltration Rate (L/ha/s)	Total Infiltration (L/s)
0.383	0.20	0.08

Note 3: Infiltration = 0.2 L/ha/s Section 2.3 Region of Peel Public Works Criteria Manual - Sanitary Sewer

D. Total Proposed Site Flow

	Peak Flow (L/s)
Proposed Flow	2.237
Infiltration	0.077
Total	2.314

Proposed Design Flow

The sewage design flow from the proposed development is:

2.31 L/s

${}^{\text{APPENDIX}} C$

Stormwater Management Calculations



DESIGN: BP CHECK: AS

DATE: 11-Aug-17 UPDATED: 6-Sep-17

PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

Storm Data: City of Mississauga IDF Parameters

Time of Concentrati	on:	T _c =	15	min	
	Return Period	a	b	c	i
					mm/hr
	2 yr	610	4.6	0.78	59.89
	5 yr	820	4.6	0.78	80.51
	10 yr	1010	4.6	0.78	99.17
	25 yr	1160	4.6	0.78	113.89
	50 yr	1300	4.7	0.78	127.13
	100 yr	1450	4.9	0.78	140.69

Equations:



Peak Flow

$Q_{post} = 0.0028 \bullet C_{post} \bullet i_{(Td)} \bullet A$

ENTIRE CATCHMENT:

Existing Weighted Runoff Coefficient

	С	Area	Weighted RC	
		(ha)		
<u>Catchment 101 (Site)</u>				
Pervious	0.25	0.2636	0.19	
Impervious	0.90	0.0791	0.21	
Total:	-	0.3427	0.40	
	Catchment 102 (External)			
Pervious	0.25	1.8563	0.19	
Impervious	0.90	0.5574	0.21	
Total:	-	2.4137	0.40	
TOTAL:		2.7564	0.40	

Note: According to e-mail correspondence with the City of Mississauga, dated August 14, 2017, a 2.41 ha external drainage catchment must be conveyed to the same stormwater outlet as the Site. Refer to Figure 1.

Pre-Development Peak Flows (Site):

2 Year Storm			5 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion)	-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion)
C _{pre}	0.40 -	(Runoff coefficient)	C _{pre}	0.40 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Pre}	0.343 ha	(Drainage area)	Area _{Pre}	0.343 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min		T _c	15 min	
i	59.89 mm/hr	City of Mississauga	i	80.51 mm/hr	City of Mississauga
Q _{pre}	0.0230 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)	Q _{pre}	0.0309 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)
10 Year Storm			25 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion)	-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion)
C _{pre}	0.40 -	(Runoff coefficient)	C _{pre}	0.40 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Pre}	0.343 ha	(Drainage area)	Area _{Pre}	0.343 ha	(Drainage area)
Tc	15 min		Tc	15 min	
i	99.17 mm/hr	City of Mississauga	i	113.89 mm/hr	City of Mississauga
Q _{pre}	0.0381 m³/s	(Peak Flow)	Q _{pre}	0.0437 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)
50 Year Storm			100 Year Storm	1	
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion)	-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion)
C _{pre}	0.40 -	(Runoff coefficient)	C _{pre}	0.40 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Pre}	0.343 ha	(Drainage area)	Area _{Pre}	0.343 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min		T _c	15 min	
i	127.13 mm/hr	City of Mississauga	i	140.69 mm/hr	City of Mississauga
Q _{pre}	0.0488 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)	Q _{pre}	0.0540 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)

Pre-Development Peak Flows (Entire Catchment):

2 Year Storm

T_c

 Q_p

-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion)
C _{pre}	0.40 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Pre}	2.756 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	
i	59.89 mm/hr	City of Mississauga
Q _{pre}	0.1850 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)
10 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion)
C _{pre}	0.40 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Pre}	2.756 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	
i	99.17 mm/hr	City of Mississauga
Q _{pre}	0.3062 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)
50 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion)
C _{pre}	0.40 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Pre}	2.756 ha	(Drainage area)

15 min 127.13 mm/hr

0.3926 m³/s

City of Mississauga

(Peak Flow)

5 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion)
C _{pre}	0.40 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Pre}	2.756 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	
i	80.51 mm/hr	City of Mississauga
Q _{pre}	0.2486 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)
25 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion)
C _{pre}	0.40 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Pre}	2.756 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	
i	113.89 mm/hr	City of Mississauga
Q _{pre}	0.3517 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)
100 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion)
C _{pre}	0.40 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Pre}	2.756 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	
i	140.69 mm/hr	City of Mississauga
Q _{ore}	0.4345 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)



DATE: UPDATED:

POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

Storm Data: City of Mississauga IDF Parameters

Equations:

Time of Conce	entration:	Tc=	15	min	
	Return Period	a	b	с	i
					mm/hr
	2 yr	610	4.6	0.78	59.89
	5 yr	820	4.6	0.78	80.51
	10 yr	1010	4.6	0.78	99.17
	25 yr	1160	4.6	0.78	113.89
	50 yr	1300	4.7	0.78	127.13
	100 yr	1450	4.9	0.78	140.69

Intensity	1
$i_{(Td)} = \alpha / (T_c + b)^c$	
	-
Peak Flow	

ENTIRE CATCHMENT:

	с	Area	Weighted RC
		(ha)	
		Site Area	
	<u>(</u>	Catchment 201:	
Pervious	0.25	0.0927	0.06
Impervious	0.90	0.2861	0.68
Total:	-	0.3788	0.74
	Catchme	ent 202 (Uncontroll	ed):
Pervious	0.25	0.0041	0.25
Impervious	0.90	0.0000	0.00
Total:	-	0.0041	0.25
TOTAL:	-	0.3829	0.74
	<u>Catchme</u>	ent Area 203 (Exteri	nall
Pervious	0.25	1.85627	0.19
Impervious	0.90	0.55743	0.21
Total:	-	2.4137	0.40
TOTAL:		2.7966	0.45

 TOTAL
 2.7966
 0.45

 Note: According to e-mail correspondence with the City of Mississauga, a 2.41 ha external drainage catchment must be conveyed to the same stormwater outlet as the Site. Refer to Figure 2.

From City of Mississauga

(Peak Flow)

Post-Development Peak Flows (Site):

2 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion in equation)
Cpost	0.74 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Post}	0.383 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	
i	59.89 mm/hr	From City of Mississauga
Q _{post}	0.0472 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)
10 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion in equation)
C _{post}	0.74 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Post}	0.383 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	
i	99.17 mm/hr	From City of Mississauga
Q _{post}	0.0782 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)
50 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion in equation)
C _{post}	0.74 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Post}	0.383 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	

Post-Development I	Peak Flows	(Entire	Catchment):

127.13 mm/hr

0.1003 m³/s

2 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion in equation)
C _{post}	0.45 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Post}	2.797 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	
i	59.89 mm/hr	From City of Mississauga
Q _{post}	0.2092 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)
10 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion in equation)
C _{post}	0.45 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Post}	2.797 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	
i	99.17 mm/hr	From City of Mississauga
Q _{post}	0.3464 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)
50.4		
50 Year Storm	0.0000 (
-	0.0026 Idcior	(weinc conversion in equation)
Cpost	0.45 -	(KUNOTI COETTICIENT)
Area _{Post}	2.797 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	
i	127.13 mm/hr	From City of Mississauga
Q _{post}	0.4441 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)

5 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion in equation)
C _{post}	0.74 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Post}	0.383 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	
i	80.51 mm/hr	From City of Mississauga
Q _{post}	0.0635 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)
25 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion in equation)
C _{post}	0.74 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Post}	0.383 ha	(Drainage area)
T _c	15 min	
i	113.89 mm/hr	From City of Mississauga
Q _{post}	0.0898 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)
100 Year Storm		
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion in equation)
C _{post}	0.74 -	(Runoff coefficient)
Area _{Post}	0.383 ha	(Drainage area)
т	15 min	

u e u post	0.505 110	(brainage area)
c	15 min	
	140.69 mm/hr	From City of Mississaug
post	0.1110 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)

