

Commercial Development, GTA Dispatch Services, 473 Hesall Circle, Mississauga, ON

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

prepared for:

Peter Deman

prepared by:

MGM Consulting Inc.

400 Bronte Street South Suite 201 Milton, Ontario L9T 0H7

File No. 2018-008

May 06, 2019

1. Purpose of Report

MGM Consulting Inc. has been retained by Peter Deman. to prepare a Functional Servicing Report to support a rezoning application for the construction of a parking lot expansion located at 473 Hensall Circle in the City of Mississauga. The overall subject parcel is approximately 0.43 ha currently owned by GTA Dispatch Services. Two existing buildings south of the site were historically part of the subject development area.

The legal description of the subject lands is Part of Lot 12, Concession 1, North of Dundas Street, City of Mississauga, in the Regional Municipality of Peel.

2. Existing Conditions

The overall subject property is approximately 0.43 ha in area and is located on the north side of Hensall Circle. The subject site currently includes an existing building with surrounding asphalt parking and two vehicular entrances access off of Hensall Circle to the east. The west portion of the existing building has a finished floor elevation of 118.55 m. while the east portion has a finished floor elevation of 118.92 m. Abutting developments include residential areas to the west and a commercial area to the south.

Topographically, the site is currently lower than neighboring properties. The existing internal storm system onsite currently captures the internal drainage from the site and from the neighboring properties with storm flows conveyed to the 600mm municipal storm sewer on Hensall Circle via an existing 300mm storm service connection. The existing drainage areas are indicated in **Figure No 1**.

3. Proposed Site Development

The current proposal includes for a paved westerly expansion of the existing parking lot. The two existing buildings are proposed to remain as part of the site redevelopment. The proposed site grading and internal storm sewer system is intended to mitigate existing flooding issue on site while addressing onsite storm water management in accordance with City of Mississauga and Conservation Authority requirements. The vehicular access to the site is proposed to be constructed as per OPSD 350.010.

The proposed drainage areas are indicated in Figure No 2.

4. <u>Proposed Site Grading</u>

The proposed site grading will take into consideration the existing topography, perimeter elevations, and be completed as required to provide safe vehicular and pedestrian movements and access within the site, as required to convey storm flows to proposed drainage features (catchbasins and catchbasin manholes), as required to provide sufficient



frost cover on storm servicing, and safely convey major storm flows from the site to the Hensall Circle right of way.

A retaining wall is proposed along the south side of the west portion of the existing building as required to protect the building from flooding during major storm events. The minimum top of wall elevation has been set 300 mm above the maximum ponding elevation within the site as described in Section 5 of this report.

Proposed grading within the site is indicated on the Site Grading Plan, Drawing No. CV-1

5. Stormwater Management

City of Mississauga requirements specific to the subject site are to control post development flows during the 2 and 100-year storm events to at or below 2 year predevelopment peak flow rate with runoff coefficient of 0.5.

5.1 Peak Rate and Quantity Controls

The site was modelled using the Modified Rational Method which is appropriate for small site development analyses. The modified Rational Method generates a triangular hydrograph with the peak of the triangle being the peak flow. Required storage volumes during each time step are then approximated as the difference between the total attenuated flow and the allowable controlled flow times the time difference. The estimated time to concentration used for the subject site is 15 minutes which is consistent with City of Mississauga standards.

Rate controls have been provided with the installation of a 105mm diameter orifice plate installed on the downstream side of control manhole (CBMH5) and upstream of oil/grit separator. The 105mm diameter orifice plate will ontrol the post development flows during the 2 and 100-year storm events to 0.0337 m3/sec and 0.0531 m³/sec respectively, which are below the allowable 2 year flow rate of 0.0540 m³/sec by City of Mississauga Design Criteria.

As indicated in the calculations provided in Appendix A, The maximum detention volume required when the 2 year to 100yr post development flow is controlled to the allowable flow is 53.9 m^3 and 160.5 m^3 respectively, which have been provided for within onsite underground storm system and surface ponding. The maximum depth of ponding that will occur is 250 mm within the proposed paved area prior to flowing into Hensall Circle.

During severe storm events when an outlet is blocked, emergency overland flow will occur from the site at an elevation of 118.75 m. through adjacent property (469 Hensall Circle) to the Hensall Circle right of way consistent with the existing overland flow



drainage pattern. The overflow elevation provided is approximately 170 mm below the proposed finished floor elevation of the existing building.

Detailed Stormwater Management Calculations are included in Appendix A.

5.2 Stormwater Quality Controls

The current stormwater quality control objective is to provide an "enhanced" level of treatment which is equivalent to removing 80% of the total suspended solids from the site runoff on an annual loading basis.

Water quality treatment is proposed with the installation of an OSR300 treatment unit, designed to remove an estimated 81% of the total suspended solids (TSS) during 95% of the average annual rainfall events.

Modeling for a proposed OGS treatment unit is included in **Appendix C**. The proposed treatment unit is to be installed downstream of control orifice location.

5.3 <u>Water Balance</u>

Water balance requirements are to retain a minimum of 5mm of water on-site through infiltration, evapotranspiration, or re-use, over the site area. On-site retention of 23.2 m^3 translates to an average of 5.4mm of water over the entire site which satisfies both the erosion control and water balance objectives. Detail water balance calculations are provided in **Appendix A**.