5 Year Storm			
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion in equation)	
C _{post}	0.45 -	(Runoff coefficient)	
Area _{Post}	2.797 ha	(Drainage area)	
T _c	15 min		
i	80.51 mm/hr	From City of Mississauga	
Q _{post}	0.2812 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)	
25 Year Storm			
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion in equation)	
C _{post}	0.45 -	(Runoff coefficient)	
Area _{Post}	2.797 ha	(Drainage area)	
T _c	15 min		
i	113.89 mm/hr	From City of Mississauga	
Q _{post}	0.3978 m ³ /s	(Peak Flow)	
100 Year Storm			
-	0.0028 factor	(Metric conversion in equation)	
Cpost	0.45 -	(Runoff coefficient)	
Area	2.797 ha	(Drainage area)	
T _c	15 min		
i	140.69 mm/hr	From City of Mississauga	
Q _{post}	0.0028 factor where conversion in eque 0.45 - (Runoff coefficient) 15 min 80.51 mm/hr From City of Mississauga 0.2812 m ³ /s (Peak Flow) m 0.0028 factor (Metric conversion in eque 0.45 - (Runoff coefficient) 2.797 ha (Drainage area) 15 min 113.89 mm/hr From City of Mississauga 0.3978 m ³ /s (Peak Flow) orm 0.0028 factor (Metric conversion in eque 0.45 - (Runoff coefficient) 2.797 ha (Drainage area) 15 min 113.89 mm/hr From City of Mississauga 0.3978 m ³ /s (Peak Flow)		



DESIGN: BP CHECK: AS DATE: 11-Aug-17 UPDATED: 29-Aug-17

MODIFIED RATIONAL CALCULATIONS

POST-DEVELOPMENT

MTO Criteria:

Control 2 yr Post-Development peak flow to the 2 yr Pre-Development peak flow

2 yr Post-Development Flow:

 $Q_{post} = 0.047 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

Allowable Release Rate (2 yr Pre-Development Flow):

 $Q_{pre} = 0.0230 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

2 yr Post-Development Release Rate:

Catchment 201:	0.0189	m³/s	Refer to orifice control
Catchment 202:	0.0002	m³/s	Uncontrolled
Total:	0.0191	m³/s	

Store	age Volume	e Determi	nation (De	tailed)	7
T _d	i	T _d	Q	S _d	→
min	mm/hr	sec	m³/s	m ³	Discharge
10	75.36	600	0.059	24.3	
15	59.89	900	0.047	28.3	
20	50.16	1200	0.040	30.4	
25	43.42	1500	0.034	31.5	
30	38.45	1800	0.030	31.9	
35	34.60	2100	0.027	31.8	
40	31.54	2400	0.025	31.3	t_{c} t_{c} Time
45	29.03	2700	0.023	30.6	
50	26.94	3000	0.021	29.7	
55	25.16	3300	0.020	28.6	
REQUIRE	D STORAG		:	31.9	m ³
<u>ons:</u>					
Peak	Flow				Intensity
0	= 0 002	8 • C	• i •	Δ	$i(Td) = A/(Td+B)^{C}$
∽post	0.002		ST '(1ª)	/ \	
Store	<u>ige</u>				
$S_{a} = 0$	Q • T	Q.		$T_{1}/2$	
-u	- posi	u -iar	jer ''a '	· (, · _	



DESIGN: BP CHECK: AS DATE: 11-Aug-17 UPDATED: 29-Aug-17

MODIFIED RATIONAL CALCULATIONS

POST-DEVELOPMENT

MTO Criteria:

Control 5 yr Post-Development peak flow to the 5 yr Pre-Development peak flow

5 yr Post-Development Flow:

 $Q_{post} = 0.064 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

Allowable Release Rate (5 yr Pre-Development Flow):

 $Q_{pre} = 0.031 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

5 yr Post-Development Release Rate:

Catchment 201:	0.0189	m³/s	Refer to orifice control
Catchment 202:	0.0002	m³/s	Uncontrolled
Total:	0 0192	m ³ /s	

Store	ige Volume	e Determiı	nation (De	tailed)	
T _d	i	T _d	Q	S _d	t
min	mm/hr	sec	m³/s	m³	Discharge
10	101.30	600	0.080	36.6	
15	80.51	900	0.064	42.9	
20	67.43	1200	0.053	46.8	
25	58.37	1500	0.046	49.2	
30	51.68	1800	0.041	50.7	
35	46.52	2100	0.037	51.5	
40	42.40	2400	0.033	51.9	t _c t _d Time
45	39.02	2700	0.031	51.9	u
50	36.21	3000	0.029	51.6	
55	33.82	3300	0.027	51.1	
			-	51.0	³
REQUIRE	D STORAG			51.9	
ns∙					
10.					
Peak	Flow				Intensity
				•	
Q _{post} =	= 0.0028	$b \bullet C_{pos}$	st • I(Td) •	ΡA	$I(10) = A/(10+B)^{1}C$
Storo	Ide				
<u>s</u>	<u></u> ``	\cap	(T ·	т\/Ω	
$S_d = 0$	≺ _{post} ▼ I	d - Otarg	$get (I_d +$	ι _c // Ζ	



DESIGN: BP CHECK: AS DATE: 11-Aug-17 UPDATED: 29-Aug-17

MODIFIED RATIONAL CALCULATIONS

POST-DEVELOPMENT

MTO Criteria:

Control 10 yr Post-Development peak flow to the 10 yr Pre-Development peak flow

10 yr Post-Development Flow:

 $Q_{post} = 0.078 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

Allowable Release Rate (10 yr Pre-Development Flow):

 $Q_{pre} = 0.038 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

10 yr Post-Development Release Rate:

Catchment 201:	0.0189	m³/s	Refer to orifice control
Catchment 202:	0.0003	m³/s	Uncontrolled
Total:	0 0192	m ³ /s	

Storage Volume Determination (Detailed)						
T _d	i	T _d	Q	S _d	1	
min	mm/hr	sec	m³/s	m ³	I	
10	124.77	600	0.098	47.7		
15	99.17	900	0.078	56.2	I	
20	83.06	1200	0.066	61.6	I	
25	71.90	1500	0.057	65.2	I	
30	63.66	1800	0.050	67.7	I	
35	57.30	2100	0.045	69.3	I	
40	52.22	2400	0.041	70.4	I	
45	48.07	2700	0.038	71.1	I	
50	44.60	3000	0.035	71.4	I	
55	41.65	3300	0.033	71.5	I	
60	39.11	3600	0.031	71.3	I	
65	36.91	3900	0.029	70.9	I	
70	34.96	4200	0.028	70.4	I	
				4		
REQUIRED STORAGE VOLUME: 71.5						



$\frac{\text{Peak Flow}}{\text{Q}_{\text{post}} = 0.0028 \bullet \text{C}_{\text{post}} \bullet \text{i}_{\text{(Td)}} \bullet \text{A}}$	$\frac{\text{Intensity}}{i(Td) = A/(Td+B)^C}$
$\frac{\text{Storage}}{S_d = Q_{post}} \bullet T_d - Q_{target} (T_d + T_c) / 2$	



DESIGN: BP CHECK: AS DATE: 11-Aug-17 UPDATED: 29-Aug-17

MODIFIED RATIONAL CALCULATIONS

POST-DEVELOPMENT

MTO Criteria:

Control 25 yr Post-Development peak flow to the 25 yr Pre-Development peak flow

25 yr Post-Development Flow:

 $Q_{post} = 0.090 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

Allowable Release Rate (25 yr Pre-Development Flow):

 $Q_{pre} = 0.044 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

25 yr Post-Development Release Rate:

Catchment 201:	0.0189	m³/s	Refer to orifice control
Catchment 202:	0.0003	m³/s	Uncontrolled
Total:	0 0193	m ³ /s	

Store	ige Volume	e Determii	nation (De	tailed)	
T _d	i	T _d	Q	S _d	
min	mm/hr	sec	m³/s	m³	
15	113.89	900	0.090	66.6	
20	95.40	1200	0.075	73.2	
25	82.58	1500	0.065	77.8	
30	73.11	1800	0.058	81.1	
35	65.80	2100	0.052	83.4	
40	59.98	2400	0.047	85.1	
45	55.21	2700	0.044	86.3	
50	51.22	3000	0.040	87.1	
55	47.84	3300	0.038	87.6	
60	44.92	3600	0.035	87.8	
65	42.39	3900	0.033	87.8	
70	40.15	4200	0.032	87.6	
EQUIRE	D STORAGI		:	87.8	







DESIGN: BP CHECK: AS DATE: 11-Aug-17 UPDATED: 29-Aug-17

MODIFIED RATIONAL CALCULATIONS

POST-DEVELOPMENT

MTO Criteria:

Control 50 yr Post-Development peak flow to the 50 yr Pre-Development peak flow

50 yr Post-Development Flow:

 $Q_{post} = 0.100 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

Allowable Release Rate (50 yr Pre-Development Flow):

 $Q_{pre} = 0.049 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

50 yr Post-Development Release Rate:

Catchment 201:	0.0189	m³/s	Refer to orifice control
Catchment 202:	0.0004	m³/s	Uncontrolled
Total:	0 0193	m ³ /s	

Storage Volume Determination (Detailed)							
T _d	i	i T _d Q					
min	mm/hr	sec	m³/s	m ³			
25	92.30	1500	0.073	89.3			
30	81.75	1800	0.064	93.3			
35	73.60	2100	0.058	96.3			
40	67.10	2400	0.053	98.6			
45	61.77	2700	0.049	100.3			
50	57.32	3000	0.045	101.5			
55	53.54	3300	0.042	102.4			
60	50.28	3600	0.040	103.0			
65	47.45	3900	0.037	103.3			
70	44.95	4200	0.035	103.5			
75	42.74	4500	0.034	103.4			
80	40.76	4800	0.032	103.2			
85	38.97	5100	0.031	102.8			
REQUIRED STORAGE VOLUME: 103.5							



$\frac{\text{Peak Flow}}{\text{Q}_{\text{post}} = 0.0028 \bullet \text{C}_{\text{post}} \bullet \text{i}_{\text{(Td)}} \bullet \text{A}}$	$\frac{\text{Intensity}}{i(Td)} = A/(Td+B)^{C}$
$\frac{\text{Storage}}{S_d = Q_{post} \bullet T_d - Q_{target} (T_d + T_c) / 2$	



DESIGN: BP CHECK: AS DATE: 11-Aug-17 UPDATED: 29-Aug-17

MODIFIED RATIONAL CALCULATIONS

POST-DEVELOPMENT

MTO Criteria:

Control 100 yr Post-Development peak flow to the 100 yr Pre-Development peak flow

100 yr Post-Development Flow:

 $Q_{post} = 0.111 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

Allowable Release Rate (100 yr Pre-Development Flow):

 $Q_{pre} = 0.054 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

100 yr Post-Development Release Rate:

Catchment 201:	0.0189	m³/s	Refer to orifice control
Catchment 202:	0.0004	m³/s	Uncontrolled
Total:	0.0193	m³/s	

Storage Volume Determination (Detailed)											
T _d	i	i T _d Q									
min	mm/hr	sec	m³/s	m ³							
35	81.77	2100	0.064	109.9							
40	74.58	2400	0.059	112.8							
45	68.68	2700	0.054	115.0							
50	63.75	3000	0.050	116.8							
55	59.56	3300	0.047	118.1							
60	55.95	3600	0.044	119.1							
65	52.81	3900	0.042	119.8							
70	50.03	4200	0.039	120.3							
75	47.58	4500	0.038	120.6							
80	45.38	4800	0.036	120.7							
85	43.39	5100	0.034	120.6							
90	41.60	5400	0.033	120.4							
REQUIRE	D STORAGI		:	120.7	REQUIRED STORAGE VOLUME: 120.7 n						







DESIGN: BP CHECK: AS DATE: 11-Aug-17 UPDATED: 29-Aug-17

MODIFIED RATIONAL CALCULATIONS

POST-DEVELOPMENT

<u>City of Mississauga Criteria (Mary Fix Creek Subwatershed):</u> Control 10 yr Post-Development peak flow to the 2 yr Pre-Development peak flow

10 yr Post-Development Flow:

 $Q_{post} = 0.078 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

Allowable Release Rate (2 yr Pre-Development Flow):

 $Q_{pre} = 0.023 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

10 yr Post-Development Release Rate:

Catchment 201:	0.0189	m³/s	Refer to orifice control
Catchment 202:	0.0003	m³/s	Uncontrolled
Total:	0 0192	m ³ /s	

Storage Volume Determination (Detailed)						
T _d	i	T _d	Q	S _d		
min	mm/hr	sec	m³/s	m ³		
10	124.77	600	0.098	47.7	1	
15	99.17	900	0.078	56.2		
20	83.06	1200	0.066	61.6		
25	71.90	1500	0.057	65.2		
30	63.66	1800	0.050	67.7		
35	57.30	2100	0.045	69.3		
40	52.22	2400	0.041	70.4		
45	48.07	2700	0.038	71.1		
50	44.60	3000	0.035	71.4		
55	41.65	3300	0.033	71.5		
60	39.11	3600	0.031	71.3		
REQUIRED STORAGE VOLUME: 71.5 r						







PROJECT: 1575 Hurontario Street PROJECT No.: 1110-4677 DESIGN: BP CHECK: AS DATE: 11- Aug-2017 UPDATE: 29- Aug-2017

ORIFICE TUBE DESIGN SUMMARY

Outlet: Glenview Drive

- Orifice Type =
- Invert Elevation =
- Diameter of Orifice =
- Area of Orifice (A) =
- Orifice Coefficient (Cd) =

Calculation	of	Hoad
Culculation	UI.	neuu

- Centroid Elevation =
- Water Elevation =
- Upstream Head*, (h) =

92.66	m
94.05	m
1.39	m

Orifice Tube

92.62

75

0.0044

0.820

m

mm

sq.m

Qa =

Actual Controlled Discharge, Qa =

(Cd)(A)(2gh)^0.5	
0.01894	cms



DESIGN: BP CHECK: AS DATE: 8/26/2017 UPDATED: 9/12/2017

STORMWATER STORAGE VOLUMES

Address: 1575 Hurontario Street

Underground Storage:							
Type: Triton S-22 Type: Cistern (located in underground parking garage)							
Required Bed Size:	45.36	m²	Required Cistern Size:	60	m²		
Required Length:	12.76	m	Required Length:	15	m		
Required Width:	3.56	m	Required Width:	4	m		
Active Storage Volume:	35.20	m³	Active Storage Volume:	65.00	m³		
Sump Storage Volume:	19.23	m ³	Sump Storage Volume:	0	m ³		
Triton S-22 Pro	<u>file</u>		<u>Cistern (St</u>	epped Profile)			
Top Water Elevation:	94.05	m asl		<u>Area 1¹:</u>	<u>Area 2² :</u>		
Top Elevation of Embedment Stone:	93.87	m asl	Area:	44	16	m²	
Top Elevation - Storage Chamber:	93.72	m asl	Length:	11	4	m	
Bottom Elevation - Storage Chamber:	92.83	m asl	Width:	4	4	m	
Invert Elevation:	92.68	m asl	Top Elevation of Cistern:	94.10	93.50	m asl	
Top Elevation - Sump Storage:	92.68	m asl	Top Water Elevation:	94.05	93.50	m asl	
Bottom Elevation - Sump Storage:	91.62	m asl	Bottom Elevation (Invert) of Cistern:	92.82	92.82	m asl	
			Active Storage Volume:	54.12	10.88	m ³	

Note 1: Area 1 is 11 m length x 4 m width of the stepped stormwater cistern located in the underground parking garage structure (as shown on Dwg. C02) with the top of the Area 1 portion of the cistern located at 94.10 m asl.

TOTAL REQUIRED ACTIVE STORAGE:	71.5	m³	
TOTAL PROVIDED ACTIVE STORAGE:	100.2	m³	
TOTAL REQUIRED SUMP STORAGE:	19.2	m³	
TOTAL PROVIDED SUMP STORAGE:	19.2	m³	

Note 2: Area 2 is 4 m length x 4 m width of the stepped stormwater cistern located in the underground parking garage structure (as shown on Dwg. C02) with the top of the Area 2 portion of the cistern located at 93.50 m asl.