5.4 <u>Erosion & Sediment Control during Construction</u>

In 2006, The Greater Golden Horseshoe Area Conservation Authorities prepared a guideline entitled "Erosion & Sediment Control Guideline for Urban Construction". Based on the guideline, all projects involving the removal of topsoil or site alteration requires an ESC (Erosion and Sediment Control) Plan in place prior to commencing construction. Failure to adhere to the plan could lead to the potential for prosecution under the various pieces of environmental legislation.

The following principles assist in creating an effective ESC Plan.

(Ref. Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Urban Construction)

- Adopt a multi-barrier approach to provide erosion and sediment control through erosion controls first.
- Retain existing ground cover and stabilize exposed soils with vegetation where possible.
- Limit the duration of soil exposure and phase construction where possible.
- Limit the size of disturbed areas by minimizing nonessential clearing and grading.



- Minimize slope length and gradient of disturbed areas.
- Maintain overland sheet flow and avoid concentrated flows.
- Store/stockpile soil away (e.g. greater than 15 meters) from watercourses, drainage features and top of steep slopes.
- Ensure contractors and all involved in the ESC practices are trained in ESC Plan, implementation, inspections, maintenance, and repairs.
- Adjust ESC Plan at construction site to adapt to site features.
- Assess all ESC practices before and after all rainfall and significant snowmelt events.

The guideline stresses that prevention of erosion is the preferred mitigation measure for reducing the potential for sedimentation.

Erosion and sediment control measures can be categorized as Erosion prevention controls and Sediment controls.

Erosion controls include minimizing the reduction in vegetative ground cover or immediate stabilization of disturbed areas by top soiling, seeding, sodding, mulching, erosion control blankets, etc.

Sediment Controls are further broken down into Perimeter Controls, Settling Controls and Filtration Controls. Some major perimeter controls include silt fences, cut-off swales and mud-mats. Settling controls reduce run-off velocity allowing the soil particles to settle out. Settling controls include sediment traps, rock check dams, straw bales and sediment control ponds. Filtration controls are achieved by filtering silt laden water through the use of a filer media such as a geotextile or sand. Filtration controls include storm inlet filter cloths, sediment bags and filter rings.

5.5 <u>Stormwater Credit Assessment</u>

Stormwater Charge Credit Application Guidance Manual by City of Mississauga set out a maximum of 50% credit can be achieved by a property owner or operator. Based on storm water management calculations provided in Appendix A, the post-development flow rate has been controlled to below the predevelopment flow rate, and as such, the full credit of 40% is applicable. Based on the manufacturer's modelling software, the proposed on-site treatment unit will remove an estimated 81% of total suspended solids from development area, and as such, the full credit (10%) for water quality treatment is applicable. Infiltration storage equating to 17.8m³ has been provided within the proposed infiltration feature, which equates to 5mm over the impervious areas and as such the 5% credit is applicable.

6. <u>Proposed Sanitary Servicing</u>

As per the Region of Peel sanitary servicing requirements, all wastewater mains are to be



designed in accordance with their Design Criteria Manual.

Based on the Region's Std. 2-5-2, Sewage Flows (Excluding Infiltration), the minimum flow for new developments is based on a population of 1000 people and a flow rate of 13.0 L/s.

The infiltration component of the overall flow is 0.2 L/sec/ha.

Based on the above, the maximum sanitary flow generated by the development will be 13.1 L/s.

Sanitary servicing for the site will include a proposed 150 mm sanitary sewer at 1.0% slope, outletting to the existing sanitary sewer manhole on Hensall Circle right of way. The proposed sanitary sewer provides a capacity of 15 L/s, without surcharging.

Detail calculations of wastewater discharge are provided in **Appendix D**

7. <u>Proposed Water Servicing</u>

As per the Region of Peel's water servicing requirements, the proposed development requires a water distribution system designed to meet the greater of the following demands:

- a. Maximum Daily Demand plus Fire Flow
- b. Maximum Hourly Demand

The Region of Peel Water Demand for ICI developments are as follows:

| Unit | Avg. Consumption | Max Day Factor | Peak Hour Factor |
|------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| L/employee | 300 | 1.4 | 3.0 |

The anticipated occupancy load is 48 persons.

The Region of Peel requires that the Fire Flow demand requirement be calculated in accordance with the Water Supply for Public Fire Protection Guidelines by the Fire Underwriters Survey. Given the size of the existing building, adequate fire flow and pressure as required for fire protection is not anticipated to be an issue. A pressure and flow test on the hydrant in close proximity to the subject property will be provided if required

Based on the water demand calculations included in **Appendix B**, the water demand for the site will be governed by Maximum day plus fire flow.



A 150mm watermain is proposed to service the subject property. The proposed fire hydrant within the site will provide fire protection in accordance with OBC requirements.

Preliminary fire flow calculations are included in Appendix B.

8. Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the preceding analyses, the proposed grading and site servicing will safely convey minor and major storm flows from the site, provide the required on site storage and peak rate controls as required to achieve SWM objectives, provide the required stormwater quality treatment, provide the required domestic water supply and fire protection, and adequate sanitary servicing discharging to the existing 300 mm sanitary sewer on Hensall Circle.

Prepared by: MGM CONSULTING INC.