Project:	1575 Hurontario St.	Design:	BP	Date:	08/29/2017
Project No.:	1110-4677	Check:	AS	Updated:	09/06/2017

INFILTRATION GALLERY CALCULATIONS

PARAMETERS

Assumed Infiltration Rate = 22 mm/hr

Based on MOE well records adjacent to site, which identify silty sand as the predominant native soil type

Drawdown Time = 48 hours

DESIGN CALCULATIONS

5mm Storm Event Runoff Volume

 $RV_{5mm} = SiteArea \times 5mm = 3829m^2 \times 0.005m = 19.15m^3$

Required Soakaway Pit Footprint

Source: Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide, Credit Valley Conservation, 2010

 $SoakawayPitArea = A_{SP} = \frac{1000 \times RunoffVolume}{InfiltrationRate \times VoidRatio \times DrawdownTime}$

 $=\frac{1000\times19.15m^{3}}{22mm/hr}\times0.4\times48hr}=45.336\approx45m^{2}$

RECOMMENDED INFILTRATION SYSTEM DIMENSIONS (refer to DWG C02)

	Infiltration Trench (in Triton S-22 underground cistern)
Footprint Area (m²)	45.36
Trench Length (m)	12.76
Trench Width (m)	3.56
Stone Storage Reservoir Depth (m)	1.06
Required 5mm Runoff Volume Storage (m ³)	19.15
Provided 5mm Runoff Volume Storage (m ³)	19.23



Stormceptor Design Summary

PCSWMM for Stormceptor

Project Information

Date	9/13/2017
Project Name	Dream Maker
Project Number	1110-4677
Location	1575 Hurontario Street

Designer Information

-	
Company	CF Crozier & Associates
Contact	Benjamin Peachman

Notes

Rainfall

Name	TORONTO CENTRAL
State	ON
ID	100
Years of Records	1982 to 1999
Latitude	45°30'N
Longitude	90°30'W

Water Quality Objective

Upstream Storage Storage

(ha-m)

0.000

0.010

TSS Removal (%)	80
Runoff Volume (%)	90

Discharge

(L/s)

00.000

18.940

Drainage Area

-	
Total Area (ha)	0.3788
Imperviousness (%)	75.53

The Stormceptor System model STC 300 achieves the water quality objective removing 84% TSS for a City of Toronto (clay, silt and sand) particle size distribution and 99% runoff volume.

Stormceptor Sizing Summary

TSS Removal Runoff Volume Stormceptor Model % % STC 300 84 99 STC 750 89 100 STC 1000 89 100 STC 1500 89 100 STC 2000 91 100 STC 3000 92 100 STC 4000 94 100 STC 5000 94 100 STC 6000 95 100 STC 9000 97 100 STC 10000 96 100 STC 14000 97 100



Particle Size Distribution

Removing silt particles from runoff ensures that the majority of the pollutants, such as hydrocarbons and heavy metals that adhere to fine particles, are not discharged into our natural water courses. The table below lists the particle size distribution used to define the annual TSS removal.

City of Toronto (clay, silt and sand)								
Particle Size	Distribution	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity		Particle Size	Distribution	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity
μm	%		m/s		μm	%	-	m/s
10	20	2.65	0.0004					
30	10	2.65	0.0008					
50	10	2.65	0.0022					
95	20	2.65	0.0063					
265	20	2.65	0.0366					
1000	20	2.65	0.1691					

Stormceptor Design Notes

- Stormceptor performance estimates are based on simulations using PCSWMM for Stormceptor version 1.0
- Design estimates listed are only representative of specific project requirements based on total suspended solids (TSS) removal.
- Only the STC 300 is adaptable to function with a catch basin inlet and/or inline pipes.
- Only the Stormceptor models STC 750 to STC 6000 may accommodate multiple inlet pipes.
- Inlet and outlet invert elevation differences are as follows:
 - Inlet and Outlet Pipe Invert Elevations Differences

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Inlet Pine Configuration	STC 300	SIC 750 to SIC	STC 9000 to
inier Fipe Coniguration		510 500	6000	STC 14000
	Single inlet pipe	75 mm	25 mm	75 mm
		75	75	Only one inlet
	multiple met pipes	75 mm	/ 5 mm	pipe.

- Design estimates are based on stable site conditions only, after construction is completed.
- Design estimates assume that the storm drain is not submerged during zero flows. For submerged applications, please contact your local Stormceptor representative.
- Design estimates may be modified for specific spills controls. Please contact your local Stormceptor representative for further assistance.
- For pricing inquiries or assistance, please contact Imbrium Systems Inc., 1-800-565-4801.



- <u>Home</u>
- Contact Us
- |
- <u>My Account</u>
- |
- Log In
- 🚹
- E
- About Us
 - Our Story
 - Partners
 - Sales/Distributors
 - Press Releases
- <u>Video</u>
 - Animation
 - Bird Strike
 - <u>Double Stack Video</u>
 - <u>Metric Installation</u>
 - Overview Video
- <u>Site Calculator</u>
- <u>Resources</u>
 - Product Support
 - Commercial Installation
 - Residential Installation
 - <u>Homeowners</u>
 - <u>System Design</u>
 - Installation Manual
 - <u>Downloads</u>
 - Case Studies
 - <u>Archives</u>
 - Press Releases
- <u>Products</u>
 - Product Listing
 - <u>Comparisons</u>
 - <u>Downloads</u>

Site Calculator

- <u>System Builder</u>
- Field Diagram
- <u>Summary</u>

Parameters

Units: Metric ▼ Storage Volume: 35 Chamber Selection: S-22 ▼ [+] Header Row Position: Left ▼ Fill Over Embedment Stone: 30 cm Embedment Stone:

See the advanatges of the Tritonsws products over Stormtech, Cultec, Contech, Kingstar, Atlantis, GEOlight, JFC and Hydrologic Products 9/8/2017

Over:	
15	
Under:	
15	
Porosity: 0.4	
Controlled By (in M):	
Width 🔻	
5	
Accessories:	
Dumpsters: 0 •	
Bins: 0 ▼	
Floors:	
Double Stacked	
Double Stacked?:	
Lower Chamber: S-29 V	
Stone Between: 15	

RECALCULATE Note: After making an input change you must hit recalculate to update the Field Diagram and Project Results.

SAVE

NOTICE: This calculator works best in when used with Firefox browser. If using Internet Explorer, please be sure to disable Protected Mode. This calculator has shown issues when used in Chrome with AdBlock enabled. If using Chrome, please disable AdBlock. This calculator is provided for your convenience only and is not meant for final quotation and/or engineering purposes. Please contact Triton



for more information.

Need to model out a full system, or need engineering ready calculations? Triton chambers are available for modeling in HydroCAD by clicking on the HydroCAD banner to the left.

Project Results



- **1** Total Cover Over Chambers: 45.72 cm
- **1** Height of Chamber: 88.7476 cm
- 3 Embedment Stone Under Chambers: 15.24 cm
- Volume of Embedment Stone Required: 31 Cu. M
- ¹ Volume of Fill Material Required: 13 Cu. M

Total Storage Provided:	35.2 Cu. M
Type of Distribution Chambers:	S-22
# of Distribution Chambers Required:	30
# of end caps required:	6
Type of header row chambers required:	S-22 Header
# of header row chambers required:	4
Floors:	0
Bins:	0
Dumpsters:	0
Required Bed Size:	45.36 Sq. M
Volume of Embedment Stone Required:	31.53 Cu. M
Volume of Fill Material Required:	13.83 Cu. M
Volume of Excavation:	67.91 Cu. M
Area of Filter Fabric:	84.26 Sq. M

9/8/2017 See the advanatges of the Tritonsws products over Stormtech, Cultec, Contech, Kingstar, Atlantis, GEOlight, JFC and Hydrologic Products

	0	•
# of Chambers long:		15
# of rows:		2
Actual Trench Length:		12.757 M
Actual Trench Width:		3.556 M

Field Diagram



WIRE DIAGRAM

Chamber Type



Dimensions 55" x 35" x 30" (WxHxL) 1397mm x 889mm x 762mm Weight 28 lbs / 12.7 kg Bare Chamber Storage 23.2 ft³ / 0.66 m³

Project Results



- **1** Total Cover Over Chambers: 45.72 cm
- 🕗 Height of Chamber: 88.7476 cm
- 🚯 Embedment Stone Under Chambers: 15.24 cm
- 🕕 Volume of Embedment Stone Required: 31 Cu. M

9/8/2017 See the advanatges of the Tritonsws products over Stormtech, Cultec, Contech, Kingstar, Atlantis, GEOlight, JFC and Hydrologic Products

• 13 Volume of Fill Material Required: 13 Cu. M

Total Storage Provided:	35.2 Cu. M
Type of Distribution Chambers:	S-22
# of Distribution Chambers Required:	30
# of end caps required:	6
Type of header row chambers required:	S-22 Header
# of header row chambers required:	4
Floors:	0
Bins:	0
Dumpsters:	0
Required Bed Size:	45.36 Sq. M
Volume of Embedment Stone Required:	31.53 Cu. M
Volume of Fill Material Required:	13.83 Cu. M
Volume of Excavation:	67.91 Cu. M
Area of Filter Fabric:	84.26 Sq. M
# of Chambers long:	15
# of rows:	2
Actual Trench Length:	12.757 M
Actual Trench Width:	3.556 M