In

Calvin Dang, B.Eng



M.L.Stairs, P. Eng







APPENDIX A

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS

473 HENSALL CIRCLE, MISSISSAUGA, ON

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS

1.0 DRAINAGE CHARACTERISTICS

1.1 Existing Drainage Areas (see Figure No. 1):

| | "c" | Area (ha) |
|----------------------------|------|-----------|
| Attenuated Areas | | |
| Asphalt | 0.90 | 0.146 |
| Gravel | 0.60 | 0.177 |
| Roof/Concrete | 0.95 | 0.109 |
| External-Attenuated Areas: | | |
| Landscaped Area | 0.25 | 0.018 |
| Asphalt | 0.90 | 0.147 |
| Roof/Concrete | 0.95 | 0.052 |
| Subtotal Total Area | | 0.432 |
| Weighted Average "c" | | 0.79 |
| Subtotal Area +External | | 0.649 |
| Weighted Average "c" | | 0.81 |

1.2 Proposed Drainage Areas (see Figure No. 2)

| | "c" | Area (ha) |
|------------------------------------------------|------|---------------|
| Attenuated Areas: | | |
| Landscaped Area | 0.25 | 0.044 |
| Asphalt | 0.90 | 0.268 |
| Roof/Concrete | 0.95 | 0.120 |
| Unattenuated Areas: | | |
| Roof/Concrete | 0.95 | 0.000 |
| Asphalt | 0.90 | 0.000 |
| External-Attenuated Areas: | | |
| Landscaped Area | 0.25 | 0.018 |
| Asphalt | 0.90 | 0.147 |
| Roof/Concrete | 0.95 | 0.052 |
| Subtotal Total Area | | 0.432 |
| Weighted Average "c" | | 0.85 |
| Subtotal Area+External Weighted Average "c" | | 0.649 0.85 |

2.0 Allowable Post Development Flows

Peak flows are to be controlled to pre-development flow rates for the 2 to 100 year storm events. Based on Tc = 15 minutes

0.50

Flow "Q" - cIA/360, where c =

(Runoff Coefficient as per City of Mississauga Design Criteria)

| Storm Event | Intensity* | Allowable Flow |
|-------------|------------|----------------|
| | (mm/hr) | (cms) |
| 2 year | 59.9 | 0.0540 |

* Rainfall intensities are as provided by the City of Mississauga

3.0 On-Site Storage Required

3.1 2 Year Storage Calculation

| | | 2 Year | Attenuated | Unattenuated | Controlled | Aprox. | |
|----------|------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|--|
| Rainfall | | Rainfall | Flow | Flow | Flow From | Detention | |
| Duration | | Intensity (I) | From Site | From Site | Site* | Volumes | |
| min. | S | mm/h | m^3/s | m^3/s | m^3/s | m^3 | |
| 15 | 900 | 59.9 | 0.0919 | 0.0000 | 0.0337 | 52.4 | |
| 20 | 1200 | 50.2 | 0.0770 | 0.0000 | 0.0337 | 51.9 | |
| 25 | 1500 | 43.4 | 0.0666 | 0.0000 | 0.0337 | 49.4 | |
| 30 | 1800 | 38.4 | 0.0590 | 0.0000 | 0.0337 | 45.5 | |
| 35 | 2100 | 34.6 | 0.0531 | 0.0000 | 0.0337 | 40.8 | |
| 40 | 2400 | 31.5 | 0.0484 | 0.0000 | 0.0337 | 35.3 | |

A maximum detention volume required when the 2 year post development flow is controlled to the 2 year predevelopment flow **52.4 cu.m**

3.2 5 Year Storage Calculation

| | | 5 Year | Attenuated | Unattenuated | Controlled | Aprox. |
|----------|------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Rainfall | | Rainfall | Flow | Flow | Flow From | Detention |
| Duration | | Intensity (I) | From Site | From Site | Site* | Volumes |
| min. | S | mm/h | m^3/s | m^3/s | m^3/s | m^3 |
| 15 | 900 | 80.5 | 0.1235 | 0.0000 | 0.0455 | 70.2 |
| 20 | 1200 | 67.4 | 0.1035 | 0.0000 | 0.0455 | 69.5 |
| 25 | 1500 | 58.4 | 0.0896 | 0.0000 | 0.0455 | 66.0 |
| 30 | 1800 | 51.7 | 0.0793 | 0.0000 | 0.0455 | 60.8 |
| 35 | 2100 | 46.5 | 0.0714 | 0.0000 | 0.0455 | 54.2 |
| 40 | 2400 | 42.4 | 0.0651 | 0.0000 | 0.0455 | 46.8 |

A maximum detention volume required when the 5 year post development flow is controlled to the 5 year predevelopment flow **70.2 cu.m**

3.3 10 Year Storage Calculation

| | | 10 Year | Attenuated | Unattenuated | Controlled | Aprox. |
|----------|------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Rainfall | | Rainfall | Flow | Flow | Flow From | Detention |
| Duration | | Intensity (I) | From Site | From Site | Site* | Volumes |
| min. | S | mm/h | m^3/s | m^3/s | m^3/s | m^3 |
| 15 | 900 | 99.2 | 0.1522 | 0.0000 | 0.0512 | 90.9 |
| 20 | 1200 | 83.1 | 0.1275 | 0.0000 | 0.0512 | 91.5 |
| 25 | 1500 | 71.9 | 0.1103 | 0.0000 | 0.0512 | 88.7 |
| 30 | 1800 | 63.7 | 0.0977 | 0.0000 | 0.0512 | 83.7 |
| 35 | 2100 | 57.3 | 0.0879 | 0.0000 | 0.0512 | 77.2 |
| 40 | 2400 | 52.2 | 0.0801 | 0.0000 | 0.0512 | 69.5 |
| 45 | 2700 | 48.1 | 0.0738 | 0.0000 | 0.0512 | 61.0 |

A maximum detention volume required when the 10 year post development flow is controlled to the 10 year predevelopment flow **91.5 cu.m**

3.4 25 Year Storage Calculation

| | | 25 Year | Attenuated | Unattenuated | Controlled | Aprox. |
|----------|------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Rainfall | | Rainfall | Flow | Flow | Flow From | Detention |
| Duration | | Intensity (I) | From Site | From Site | Site* | Volumes |
| min. | S | mm/h | m^3/s | m^3/s | m^3/s | m^3 |
| 15 | 900 | 113.9 | 0.1748 | 0.0000 | 0.0521 | 110.4 |
| 20 | 1200 | 95.4 | 0.1464 | 0.0000 | 0.0521 | 113.1 |
| 25 | 1500 | 82.6 | 0.1267 | 0.0000 | 0.0521 | 111.9 |
| 30 | 1800 | 73.1 | 0.1122 | 0.0000 | 0.0521 | 108.1 |
| 35 | 2100 | 65.8 | 0.1010 | 0.0000 | 0.0521 | 102.6 |

A maximum detention volume required when the 25 year post development flow is controlled to the 25 year predevelopment flow **113.1 cu.m**

3.5 50 Year Storage Calculation

| | | 50 Year | Attenuated | Unattenuated | Controlled | Aprox. |
|----------|------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Rainfall | | Rainfall | Flow | Flow | Flow From | Detention |
| Duration | | Intensity (I) | From Site | From Site | Site* | Volumes |
| min. | S | mm/h | m^3/s | m^3/s | m^3/s | m^3 |
| 15 | 900 | 127.1 | 0.1951 | 0.0000 | 0.0526 | 128.2 |
| 20 | 1200 | 106.6 | 0.1635 | 0.0000 | 0.0526 | 133.1 |
| 25 | 1500 | 92.3 | 0.1416 | 0.0000 | 0.0526 | 133.5 |
| 30 | 1800 | 81.7 | 0.1254 | 0.0000 | 0.0526 | 131.1 |
| 35 | 2100 | 73.6 | 0.1129 | 0.0000 | 0.0526 | 126.7 |

A maximum detention volume required when the 50 year post development flow is controlled to the 50 year predevelopment flow **133.5 cu.m**

3.6 100 Year Storage Calculation

| | | 100 Year | Attenuated | Unattenuated | Controlled | Aprox. |
|----------|------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Rainfall | | Rainfall | Flow | Flow | Flow From | Detention |
| Duration | | Intensity (I) | From Site | From Site | Site* | Volumes |
| min. | S | mm/h | m^3/s | m^3/s | m^3/s | m^3 |
| 15 | 900 | 140.7 | 0.2159 | 0.0000 | 0.0531 | 146.5 |
| 20 | 1200 | 118.1 | 0.1813 | 0.0000 | 0.0531 | 153.8 |
| 25 | 1500 | 102.4 | 0.1571 | 0.0000 | 0.0531 | 156.1 |
| 30 | 1800 | 90.8 | 0.1393 | 0.0000 | 0.0531 | 155.2 |
| 35 | 2100 | 81.8 | 0.1255 | 0.0000 | 0.0531 | 152.0 |
| 40 | 2400 | 74.6 | 0.1144 | 0.0000 | 0.0531 | 147.3 |

A maximum detention volume required when the 100 year post development flow is controlled to the 100 year predevelopment flow **156.1 cu.m.**

4.0 Orifice Calculation

A 105mm orifice plate is proposed on the downstream side of CBMH5 to control post development flows:

Orifice Equation: $Q = CA^{*}(2gh)^{1/2}$

| Orifice diameter = | 105 | mm. |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| A = cross sectional area of orifice = | 0.00866 | sq,m. |
| g = gravitational acceleration | 9.81 | m/sec^2 |
| c = entrance loss coefficient | 0.82 | |
| Orif. plate invert elev = | 115.85 | |
| Orif. plate centreline elev = | 115.90 | m. |

4.1 Two Year Controlled Flow Calculations

| 2 Year Ponding Elev. | 117.05 | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| head = "h" = | 1.148 | |
| Controlled Flow "Q" = | 0.0337 | |
| and the total flow from the | site during the 2 year storm event (attenuated + unattenuated) = | 0.0337 cms |

4.2 Five Year Controlled Flow Calculations

| 5 Year Ponding Elev. | 118.00 | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| head = "h" = | 2.098 | |
| Controlled Flow "Q" = | 0.0455 | |
| and the total flow from the | e site during the 5 year storm event (attenuated + unattenuated) = | 0.0455 cms |

4.3 Ten Year Controlled Flow Calculations

| 10 Year Ponding Elev. | 118.55 | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| head = "h" = | 2.648 | |
| Controlled Flow "Q" = | 0.0512 | |
| and the total flow from the | site during the 10 year storm event (attenuated + unattenuated) = | 0.0512 cms |

4.4 Twenty Five Year Controlled Flow Calculations

| 25 Year Ponding Elev. | 118.65 | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| head = "h" = | 2.748 | |
| Controlled Flow "Q" = | 0.0521 | |
| and the total flow from t | he site during the 25 year storm event (attenuated + unattenuated) = | 0.0521 cms |
| | | |
| 4.5 Fifty Year Controlled | Flow Calculations | |

| 50 Year Ponding Elev. | 118.70 | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| head = "h" = | 2.798 | |
| Controlled Flow "Q" = | 0.0526 | |
| and the total flow from th | e site during the 50 year storm event (attenuated + unattenuated) = | 0.0526 cms |