Triton Stormwater Solutions, LLC 7600 Grand River Rd, Suite 195 Brighton, Michigan 48114 Phone: (810) 222-7652 - Fax: (810) 222-1769



- 🗄
- . E
- How We Got Started
- Latest News
- |
- <u>Case Studies</u>
- <u>Contact Us</u>
- |
- Products
- Site Calculator

© 2007-2015 <u>Triton Stormwater Solutions</u>. All Rights Reserved. Hosting and website support provided by <u>ProWeb Technology Solutions</u>



APPENDIX D

External Reports





DRAWINGS



1110-Decim Subjeck677-1575 Horman, Store (Store) Store (Store Construction of Construction of



-5.2m-300mm PVC SAN @ 0.8% -51M INV 92.64 SAN 0BV 92.00 -5AN MH T/6 95.65 W INV 91.70 -9.0m-250mm PVC SAN @ 0.5% STM CBMH T/G 94.07 N INV 92.64 SINV 92.62 W INV 92.65	PROPOSED WATER SERVICE LATER PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT & GATE PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT & GATE PROPOSED WATER METER PROPOSED CHECK VALVE PROPOSED STORM SEWER & MANI PROPOSED SINGLE / DOUBLE CATC PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER & MANI PROPOSED SINGLE / DOUBLE CATC PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER & MANI PROPOSED SINGLE / DOUBLE CATC PROPOSED SINGLE / DOUBLE CATC PROPOSED VALVE & BOX EXISTING DITCH	AL (XXmmø) : VALVE HOLE HBASIN ANHOLE
S INV 91.62 EX 150mmø W/M PVC SAN @ 2.0% EX. 47.9m-250mmø VC SAN @ 2.0% EX. 47.9m-250mmø SAN @ 1.35% SAN @ 1.35% SAN @ 1.35% SAN @ 1.32% SAN @ 1.32% SAN @ 2.0% COMPLETE OF PARAMENT EX. 74.0m-600mmø STM @ 1.28% OUTERE DR DR VE (BY COSTERED PLAN 871) (BY COSTERED PLAN 871)	1 ISSUED FOR FSR O ISSUED FOR FSR (NOT SUBMITTED) No. ISSUE / REVISION	2017/DEC/06 2017/SEP/14 YYYY/MMM/DD
EX 45.4m−250mmø PVC SAN EX. 45.0m−750mmø PVC STM @ 0.87%	NO. TISSUE / REVISION ELEVATION NOTE: ELEVATION SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE DERIVED FROM THE CITY OF JENCHMARK NO. 709. ELEVATION = 98.279m LOCAL BENCHMARK: NALL LOCATED IN MOST WESTERN CORNER OF PARKING LOT, APPROX. THE EDEC OF ASPHALT. ELEVATION = 98.279m LOCAL BENCHMARK: NALL LOCATED IN MOST WESTERN CORNER OF PARKING LOT, APPROX. ELEVATION = 000.000m SURVEY COMPETED BY TOM A. SENKUS ONTARIO LAND SURVEYCOR. (REFERENCE No: ###################################	ITTTY MMM/UU MISSISSAUGA 0.0m SOUTH OF 2015/JUNE/08) PLYING BY THE + PLANNERS. PLANNERS. VICIATES INC. AND USENT OF THIS MS ON SITE AND CONSTRUCTION. HI ALL OTHER. E THIS DRAWING. BY THE T
	SITE SERVICING PLAN	
OR REVIEW	CROZIER &ASSOCIATES Consulting Engineers Brown Design Desi	STREET 500 MSE 1M6 3392 T 52IER.CA
ACE OF ONLY AR	S. T. T. B.M.P. Image: Check	0-4677 C 02

. ___

-STM CB

18

T/G 94.15 S INV 92.70

-0.9m-300mm PVC SAN @ 2.0% -H-----

□/Ⅲ

-H----



PROPERTY LINE

----- EXISTING STORM SEWER & MANHOLE

EXISTING WATERMAIN & GATE VALVE

EXISTING SINGLE / DOUBLE CATCHBASIN

EXISTING SANITARY SEWER & MANHOLE

PROPOSED WATERMAIN & GATE VALVE



:26:40 FM, stachbyl, DAC T. FDE4-5, ANSI fall (1990 F (36:00 × 22:00 linth s), 1:25:4

С 0 - 6995 5 MH 9 95.65 5 MH 9 95.99 1 MH 9 95.42 1 MH 9 95.32 (с мн 1 MH 9 95.32 (с мн 1 MH 9 95.79 1 MH 9 95.75 1 MH 9 95.75 1 MH 1 MH	2.0% PROPOSED MINOR FLOW DIRECTION 2.0% PROPOSED GRASSED SWALE PROPOSED RETAINING WALL PROPOSED SLOPE (3:1 MAX.) BUILDING ENTRANCE (PERSONNEL I PROPOSED MAJOR OVERLAND FLOW LIMIT OF UNDERGROUND	DOOR) W DIRECTION
E VV URIVE Ered plan 871)	1 ISSUED FOR FSR	2017/DEC/06
69-0165(LT)	0 ISSUED FOR FSR (NOT SUBMITTED)	2017/SEP/14
	No. ISSUE / REVISION	YYYY/MMM/DD
	ELEVATION NOTE: ELEVATION SHOW ON THIS PLAN ARE DERIVED FROM THE CITY OF M BENCHMARK NO. 709. ELEVATION = 98.279m LOCAL BENCHMARK: NALL LOCATED IN MOST WESTERN CORNER OF PARKING LOT, APPROX. THE EDGC OF ASPHALT. ELEVATION = 000.000m SURVEY NOTES: SURVEY COMPLETED BY TOM A. SENKUS ONTARIO LAND SURVEYOR. (2 REFERENCE NO. 1997 OF MARKING ON TARIO DAND SURVEYOR. (2 REFERENCE NO. 1997 OF MARKING ON TARIO DAND SURVEYOR. (2 REFERENCE NO. 1997 OF MARKING ON TARIO DAND SURVEYOR. (2 REFERENCE NO. 1997 OF MARKING ON TARIO DAND SURVEYOR. (2 REFERENCE NO. 1997 OF MARKING ON TARIO DAND SURVEYOR. (2 REFERENCE NO. 1997 OF MARKING ON TARIO DAND SURVEYOR. (2 REFERENCE NO. 1997 OF MARKING ON TARIO DAND SURVEYOR. (2 REFERENCE NO. 1997 OF MARKING ON TARIO DAND SURVEYOR. (2 REFERENCE NO. 1997 OF MARKING ON THE OF MARKING ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS DISTROPORY ON DATARIO STRUETHOR ON SITE PLAN	AISSISSAUGA 0.0m SOUTH OF 2015/JUNE/08) PLYING BY THE + PLANNERS. CIATES INC. AND USENT OF THIS WS ON SITE AND CONSTRUCTION. H ALL OTHER E THIS DRAWING. BY THE T
FOR CONSTRUCTION	SITE GRADING PLAN	
OR REVIEW	CROZIER &ASSOCIATES Censulting Engineers Design Des	STREET 00 M5E 1M6 392 T DZIER.CA
VCE OF ONTR	S.T.T. B.M.P. Street IIIO	9-46/7
	S.C.S A.S. 1:250	C 03



×215.00



PROPOSED GRADE (TO MATCH EXISTING)