4.6 One Hundred Year Controlled Flow Calculations

| 100 Year Ponding Elev. | 118.75 | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| head = "h" = | 2.848 | |
| Controlled Flow "Q" = | 0.0531 | |
| and the total flow from t | he site during the 100 year storm event (attenuated + unattenuated) = | 0.0531 cms |

5.0 On-site Storage Provided

5.1 Storm Sewer Storage

The detention volume available within the storm sewer pipes is as follows:

| From | То | Size | Length | Vol. (cu.m.) | |
|---------------------|-------|------|--------|--------------|-----|
| | | | | | |
| CB1 | CBMH2 | 450 | 21.6 | 3.4 | |
| CBMH2 | MH3 | 450 | 13.5 | 2.1 | |
| OGS1 | CBMH4 | 600 | 30.9 | 8.7 | |
| CBMH4 | CBMH5 | 600 | 40.9 | 11.6 | |
| Structure(CB) | | 600 | 1.9 | 1.1 | |
| Structure(MH) | | 1200 | 9.6 | 10.8 | |
| Total Sewer Storage | | | | 37.9 ci | u.m |

5.2 Undergrround Storage Volume

Undergrround Storage is proposed with a series of stormtech chambers, as follows:

| Stormtech Chamber Model - MC 3500 | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------|----|--|--|--|
| Maximum length = | 14.5 | m | | | |
| Maximum width = | 4.7 | m | | | |
| Number of chambers = | | 11 | | | |
| Total volume in chamber = | | | | | |

5.3 Maximum Surface Ponding Storage

| | GRATE | PONDING | PONDING | PONDING AREA | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------------|-----------------------|---|
| LOCATION | ELEVATION | ELEVATION | DEPTH | m ² | VOLUME m ³ | _ |
| | | | | | | _ |
| CB1 | 118.51 | 118.75 | 0.24 | 160 | 12.8 | |
| CBMH2 | 118.50 | 118.75 | 0.25 | 322 | 26.8 | |
| CBMH4 | 118.50 | 118.75 | 0.25 | 243 | 20.3 | |
| CBMH5 | 118.58 | 118.75 | 0.17 | 166 | 9.4 | |
| Total 100 Year Surface I | 69.3 | cu.m | | | | |
| Total 2, 5 & 10 year site | 97.9 | cu.m | | | | |
| Total 100 year site stora | 167.2 | cu.m | | | | |

cu.m

9.0 Water Balance Calculation

| The required water balance volume over sit The water balance provided is as follows: | te area = | | | 21.6 | cu.m |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------|
| · | | Area (m ²) | Initial Abstraction (mm) | Volume (m ³) | |
| Water balance provided within asphalt and | roof | 3880 | 1 | 3.88 | m³ |
| Water balance provided within soft landsca | ping | 440 | 5 | 2.2 | m³ |
| Water balance provided under Inflitration T | rench | | | | |
| Infiltration Pit Dimension = 11.0x | 4.0x1.0 | m | | | |
| | | Length | Width | Storage | |
| | | (m) | (m) | (cu.m.) | |
| 1.0 m depth clear stone | | 11 | 4 | 17.6 | |
| 150mm Pipe Storage | | 10.4 | | 0.18 | |
| Provided Storage in infiltration pit= | | | | 17.8 | m³ |
| Total Storage | | | | 23.9 | m³ |
| Equivalent depth over the site area = | | | | 5.5 | mm. |

MGM CONSULTING Inc. STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET

MOTOR SERVICING REZONING 473 HENSALL CIRCLE, MISSISSAUGA

By: Chenchen Shi Date: June 13, 2018

| | Locatio | on | Are | as | | A * C | | Raiı | nfall | Flow | | | Sewer | Design | | |
|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-----------|-------|------|-------|-----------|--------------|--------|---------|
| Manhole | | Manhole | Area | Cumulative | Coefficient | Incremental | Cumulative | Time | Intensity | | Pipe | Slope | Max. Flow | Max Velocity | Length | Time in |
| from | | to | | Area | С | A * C | A * C | | I 10 | Q | Size | | Q max | V max | | Section |
| | | | ha | ha | | | | min | mm/hr. | cms | mm. | % | cms | m./sec. | m. | min. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CB1 | | CBMH2 | 0.164 | 0.164 | 0.78 | 0.128 | 0.128 | 10.0 | 124.8 | 0.044 | 450 | 0.5 | 0.202 | 1.27 | 21.6 | 0.28 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CBMH2 | | MH3 | 0.129 | 0.293 | 0.82 | 0.105 | 0.234 | 10.3 | 122.9 | 0.080 | 450 | 0.5 | 0.202 | 1.27 | 13.5 | 0.18 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MH3 | | CBMH4 | | 0.293 | | | 0.234 | 10.5 | 121.8 | 0.079 | 600 | 0.5 | 0.435 | 1.54 | 30.9 | 0.33 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CBMH4 | | CBMH5 | 0.180 | 0.473 | 0.93 | 0.168 | 0.401 | 10.8 | 119.7 | 0.134 | 600 | 0.5 | 0.435 | 1.54 | 40.9 | 0.44 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CBMH5 | | OGS | 0.149 | 0.622 | 0.91 | 0.135 | 0.536 | 11.2 | 117.1 | 0.175 | 300 | 0.5 | 0.068 | 0.97 | 4.1 | 0.07 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| OGS | | MH6 | | Control dov | vn to 0.045 | 5 cms by 10 | 5mm orifice | plate | | | 300 | 0.5 | 0.068 | 0.97 | 3.2 | 0.06 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MH6 | | EX. MH | | | | | | | | | 300 | 0.5 | 0.068 | 0.97 | 11.6 | 0.20 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

n= 0.013

APPENDIX B

FIRE FLOW & WATER DEMAND CALCULATION

473 HENSALL CIR, Mississauga- Fire Flow Calculation

The FUS requires that a minimum water supply source 'F' be provided at 140 kPa The min flow 'F' can be calculated as such:

F=220C \sqrt{A} where: *F*- *Required fire flow in L/min C*- *Coefficient related to construction A*- *Total area in sq.m*

C = 1 (Ordinary Construction)

For non-combustible construction, the area shall be a total of all floors (excluding basements at least 50 percent below grade) in the building being considered.

```
A = 1030 sq.m
```

Therefore,

F= 7060.59 L/min = 7000.00 L/min (rounded to nearest 1000)

Modified Flow

 $F'=F^*(1-f1)^*(1-f2+f3)$

where: f1- Occupancy factor reduction High hazard occupancy, f1 = 25%

*f*2- *Sprinkler protection factor reduction* Based on fully automated sprinkler system, maximum reduction =

0%

where:

f3- Exposure factor addition

Exposure factor is not to exceed 75%

Separation between subject building and other structures, and associated charges are as follows:

| | Distance (m) | Charge |
|------------|--------------|--------|
| | | |
| North Side | Parking Lot | 0% |
| South Side | Road | 0% |
| East Side | Railway | 0% |

| West Side | Building 13m | 15% | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----|--|
| Total | | 15% | |
| The total increase for exposures is | | 15% | |

The resulting required minimum modified flow, F' = 10062.5 l/min

Therefore a minimum flow of approximately10000L/min must be availableat the nearest hydrant with a minimum pressure of 140 kPa.

Note: This fireflow calculation has been prepared as a guide only. Confirmation should be obtained from a Fire Protection professional for confirmation

473 Hensall Circle- Mississauga

WATER CONNECTION

| Connection Point – Hydrant at Southwest sid | e of the building | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|-------|---------|--------------|-------|
| | | | | | | |
| Pressure Zone of Connection Point- Pressure | Zone | | | | | |
| | | Office | ē | | | |
| | | (SP) | | | | |
| Total equivalent population to be serviced | | | 48 | persons | 1.1 persons/ | 100m2 |
| Total Lands to be Serviced (ha) | | | 0.432 | | | |
| Hydrant Flow Test Location | | | | | | |
| | Hydrant Flow Test Location | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Pressure | Time |
| | | | | | (kPa) | |
| Minimum water pressure | | | | | N/A | |
| Maximum water pressure | | | | | N/A | |

| | | Water Demands | | | | | |
|----------|---|----------------------------|----------------|-------|--------|--|--|
| | | Demand type | Demand (units) | | | | |
| No. | | | Use 1 | Use 2 | Total | | |
| | 1 | Average day flow (I/s) | 0.17 | 0 | 0.17 | | |
| | 2 | Maximum day flow (I/s) | 0.23 | 0 | 0.23 | | |
| | 3 | Peak hour flow (l/s) | 0.50 | 0 | 0.50 | | |
| | 4 | Fire Flow (l/s) | 166.67 | 0 | 166.67 | | |
| Analysis | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | Maximum day plus fire flow | | | 166.90 | | |
| | 6 | Peak hour flow | | | 0.50 | | |
| | 7 | Maximum demand flow | | | 166.90 | | |

Note: Fire flow calculated based on current

proposed building on the site.

| WASTEWATER CONNECTION | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---|------------|
| Connection point - | | Hensall Circle | | |
| Total equivalent population to be serviced | | 48 persons | 1 | 48 persons |
| Total Lands to be serviced | | 0.432ha | | 0.432ha |
| New development under 1000 population | | 13.0L/s | | |
| Infiltration | 0.2 L/sec/ha | 0.086 L/s | | |
| 8 | Wastewater sewer effluent | 13.1 L/s | | 13.1 L/s |

APPENDIX C

TREATMENT UNIT SIZING REPORT





Detailed Stormceptor Sizing Report – 473 Hensall Cir

| Project Information & Location | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------|--|--|
| Project Name | 473 Hensall Cir | Project Number | 2018-008 | | |
| City | Mississauga | State/ Province Ontario | | | |
| Country | Canada | Date 5/24/2018 | | | |
| Designer Information | | EOR Information (optional) | | | |
| Name | CHENCHEN SHI | Name | | | |
| Company | MGM CONSULTING INC. | Company | | | |
| Phone # | 905-567-8678 | Phone # | | | |
| Email | cshi@mgm.on.ca | Email | | | |

Stormwater Treatment Recommendation

The recommended Stormceptor Model(s) which achieve or exceed the user defined water quality objective for each site within the project are listed in the below Sizing Summary table.

| Site Name | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Recommended Stormceptor Model | OSR 300 |
| Target TSS Removal (%) | 80.0 |
| TSS Removal (%) Provided | 80 |
| PSD | OK-110 |
| Rainfall Station | TORONTO CENTRAL |

The recommended Stormceptor model achieves the water quality objectives based on the selected inputs, historical rainfall records and selected particle size distribution.