- CONSTRUCTION NOTES (CITY OF MISSISSAUGA)
- 1.0 GENERAL CONSTRUCTION
- ALL WORKS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CURRENT CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARDS, REGION OF PELL STANDARDS, OPSD & OPSS. WHERE CONFLICT OCCURS, CITY OF MISSISAUGA STANDARDS TO GOVERN FOR STORWAIT ROADWORKS & INTERNAL GRADING; REGION OF PEEL STANDARDS TO GOVERN FOR SANITARY & WATERNALI GRADING; REGION OF PEEL STANDARDS TO GOVERN FOR SANITARY & WATERNALI GRADING; REGION OF PEEL STANDARDS TO GOVERN FOR SANITARY & WATERNALI NISTALLATION.
 1.2 ALL TOPPOIL & EARTH EXCAVATION TO BE REMOVED AND RELOCATED TO AN
- 6.0 STORM SEWERS 6.1 BEDDING & EMBEDMENT MATERIAL TO BE COMPACTED TO A DRY DENSITY OF AT LEAST 95% OF THE MATERIAL'S SPMDD.
 6.2 BEDDING & EMBEDMENT TO OPSD 802.010 (FLEXIBLE PIPE) GRANULAR 'A' EMBEDMENT.
- ALL INFORCE & CANTER ENGLAND THE ADDRESS OF THE DETAILED LAYOUT OF THE WORK. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DETAILED LAYOUT OF THE WORK. THE ENGINEER WILL CONFIRM ALL BENCHMARK ELEVATIONS AND HORIZONTAL 1.3
- 14 1.5
- 1.6
- 1.7
- 1.8
- 1.9
- THE ENGINEER WILL CONFIRM ALL BENCHMARK ELEVATIONS AND HOMIZONIAL ALIGNMENT. ALIGNMENT. ALIGNMENT. ALIGNMENT. THE REMOVED DURING CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAKE HIS OWN ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE SUPPLY OF TEMPORARY WATER & POWER. ECARAGE FOR OBTAINED AND ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS-517 & 5 PERFONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING M.OLE PEMIT IF REQUIRED. ALL ENGINE PRIVEN PLUMPS TO BE ADEQUATELY SILENCED, SUITABLE FOR OPERATION IN A RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT. THE UTILITIES SHOWN ON PLANS ARE APPROXIMATE ONLY & CONTRACTOR TO COMFIRM LOCATIONS IN A DAYANCE OF CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO NOTIFY ALL UTILITY COMPANIES PRIOR TO COMMENDE WORK & CO-ORDINATE CONSTRUCTION. THE LOCATIONS IN A DAYANCE OF CONSTRUCTION. THE LOCATIONS WOR & CO-ORDINATE CONSTRUCTION. THE LOCATION WOR WAS OF OUR THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAIL DISTRUCTION. 1.10
- RESPONSIBLE FOR THE RESTORATION AND/OR REPAR OF EXISTING UTILITIES DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION. 1.11 ALL AREAS BEYOND THE SITE PLAN WHICH ARE DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RESTORED TO THE SAITSACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING SURSIDICTION AT THE EXPENSE OF THE CONTRACTOR. 1.21 ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT." THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE THE CONSTRUCTOR AS DEFINED IN THE ACT. CONSTRUCTOR AS DEFINED IN THE ACT. 1.31 ALL WORK SPICALE DEFINITION ON THE FIELD BY THE ALL DIAL OF REPART OF CONSTRUCTION. ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED IMMEDIATELY TO THE DEGREE. 1.14 ROAD AND BOULEVARD RESTORATION AS PER CITY OF MISSISSAUGA ROAD CUT PERMIT.

- Information
 <thInformation</th>
 <thInformation</th>
- UN SUB-BASE MAIERIAL. 1.17 MONITORING WELLS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED OR ADJUSTED TO SUIT GRADE AS PER GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
- 2.0 OPEN CUT INSTALLATION & RESTORATION

- OPEN CUT INSTALLATION & RESTORATION
 BACKFILL MATERIALS SHALL BE OPSS GRANULAR 'A', GRANULAR 'B' & UNSHRINKABLE FILL PLACED AT THE SPECIFIED DEPTHS AS PER STANDARD 2220.030. ALL GRANULAR MATERIAL SHALL CONFORM WITH OPSS 1010 & THE UNSHRINKABLE FILL SHALL CONFORM TO OPSS 1359. ALL GRANULAR MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED IN 150mm LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO 100% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY.
 AFTER BACKFILLING THE UTILITY TERNCH, A MIN. 300mm TOTAL ASPHALT REMOVAL SHALL BE CUT ON ALL SIDES OF THE TRENCH INTO THE EXISTING PAVEMENT STRUCTURE. THE PAVEMENT STRUCTURE MATERIALS SHALL MATCH THE EXISTING PAVEMENT MATERIAL.
 ASPHALT RESTORATION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 40mm HL-3 & 50mm HL-B & SHALL MATCH THE EXISTING PAVEMENT STRUCTURE. ALL ASPHALT RESTORATION SHALL BE IN COMPLANCE WITH OPSS 310. ALL HOT-MIX MATCH THE EXISTING PAVEMENT MATERIAL.
 ASPHALT RESTORATION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 40mm HL-3 & 50mm HL-B & SHALL MATCH THE EXISTING PAVEMENT STRUCTURE. ALL ASPHALT RESTORATION SHALL BE IN COMPLANCE WITH OPSS 310. ALL HOT-MIX MATCH THE EXISTING CONFORM TO CPSS 1149, 1130 AND/OR 1154. EXPOSED ASPHALT AND CONCRETE FACES SHALL BE CLEANED AND COATED WITH AN RS-1 (OR CUIVALENT) SCHALT ENULSION & ALLOW TO 'BREAK' PRIOR TO COMMENCING ASPHALT PLACEMENT. TO THE SAWCUT IS 1.3m OR LESS, THE EXISTING ASPHALT WILL BE REMOVED FULL DEPTH & REPAVED AS PER NOTE 3. WHEN TWO OR WORE ROAD CUTS ARE REQUIRED AT A GIVEN SITE AND THE CUTS ARE LESS THAN 2.5m APART THE ENTIRE AREA MUST HAVE FULL CONSTRUCT SHALL BE AND THE CONTRET FACES SHALL SHALL SHALE FULL CONTRETAL ALL CONCRETE SHALL BE AS PER OPSS 351. ALL SIDEWALKS SHALL EE 130mm THIOK.
 SUB-DRAINS UNDER THE CURB SHALL BE RESTORED TO ENSURE THEIR OPRENATION AND SHALL BE PLACED AS PER CITY OF MISSISAUGA STANDARD DRAWING NUMBER 2220.040
 WHER THE CURB SHALL BE AS PER OPSS 351. ALL SIDEWALKS SHALL EE 1300mm THOK.

- AND SHALL BE PLACED AS PER CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARD DRAWING NUMBER 2220.040 2.7 WHERE THE CURB HAS BEEN UNDERMINED TO FACILITATE WATERMAIN INSTALLATION THE CURB SHALL BE REMOVED AND REPLACED. CURB RESTORATION SHALL BE MINIMUM OF 2.0m OR SHALL EXTEND 0.5m BEYOND THE OUTER TRENCH EDGES WHICH EVER IS GREATER, ALL CONCRETE SHALL BE AS PER OPSS 353. 2.8 ALL GRASSED BOULEVARDS SHALL BE RE-INSTATED WITH NUMBER 1 NURSERY SOD PLACED ON TOP OF 100mm OF TOPSOL. ALL SOD SHALL BE PLACED WITH STAGGERED JOINTS, BE ROLLED, AND WHERE APPLICABLE, STAKED INTO THE GROUND.

3.0 DRIVEWAYS

- 3.1 GRANULAR 'A' & 'B' BASE TO BE COMPACTED TO 98% OF THE MATERIAL'S RESPECTIVE SPMDD OR AS APPROVED BY GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. 3.2 THE TOP 1.0m OF THE SUB-BASE SHALL BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 98% OF STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY WITHIN 2% OF OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT. 3. SUBGRADE TO BE PROOF ROLLED & CERTIFIED BY GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER PRIOR TO PLACING GRANULAR MATERIAL. 4. DRIVEWAYS & PARKING LOT TO BE CONSTRUCTED AS PER RECOMMENDATIONS OF GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. 5. ALL GRANULAR MON SEPHALT MATERIAL PLACEMENT TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH 3.6 ALL GRANULAR CONNECTIONS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARD 2270 D50.
- ALL GRANCLAR CONNECTIONS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITLY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARD 2220.052
 ALL CONCRETE SIDEWALKS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITLY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARD 224.0010.
 ALL PEDESTRIAN SIDEWALK ENTRANCES AT INTERSECTIONS TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OFSD 350.010.
- 4.0 SANITARY SERVICES

- BEDDING & EMBEDMENT TO OPSD 802.010, GRANULAR 'A' BEDDING.
 TRENCH BACKFILL TO SELECT NATIVE MATERIAL AS APPROVED BY ENGINEER OR IMPORTED GRANULAR MATERIAL.
 SERVICING FOR COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS TO REGION OF PEEL STANDARD 2–4–2 AND

- SERVICING FOR COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS TO REGION OF PELL STANDARD 2-4-2 AND 1-8-4.
 BEDDING & EMBEDMENT MATERIAL TO BE COMPACTED TO A DRY DENSITY OF AT LEAST 95% OF THE MATERIAL'S STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY (SPMDD).
 CLEAR STONE WRAPPED WITH FILTER FABRIC CAN BE SUBSTITUTED FOR EMBEDMENT MATERIAL IF APPROVED BY THE COETCENHICAL ENGINEER.
 SANITARY SEWER SDR 35 PVC WITH MINIMUM PIPE STIFFNESS OF 320APa -MANUFACTURED TO CS.A. STANDARD B182.2 (A.S.T.M. SPECIFICATION D 3034) WITH RUBBER GASKETTED BELL AND SPICOT JOINTS.
 SANTARY SEWER BEDDING SHALL BE CLASS 'B' BEDDING AS PER REGION OF PEEL STD. 2-3-1. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
 ALLSER AND CHECKED PRIOR TO BACKFILL AT THE CONTRACTORS EXPENSE.

5.0 WATERMAIN

- 5.0 WA LEMAAIN
 5.1 BEDDING & EMBEDMENT TO REGION OF PEEL STANDARD 1-5-1. WATERMAIN SUPPORT BRIDGING DISTURBED GROUND TO REGION OF PEEL STANDARD 1-5-2.
 5.2 TRENCH BACKFILL TO BE SELECT NATIVE MATERIAL AS APPROVED BY ENGINEER OR IMPORTE GRANULRA MATERIAL.
 5.3 SERVICE CONNECTION TO REGION OF PEEL STANDARD 1-6-4. FIRE LINE AND DOMESTIC CONNECTION TO REGION OF PEEL STANDARD 1-6-4.
 5.4 BEDDING & EMBEDMENT MATERIAL TO BE COMPACTED TO A DRY DENSITY OF AT LEAST 95% OF THE MATERIAL'S SPMDD.
 5.5 MINIMUM COVER ON WATERMAIN AND SERVICES TO BE 1.7m BELOW FINISHED GRADE.
 6. CLEARANCE BETWEEN WATERMAIN AND SERVICES TO BE 1.7m BELOW FINISHED GRADE.
 7. SERVICES TO BEL WATERIAL'S DMAIN.
 8.6 FOLLOWING TESTING, CONTRACTOR SHALL OPERATE EACH WATER SERVICE TO VERIFY FULL FLOW AND PRESSURE AT THE CURE DIATE. COM-BIRDY VE 1100 (REPEAT ENGINEER.
 9. VALVE & BOX MILLED AGAB WITH CURE PLATE. COM-BIRDY VE 1100 (REPEAT ENGINEER.
- VALVE & BOX MUELLER A769 WITH GUIDE PLATE; CLOW-BIBBY VB 1100/RB645.
- 5.10 MECHANICAL JOINT FITTINGS ANSI A21.53 (A.W.W.A C153) SPECIFICATIONS; HYPROTEC FITTING SHALL BE USED WITH HYPROTEC PIPE INSTALLATION.
- 5.11 BACKFLOW PREVENTORS WATTS SERIES 900 OR 9D; CLAYTON VALVE MODEL 3 OR R.P.; FEBCO 825Y.
- 5.12 ALL PVC WATERMAIN SHALL BE EQUAL TO AWWA C-900 CLASS 150, DR 18.
- 5.13 ALL PVC WATERMAIN SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH A 12 GAUGE STRANDED COPPER TWO

EV1100/1110-Decim Swite-V677-1875 Her inter: SVCAD/Divil/Shiets/4677_000.000 0.12/6/2017 2:27/30 EH, ets they, D//OT - DEL-5, AVSE full (1.54 E) (36/07 - 22/00 bethis), 1:25/

- TRACER WIRE IN ACCORDANCE WITH REGION OF PEEL STANDARDS. 5.14 VALVE IN BOXES SHALL BE INSTALLED AS PER REGION OF PEEL STD. 1–3–8. MAINLINE VALVES TO BE RESTRAINED AS PER REGION OF PEEL STD. 1–3–3A. 5.15 CATHODIC PROTECTION IS REQUIRED ON ALL METALLIC FITTINGS AS PER REGION OF PEEL STANDARDS. 5.16 DE GERATION OF EVENTNOL WITTENDE CONTROL OF CONTR

6.3 MAIN SEWERS SHALL BE PVC PIPE (OPSS 410), MIN. PIPE STIFFNESS SHALL BE 320kPd. ALL PIPE TO BE JOINED WITH A GASKETTED BELL AND SPIGOT SYSTEM

6.4 WHERE COVER OVER THE SPRING LINE OF THE SEWER IS LESS THAN 1.50m, REFER TO INSULATION DETAIL ON DRAWING 102.

7.1 NO MAINTENANCE OR REPAIR WORK ON CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT IS ALLOWED WITHIN 30m OF AN EXISTING WATERCOURSE OR DITCH.
7.2 ALL SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL FACILITES AND WORKS ARE TO BE CONSTRUCTED AND IN PLACE TO THE APPROVAL OF THE SITE ENGINEER PRIOR TO ANY GRADING OPERATIONS COMMENCING. TYPICAL WORKS INCLUDE SILT FENCES AND INTERCEPTOR SWALES.

INIERCEPION SWALLS. ALL TEMPORARY SOIL OR DIRT STOCKPILES ARE TO BE PROVIDED WITH THE NECESSARY SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL FEATURES. IF STOCKPILES ARE TO REMAIN FOR A PERIOD LONGER THAN 180 DAYS, STOCKPILES SHALL BE HYROSEEDED AND SURROUNDED WITH SILT FENCE.

AND SURROUNDED WITH SILT FENCE. ALL AREA DRAINS TO HAVE FILTER FABRIC PLACED AND MAINTAINED UNDER GRATES PER STD. 230.040 UNTIL LANDSCAPING IS COMPLETE AND STABILIZED IN DEVELOPMENT OR AS DIRECTED BY SITE ENGINEER. ADDITIONAL EROSION AND SEDMENT CONTROL MEASURES (I.E. SILT FENCE, STRAW BALES, CLEARSTONE, ETC.) ARE TO BE KEPT ON SITE FOR EMERGENCIES AND REPAIRS. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL METHODS ARE TO BE CONTINUOUSLY EVALUATED AND, WHERE NECESSARY, UPGRADES ARE TO BE IMPLEMENTED. AN AFTER HOURS CONTACT NUMBER IS TO BE VISIBLY POSTED ON-SITE FOR EMERGENCIES.

EMERGENUES. ALL SEDMENT CONTROL FENCING IS TO BE ERECTED PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY SITE GRADING OPERATIONS, AS PER CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARD 2940.01.

2990.01. ALL CATCHBASINS WITHIN LANDSCAPED AREAS TO HAVE SEDIMENT BARRIER (CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARD 2930.02 OR 2930.03) ERECTED IMMEDIATELY AFTER CATCHBASIN INSTALLATION. SEDIMENT PROTECTION BARRIER TO BE MAINTAINED ON A REGULAR BASIS OR TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA.

ALL ROADING CATCHABINS TO HAVE SUBMENT PROTECTION AS PER CITY OF MISSISSAUGA STANDARD 2930.04 INSTALLED IMMEDIATELY AFTER CATCHBASIN INSTALLATION. SEDIMENT PROTECTION BARRIER TO BE MUNITAINED ON A REGULAR BASIS OR TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA.

7.11 IF SITE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES ARE INTERRUPTED AND/OR INACTIVITY EXCEEDS 30 IF SHE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES ARE INTERROFTED AND/OR INACTIVIT EXCEDS 2 DAYS, ALL STIPPPED AND/OR BARE SOLL AREAS ARE TO BE STABLIZED BY SODDING/SEEDING/MULCHING OR OTHER APPROVED METHOD, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA.

OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA.
7.12 THIS CONTROL, PLAN IS PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN APPLICATION FOR EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL PERMIT NO. 08-006 UNDER THE EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL BY-LAW NO. 512.91, AS AMENDED.
7.13 ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURE ARE TO BE RECULARLY INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED, AS REQUIRED, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA.
7.14 DURING ALL CONSTRUCTION PHASES, MUD TRACKING CONTROL, CONSISTING OF FLUSHING ALL CONSTRUCTION PHASES, MUD TRACKING CONTROL, CONSISTING OF CONTROL POLICY.
7.15 SLT FENCE MUST BE INSPECTED WERKLY FOR PIBE OR TRACKING AUD TRACKING

7.15 SILT FENCE MUST BE INSPECTED WEEKLY FOR RIPS OR TEARS, BROKEN STAKES, BLOW-OUTSAND ACCUMULATION OF SEDIMENT. 7.16 SILT FENCE MUST BE INSPECTED IMMEDIATELY AFTER EVERY RAIN STORM EVENT OR AS DIRECTED BY SITE ENGINEER.

7.17 SEDIMENT MUST BE REMOVED FROM SILT FENCE WHEN ACCUMULATION REACHES 50% OF THE HEIGHT OF THE FENCE. 7.18 ALL SILT FENCES MUST BE REMOVED ONLY WHEN THE ENTIRE SITE IS STABILIZED AND AS DIRECTED BY THE SITE ENGINEER.

7.19 ALL SILT FENCES INSTALLED AT THE LIMIT OF THE DEVELOPMENT ARE TO BE PLACED DIRECTLY ON THE PROPERTY LINE OR AS DIRECTED BY SITE ENGINEER.

ALL MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION METHODS MUST CORRESPOND TO THE CURRENT PEEL PUBLIC WORKS STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
 WATERMIN AND/OR WATER SERVICE MATERIALS 100mm (4") AND LARGER MUST BE A.W.W.A. C900; SIZE 50mm (2") AND SMALLER TO BE COPPER TYPE 'K' B88-49.
 WATERMINS AND/OR WATER SERVICES ARE TO HAVE A MINIMUM COVER OF 1.7m (5'6') WITH A MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SPACING OF 1.2m (4') FROM THEMSELVES AND ALL OTHER HITLINGER

WITH A MINIMUM HORIZONIAL SPACING OF 1.2m (4) FROM THEMSELVES AND ALL OTHER UTLITTES. PROVISIONS FOR FLUSHING WATER LINE PRIOR TO TESTING, ETC., MUST BE PROVIDED WITH AT LEAST A SOmm (2°) OUTLET ON 100mm (4°) AND LARCER LINES. COPPER LINES ARE TO HAVE FLUSHING POINTS AT THE END, THE SAME SIZE AS THE LINE. THEY MUST ALSO BE HOSED OR PIPED TO ALLOW THE WATER TO DRAIN ONTO A PARKING LOT OR DOWN A DRAIN. ON FIRE LINES, FLUSHING OUTLET TO BE 100mm (4°) DIAMETER MINIMUM ON A HORDANT. ALL CURB STOPS TO BE 3.0m (10°) OF THE FACE OF THE BUILDING UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

ALL CORE STORES TO BE 3.000 (10) OF THE FACE OF THE BUILDING UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
 HIDRANI AND VALVE SET TO REGION STANDARD 1-6-1 DIMENSIONS A AND B, 0.7m (2) AND 0.9m(2) AND HAVE PUMPER NOZZLE.
 WORK, MAINS TO BE INSTALED TO GREEP AS SHOWN ON A PROVED SITE PLAN. COPY WORK, WHERE REQUESTED BY INSPECTOR.
 WATERMANS MUST HAVE A MINIMUM VERTICAL CLEARANCE OF 0.3m (12') OVER/0.5m (2) OVERSION

(20") UNDER SEWERS AND ALL OTHER UTILITIES WHEN CROSSING. ALL PROPOSED WATER PIPING MUST BE ISOLATED FROM EXISTING LINES IN ORDER TO ALLOW INDEPENDENT FRESSURE TESTING AND CHLORNATING FROM EXISTING SYSTEMS. ALL LIVE TAPPING AND OPERATION OF REGION WATER VALVES SHALL BE ARRANGED TRROUGH THE REGIONAL INSPECTOR ASSIGNED OR BY CONTACTING THE OPERATIONS

LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES IN THE FIELD TO BE ESTABLISHED BY THE CONTRACTOR.
 THE CONTRACTOR.
 THE CONTRACTOR.
 SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR LOCATES, EXPOSING, SUPPORTING AND PROTECTING OF ALL UNDERGROUND AND OVERHEAD UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES EXISTING AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION IN THE AREA OF THEIR WORK.
 WHETHER SHOWN ON THE FORS 3E NOT AND FOR ALL REPARS AND CONSEQUENCES
 THE UNIT REFORM TO AN EVEN STATE AND CONSEQUENCES
 THE UNIT FOR CONSTRUCTION, UTILITIES, FOR THE PURPORE OF THE CONSTRUCTION, WITH THE CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR SUCH RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PURPORE OF INSPECTION BY THE CONCERNED UTILITY. THIS INSPECTION WILL BE FOR THE PURPORE OF THE CONSTRUCTION, WITH THE CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS ARISING FROM SUCH INSPECTION.
 ALL PROPOSED WATER PIPING MUST BE ISOLATED THROUGH A TEMPORARY CONNECTION THAT SHALL INCLUDE AN APPROPRIATE CROSS-CONNECTION CONTROL DEVICE, CONSISTENT WITH THE DEGREE OF HAZARD, FOR BACKFLOW PREVENTION OF THE ACTIVE DISTRUBION SYSTEM, CONFORMING TO REGION OF PEEL STANDARDS 1–7–7 OR 1–7–8.

AND MAINTENANCE DIVISION. LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES IN THE FIELD TO BE ESTABLISHED BY THE CONTRACTOR

CONSTRUCTION NOTES (REGION OF PEEL)

5.16 THE OFERATION OF EXISTING WATERMAIN VALVES SHALL BE CONDUCTED AS REQUIRED BY THE REGION OF PEEL.

6.5 ALL CATCH BASINS FRAMES AND GRATES AS PER OPSD 400.020.

7.0 FROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROLS

7.3

7 10

2.

10

12

		Somerine's Sonie friendlich States and
		SITE PLAN NOTES:
		DESIGN ELEMENTS ARE BASED ON SITE PLAN BY KIRKOR ARCHITECTS + PLANNERS. DRAWING NO.: XXX, REV.X (2017/MAY/08) PROJECT NO.: SP 01
		DRAWING NOTES:
		THIS DRAWING IS THE EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY OF C.F. CROZIER & ASSOCIATES INC. AND THE REPRODUCTION OF ANY PART OF IT WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT OF THIS OFFICE IS STRICTLY PROHBITED.
		THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS, LEVELS, AND DATUMS ON SITE AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR OMISSIONS TO THIS OFFICE PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION
		THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ AND UNDERSTOOD IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL OTHER PLANS AND DOCUMENTS APPLICABLE TO THIS PROJECT. DO NOT SCALE THIS DRAWING.
		ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES TO BE VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
		Project
		1575 HURONTARIO STREET
		CITY OF MISSISSAUGA
		Drawing
		NOTEO
		NUIES
NOT FOR CO	NZIRUCTION	
itamp	Stamp	
PROFESS/ON4	PROFESS/ON4	CDA71ED 8 MARKET STREET
S (B)		
		CASSOCIALES 100 MISE 1000
I FOR K	EVILW	Censulting Engineers www.cfcrozier.ca
102 181	12	Drawn Design Project No. 4440 4077
ACE OF ONTHE	NCE OF ONTAK	
		S.C.S Check A.S. Scale 1:500 Dwg. C 04

1 ISSUED FOR FSR 0 ISSUED FOR FSR (NOT SUBMITTED)

ELEVATIONS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE DERIVED FROM THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA BENCHMARK NO. 709.

NAIL LOCATED IN MOST WESTERN CORNER OF PARKING LOT, APPROX. 0.0m SOUTH OF THE EDGE OF ASPHALT.

SURVEY COMPLETED BY TOM A. SENKUS ONTARIO LAND SURVEYOR. (2015/JUNE/08) REFERENCE No.: ##-##-###-###

DISTANCES ARE GROUND AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO GRID BY MULTIPLYING BY THE

BEARINGS ARE UTM GRID, DERIVED FROM RTN OBSERVATIONS UTM ZONE 17, NAD83 (GSRS) (2010.0)

No. ISSUE / REVISION

ELEVATION NOTE:

ELEVATION = 98.279m

LOCAL BENCHMARK:

FIEVATION = 000.000mSURVEY NOTES:

017/DEC/0

017/SEP/14

YYYY/MMM/D



FIGURES



ペ1110-Depart Soft+(x877-1575 Hormans) SN 05/05/00(1)(5) 05/0572-002000、目11、12/5/2017 225:13 DM、+1-04000、200 Tx 2002,053 foll block 1-0 (24/00 × 22/00 Horber)、125







へ1110-Decim Suit = 4677-1575 Hurintori = 51/070/06ii|15h ets/4677_000.00 2, 12/5/2017 2:28:55 0M, +t-abave, つかつつの行いたす、ANSI full bl > 10/54/00 × 22:00 betve), 125