| Stormceptor Sizing Summary | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| OSR Model | % TSS Removal Provided | | | |
| OSR 300 | 80 | | | |
| OSR 750 | 88 | | | |
| OSR 2000 | 92 | | | |
| OSR 4000 | 95 | | | |
| OSR 6000 | 96 | | | |
| OSR 9000 | 97 | | | |
| OSR 14000 | 98 | | | |
| StormceptorMAX | Custom | | | |





Stormceptor

The Stormceptor oil and sediment separator is sized to treat stormwater runoff by removing pollutants through gravity separation and flotation. Stormceptor's patented design generates positive TSS removal for each rainfall event, including large storms. Significant levels of pollutants such as heavy metals, free oils and nutrients are prevented from entering natural water resources and the re-suspension of previously captured sediment (scour) does not occur. Stormceptor provides a high level of TSS removal for small frequent storm events that represent the majority of annual rainfall volume and pollutant load. Positive treatment continues for large infrequent events, however, such events have little impact on the average annual TSS removal as they represent a small percentage of the total runoff volume and pollutant load.

Design Methodology

Stormceptor is sized using PCSWMM for Stormceptor, a continuous simulation model based on US EPA SWMM. The program calculates hydrology using local historical rainfall data and specified site parameters. With US EPA SWMM's precision, every Stormceptor unit is designed to achieve a defined water quality objective. The TSS removal data presented follows US EPA guidelines to reduce the average annual TSS load. The Stormceptor's unit process for TSS removal is settling. The settling model calculates TSS removal by analyzing:

- Site parameters
- Continuous historical rainfall data, including duration, distribution, peaks & inter-event dry periods
- · Particle size distribution, and associated settling velocities (Stokes Law, corrected for drag)
- TSS load
- Detention time of the system

Hydrology Analysis

PCSWMM for Stormceptor calculates annual hydrology with the US EPA SWMM and local continuous historical rainfall data. Performance calculations of Stormceptor are based on the average annual removal of TSS for the selected site parameters. The Stormceptor is engineered to capture sediment particles by treating the required average annual runoff volume, ensuring positive removal efficiency is maintained during each rainfall event, and preventing negative removal efficiency (scour). Smaller recurring storms account for the majority of rainfall events and average annual runoff volume, as observed in the historical rainfall data analyses presented in this section.

| Rainfall Station | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| State/Province | Ontario | Total Number of Rainfall Events | 3329 | | |
| Rainfall Station Name | TORONTO CENTRAL | Total Rainfall (mm) | 13189.2 | | |
| Station ID # | 0100 | Average Annual Rainfall (mm) | 732.7 | | |
| Coordinates | 43°40'N, 79°20'W | Total Evaporation (mm) | 1096.5 | | |
| Elevation (ft) | 328 | Total Infiltration (mm) | 2099.4 | | |
| Years of Rainfall Data | 18 | Total Rainfall that is Runoff (mm) | 9993.3 | | |

Notes

• Stormceptor performance estimates are based on simulations using PCSWMM for Stormceptor, which uses the EPA Rainfall and Runoff modules.

• Design estimates listed are only representative of specific project requirements based on total suspended solids (TSS) removal defined by the selected PSD, and based on stable site conditions only, after construction is completed.

• For submerged applications or sites specific to spill control, please contact your local Stormceptor representative for further design assistance.

FORTERRA"

| Drainage Area | | Up Stream Storage | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total Area (ha) | 0.432 | Storage (ha-m) Discharge (cms) | | rge (cms) |
| Imperviousness % | 84.0 | 0.000 | 0. | .000 |
| Water Quality Objective |) | Up Stream Flow Diversion | | on |
| TSS Removal (%) | 80.0 | Max. Flow to Stormce | ptor (cms) | |
| Runoff Volume Capture (%) | | Design Details | | |
| Oil Spill Capture Volume (L) | | Stormceptor Inlet Invert Elev (m) | | |
| Peak Conveyed Flow Rate (L/s) | | Stormceptor Outlet Invert Elev (m) | | |
| Water Quality Flow Rate (L/s) | | Stormceptor Rim Elev (m) | | |
| | | Normal Water Level Ele | evation (m) | |
| | | Pipe Diameter (r | nm) | |
| | | Pipe Material | | |
| | | Multiple Inlets (Y/N) | | No |
| | | Grate Inlet (Y/ | N) | No |

Particle Size Distribution (PSD)

Removing the smallest fraction of particulates from runoff ensures the majority of pollutants, such as metals, hydrocarbons and nutrients are captured. The table below identifies the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) that was selected to define TSS removal for the Stormceptor design.

| OK-110 | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Particle Diameter (microns) | Distribution % | Specific Gravity | | | |
| 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.65 | | | |
| 53.0 | 3.0 | 2.65 | | | |
| 75.0 | 15.0 | 2.65 | | | |
| 88.0 | 25.0 | 2.65 | | | |
| 106.0 | 41.0 | 2.65 | | | |
| 125.0 | 15.0 | 2.65 | | | |
| 150.0 | 1.0 | 2.65 | | | |
| 212.0 | 0.0 | 2.65 | | | |

| Site Name | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Site Details | | | | | |
| Drainage Area | | | Infiltration Parameters | | |
| Total Area (ha) | 0.432 | | Horton's equation is used to estimate | infiltration | |
| Imperviousness % | 84.0 | | Max. Infiltration Rate (mm/hr) | 61.98 | |
| Surface Characteristics | \$ | | Min. Infiltration Rate (mm/hr) | 10.16 | |
| Width (m) | 131.00 | | Decay Rate (1/sec) | 0.00055 | |
| Slope % | 2 | | Regeneration Rate (1/sec) | 0.01 | |
| Impervious Depression Storage (mm) | 0.508 | Evaporation | | | |
| Pervious Depression Storage (mm) | 5.08 | Daily Evaporation Rate (mm/day) 2.54 | | 2.54 | |
| Impervious Manning's n | 0.015 | | Dry Weather Flow | | |
| Pervious Manning's n | 0.25 | Dry Weather Flow (lps) 0 | | 0 | |
| Maintenance Frequency | y | | Winter Months | | |
| Maintenance Frequency (months) > | 12 | | Winter Infiltration | 0 | |
| | TSS Loadin | g Pa | rameters | | |
| TSS Loading Function | | | | | |
| Buildup/Wash-off Parame | eters | | TSS Availability Paramete | ers | |
| Target Event Mean Conc. (EMC) mg/L | | | Availability Constant A | | |
| Exponential Buildup Power | | | Availability Factor B | | |
| Exponential Washoff Exponent | | | Availability Exponent C | | |
| | | М | Iin. Particle Size Affected by Availability (micron) | | |

FORTERRA[®]

FORTERRA"

| Cumulative Runoff Volume by Runoff Rate | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Runoff Rate (L/s) | Runoff Volume (m ³) | Volume Over (m ³) | Cumulative Runoff Volume (%) | | | | |
| 1 | 17093 | 26425 | 39.3 | | | | |
| 4 | 32997 | 10520 | 75.8 | | | | |
| 9 | 38658 | 4859 | 88.8 | | | | |
| 16 | 40978 | 2538 | 94.2 | | | | |
| 25 | 42159 | 1357 | 96.9 | | | | |
| 36 | 42787 | 729 | 98.3 | | | | |
| 49 | 43123 | 393 | 99.1 | | | | |
| 64 | 43290 | 226 | 99.5 | | | | |
| 81 | 43343 | 173 | 99.6 | | | | |
| 100 | 43377 | 139 | 99.7 | | | | |
| 121 | 43407 | 109 | 99.8 | | | | |
| 144 | 43428 | 88 | 99.8 | | | | |
| 169 | 43450 | 66 | 99.8 | | | | |
| 196 | 43475 | 41 | 99.9 | | | | |
| 225 | 43501 | 15 | 100.0 | | | | |
| 256 | 43516 | 0 | 100.0 | | | | |

Cumulative Runoff Volume by Runoff Rate



FORTERRA"

| Rainfall Event Analysis | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Rainfall Depth (mm) | No. of Events | Percentage of Total Events (%) | Total Volume (mm) | Percentage of Annual Volume (%) | | | |
| 6.35 | 2711 | 81.4 | 3900 | 29.6 | | | |
| 12.70 | 356 | 10.7 | 3266 | 24.8 | | | |
| 19.05 | 127 | 3.8 | 1991 | 15.1 | | | |
| 25.40 | 62 | 1.9 | 1346 | 10.2 | | | |
| 31.75 | 32 | 1.0 | 905 | 6.9 | | | |
| 38.10 | 16 | 0.5 | 541 | 4.1 | | | |
| 44.45 | 8 | 0.2 | 334 | 2.5 | | | |
| 50.80 | 11 | 0.3 | 519 | 3.9 | | | |
| 57.15 | 2 | 0.1 | 106 | 0.8 | | | |
| 63.50 | 2 | 0.1 | 120 | 0.9 | | | |
| 69.85 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | | | |
| 76.20 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | | | |
| 82.55 | 1 | 0.0 | 77 | 0.6 | | | |
| 88.90 | 1 | 0.0 | 85 | 0.6 | | | |
| 95.25 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | | | |
| 101.60 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | | | |



For Stormceptor Specifications and Drawings Please Visit: http://www.imbriumsystems.com/technical-specifications

APPENDIX D

SANITARY FLOW CALCULATIONS

| PROJECT: CONSULTANT | 473 Hensall Circle MGM Consulting Inc. | e REGIONAL MUNICIPA Ind. SANITARY SEWER DES | | | | | | | | .ITY GN CH | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--|
| LOCATION | | FROM MH | TO MH | AREA (ha) | DENSITY (ppha) | POPULATION | CUM. AREA (ha) | CUM. POP. | SEWAGE FLOW (m3/s) | | |
| | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | (/ | | |
| 473 Hensall Circle | | | | 0.43 | 50 | 22 | 0.43 | 22 | 0.013 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | ļ | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | ┢ | |
| | | | | | | | | | | ╞ | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: SEWAGE FL | OWS FOR LIGHT INDUST | RIAL AND LIC | | ERCIAL SHA | LL BE BASE | O ON 75 ppha ar | nd 50 pphs R | ESPECTIVE | ELY | | |

| | חררי | | | | SHEET No. | 1 | OF | 1 |
|-----------------|--------|---------------|--------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|------------------|
| UF | PEEL | | | | PROJECT NO. | 2018-008 | n = | 0.013 |
| HART | | | | | DESIGNED | CS | DATE | 10-Jun-18 |
| INFILT. FLOW | | TOTAL FLOW | LENGTH | PIPE DIA. | GRADIENT | CAPACITY | VELOCITY | DROP IN LOWER |
| (m3/s) | (m3/s) | (m3/s) | (m) | (m) | (%) | (m3/s) | (m/s) | IVIH |
| 0.0001 | 0.000 | 0.0131 | | 0.150 | 1.00 | 0.015 | 0.862 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |