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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

BA Group has been retained by National Homes to provide transportation consultation services in relation to a proposed residential townhouse development located at 1240 Britannia Road West (referred to herein as "the site") in the City of Mississauga.

The site is located on the south side of Britannia Road West, between Whitehorn Avenue and Brookhaven Way. The site is approximately $21,474 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in size and is bounded by Britannia Road West to the north, Galesway Boulevard to the south, residential properties on Cabrera Crescent to the west and residential properties on Candlebrook Court to the east.

The site location and context are illustrated in Figure 1 and Figure 2.
The development program contemplates a total of 108 new residential townhouse units and 1 new detached dwelling unit, serviced by internal private condominium roads and the existing Cabrera Crescent, with access provided directly from Galesway Boulevard. This report documents BA Group's review of the transportationrelated aspects of the project including parking, refuse collection and fire vehicle access, and future traffic operations as part of a City of Mississauga Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBA) and Site Plan Approval (SPA) process.

### 1.1 STUDY SCOPE

Based on the nature and scale of the proposed development, as well as consultation with City of Mississauga and Region of Peel Transportation Planning staff, the following study scope has been identified and reviewed as part of this report.

- Weekday morning and afternoon peak hour traffic capacity analyses at the following intersections:
- Britannia Road West / Bidwell Trail / Whitehorn Avenue;
- Britannia Road West / Brookhaven Way / Douguy Boulevard;
- Whitehorn Avenue / Galesway Boulevard;
- Galesway Boulevard / Brookhaven Way / Prestonwood Crescent;
- Galesway Boulevard / Cabrera Crescent;
- Galesway Boulevard / Candlebrook Court; and
- Galesway Boulevard / Proposed Site Driveway.
- Consideration of traffic allowances for any relevant area background development(s) identified using the City of Mississauga's Planning Information Hub tool.
- Consideration of general background corridor traffic growth along Britannia Road West based on historical traffic count information.
- Consideration of new site-generated vehicle trips forecast based on relevant proxy site survey data and the ITE Trip Generation Manual ( $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition).
- A review of the vehicle and bicycle parking requirements for the development as proposed compared to the prevailing Zoning By-law requirement.
- A functional review of the proposed new internal roads with particular respect to fire route requirements and waste collection vehicle routing and manoeuvres.
- A sightline analysis of the proposed site driveway.


FIGURE 1 - SITE LOCATION


### 2.0 THE SITE TODAY

The site is currently occupied by two detached dwellings, with two vehicle access points located on Britannia Road West. Pedestrian sidewalks are currently provided along all boundary roads.

It is noted that there is an existing closed driveway connection to the property on Galesway Boulevard at the southwest corner of the site. Presumably, this driveway connection was constructed in anticipation of the existing Cabrera Crescent being extended from its cul-de-sac terminus to reconnect with Galesway Boulevard. The current development plan for the site does not propose extension of Cabrera Crescent, as discussed in Section 3.0.

The City of Mississauga Zoning By-law 0225-2007 designates the site as a Residential Zone (R1), bordered by medium-density residential (various RM code) zones on all sides. Commercial lots exist both northeast and northwest of the site, located along Britannia Road at Creditview Road and at Douguy Boulevard.

There are a number of public parks situated within walking distance of the site, in addition to Whitehorn Public School and BraeBen Golf Course.

## $3.0 \quad$ PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development comprises 109 residential units, including 1 freehold detached house dwelling, 48 dual-frontage townhouse dwellings and 60 standard townhomes. Additionally, 6 secondary suites are proposed within 6 of the dual-front townhouses fronting onto Britannia Road West. The existing residential uses on the site will be demolished as part of the redevelopment.

A private driveway on Galesway Boulevard, between Cabrera Crescent and Candlebrook Court, is proposed to accommodate fire access and waste collection vehicle access, as well as vehicular access to the visitor parking spaces and resident parking spaces.

Two (2) parking spaces will be provided per unit for the townhouses and 1 additional parking space will be provided for those townhouses with a secondary suite. A total of 27 visitor parking spaces to serve the townhouses, located along the private road, are also proposed. The detached house dwelling on Cabrera Crescent will include 4 parking spaces.

The proposed site plan is shown in Figure 3. An architectural site plan is included in Appendix A.

### 3.1 PROPOSED PRIVATE DRIVEWAY

A private driveway on Galesway Boulevard, between Cabrera Crescent and Candlebrook Court, is proposed to provide vehicular access to the development (excluding the one proposed detached house located on Cabrera Crescent). The driveway is located approximately 90 meters east of Cabrera Crescent.

The proposed private driveway will intersect with Galesway Boulevard at a one-way, STOP-controlled intersection, with Galesway Boulevard continuing to operate under free-flowing conditions.


The proposed private driveway (internal private road) has been designed to accommodate a 7.0 -meter wide pavement width and a 1.5 -metre wide pedestrian sidewalk on one side. At-grade visitor parking for the development will be located close to the site's entrance, with a few additional spaces located towards the eastern corners of the site.

All private road intersections and corners have also been designed to accommodate a 9.0-metre inside radius and 15.0-metre outside radius, as per the requirements of an appropriate fire route as specified within the Ontario Building Code.

Sight distance diagrams illustrating appropriate driver sight lines are provided at the Galesway Boulevard driveway are included in Appendix B.

### 3.2 WASTE COLLECTION VEHICLE CIRCULATION PLAN

Vehicle Manoeuvring Diagrams (VMDs) illustrating waste collection vehicle manoeuvres are included in Appendix C. Note that the model for a Niagara Region waste collection vehicle was conservatively utilized in this analysis, as its dimensions are larger than both the Rear Loading Waste Collection Vehicle and FullyAutomated Side-Loading Cart Waste Collection Vehicle specified in the Region of Peel Waste Collection Design Standards Manual. Waste collection points for each unit, $3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in size, are highlighted on this plan as well.

### 3.3 CABRERA CRESCENT CUL-DE-SAC

An existing and closed driveway connection to the property on Galesway Boulevard, at the southwest corner of the site, is located approximately 180 metres east of Whitehorn Avenue. Presumably, this driveway connection was constructed in anticipation of the existing Cabrera Crescent being extended from its cul-desac terminus to reconnect with Galesway Boulevard.

The subject development lands include the properties that would have been utilized to complete the Cabrera Crescent connection to Galesway Boulevard. However, this public road connection is not proposed as part of the development plan. Instead, it is proposed that the existing driveway 'stub' on Galesway Boulevard be removed and replaced with the private road driveway discussed in Section 3.1.

Currently, Cabrera Crescent extends from Galesway Boulevard and terminates in a sub-standard cul-de-sac. Given that the development plan does not include the extension of Cabrera Crescent from this cul-de-sac to reconnect with Galesway Boulevard, it is proposed that the cul-de-sac be reconstructed to the appropriate permanent standard.

As such, the development plan includes the reconstruction of the Cabrera Crescent cul-de-sac to be compliant with City of Mississauga Transportation and Works Standard 2211.240 (Residential Road Cul-deSac ). This reconstruction notably includes a 13.0-metre pavement radius and inclusion of a 3.5 to 5.0 -metre wide boulevard around the perimeter of the cul-de-sac, as per the above noted standard. The development proposal includes a new single detached house that will be located on the south side of the cul-de-sac.

Cabrera Crescent currently provides access to 25 existing detached dwelling homes and the development proposal will add another detached dwelling on the cul-de-sac terminus of the road (for a total of 26 detached dwelling homes). From a traffic capacity perspective, there is no necessity to extend Cabrera Crescent to provide a second access point to Galesway Boulevard - the single access point is sufficient for the level of residential density the road wills serve.

From a vehicular access perspective, the provision of a cul-de-sac at the terminus of Cabrera Crescent as per the City's standard is sufficient to accommodate the turnaround requirements of municipal service vehicles (i.e., street cleaning, snow removal and waste/recycling vehicles). The existing residential houses on Cabrera Crescent have been built and occupied since at least 2009 (according to Google Street View photography) and the current configuration of the road - even with the sub-standard cul-de-sac terminus that exists today appears to allow for the appropriate servicing of these houses.

The City of Mississauga does not specify the maximum number of residential units that may be located on a cul-de-sac road. However, the City of Vaughan specifies that a maximum of 40 units may be located on a cul-de-sac in its Standard Design Drawings (Standard Drawing C-2: Residential Cul-de-Sac). Furthermore, there are several existing cul-de-sacs within the local neighbourhood that contain greater than 26 residential units. These include Candlebrook Court, Prosper Court, Remington Court and Barnswallow Court. As such, the provision of 26 residential units on Cabrera Crescent is not unprecedented with respect to municipal engineering standards or existing conditions.

An emergency vehicle access connection between the Cabrera Crescent cul-de-sac and the private road serving the development is also proposed. This access will serve as a secondary access point for emergency vehicles to the development, in addition to the site driveway on Galesway Boulevard. This access point will gated and not available for use by non-emergency vehicles. It is noted that this access point has been designed to accommodate the turning requirements of a fire route ( 9.0 metres inside radius, 15.0 outside radius). This emergency vehicle access point would also, logically, serve as a secondary access point for emergency vehicles to the existing Cabrera Crescent as well.

### 4.0 TRANSPORTATION CONTEXT

### 4.1 AREA ROAD NETWORK

The existing road network within the study is shown in Figure 4. A brief description of the area road network is provided as follows.

Britannia Road West is classified as a Regional Arterial road, oriented east-west and extending between Highway 407 in the west and Hurontario Street in the east. The road extends further than these boundaries in both directions, under the names Britannia Road and Britannia Road East. This road is under the jurisdiction of the Region of Peel and consists of a three lanes of travel in either direction nearby the site. The posted speed limit is $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in vicinity of the site.


Whitehorn Avenue is classified as a Minor Collector road, oriented north-south and extending between Britannia Road West in the north and Bristol Road West in the south. This road is under the jurisdiction of the City of Mississauga and consists of a single lane of travel in either direction. The assumed speed limit is 50 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ in vicinity of the site.

Galesway Boulevard is classified as a local street, oriented east-west and extending between Whitehorn Avenue in the west and Terry Fox Way in the east. This road is under the jurisdiction of the City of Mississauga and consists of a single lane of travel in either direction. The assumed speed limit is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in vicinity of the site.

Brookhaven Way is classified as a local street, oriented north-south and extending between Whitehorn Avenue in the west and Terry Fox Way in the east. This road is under the jurisdiction of the City of Mississauga and consists of a single lane of travel in either direction. The assumed speed limit is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in vicinity of the site.

Cabrera Crescent is classified as a local street, oriented north-south and extending from Galesway Boulevard in the south and terminating in a cul-de-sac to the north. This road is under the jurisdiction of the City of Mississauga and consists of a single lane of travel in either direction.

Candlebrook Court is classified as a local street, oriented north-south and extending from Galesway Boulevard in the south and terminating in a cul-de-sac to the north. This road is under the jurisdiction of the City of Mississauga and consists of a single lane of travel in either direction.

Existing area intersection lane configurations and traffic control is illustrated in Figure 5.


FIGURE 05 LOCAL AREA INTERSECTIONS LANE CONFIGURATION AND TRAFFIC CONTROL

### 4.2 AREA TRANSIT SERVICES

The site is served by Mississauga MiWay transit services running along Britannia Road West. In particular, it is serviced by the bus routes $37,39,43,68$ and 314 . A brief description of these routes is provided below.

37 Creditview-Erindale GO is a regular service bus route. Its predominant travel path is in a north-south direction along Creditview Road, looping from the Erindale GO Station to Britannia Road West and back. This service has 20-30 minute headways between consecutive vehicles and services the proposed development site in both directions.

39 Britannia is a regular service bus route. Its predominant travel path is in an east-west direction along Britannia Road West, extending from the Renforth Transitway Station in the east, and Meadowvale Town Centre Transit Terminal in the west. This service has 20-30 minute headways between consecutive vehicles and services the proposed development site in both directions.

43 Matheson-Argentia is a regular service bus route. Its predominant travel path is in an east-west direction along Britannia Road West, extending from the Renforth Transitway Station in the east, and Meadowvale Town Centre Transit Terminal in the west, via an alternative route to the 39 Britannia service. This service has 20-30 minute headways between consecutive vehicles and services the proposed development site in both directions.

314 Rick Hansen-Creditview is a school bus route, operating before and after school times from Rick Hansen Secondary School, north to Britannia Road West and then south down Creditview Road.

Bus stops for the abovementioned routes are located east and west of the site at the intersections of Britannia Road West / Whitehorn Avenue and Britannia Road West / Brookhaven Way, approximately 200 metres away. The existing area transit context is illustrated in Figure 6.

### 4.3 AREA CYCLING FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The site is located in an area with excellent access to a variety of cycling facilities. The site is located directly adjacent to a multi-use trail along Britannia Road West, in addition to a signed bike route along Galesway Boulevard. Whitehorn Avenue is also a signed bike route.

Further to the east, another multi-use trail exists on Terry Fox Way, and further west on Creditview Road is another multi-use trail. In conjunction with one another, these bicycle routes and facilities enable cyclists to easily travel between the site and popular destinations such as Downtown Mississauga and a variety of other popular trip destinations around Mississauga and Peel Region.

The local area cycling context is shown in Figure 7.



FIGURE 7 - AREA CYCLING CONTEXT

### 5.0 VEHICLE PARKING CONSIDERATIONS

### 5.1 ZONING BY-LAW REQUIREMENTS

BA Group has undertaken a review of the prevailing Zoning By-law vehicle parking requirements as applicable to the site's location and proposed development programme. The site is subject to City of Mississauga By-Law 0225-2007.

Table 1 lists the minimum off-street vehicle parking requirements applicable to the site as set out in By-law 0225-2007.

Table 1 Zoning By-Law 0225-2007 Minimum Vehicle Parking Requirements

| Land Use | No. of Units ${ }^{1}$ | Type of Space | Parking Requirement | No. of Spaces Required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential (Semi-detached Dwelling off of CEC - Road ${ }^{2}$ ) | 108 | Resident | 2.0 spaces per unit | 216 spaces |
|  |  | Visitor | 0.25 spaces per unit | 27 spaces |
| Residential (Second Unit) | 6 | Resident/Visitor | 1.0 space per unit | 6 spaces |
| Residential (Detached Dwelling) | 1 | Resident/Visitor | 2.0 spaces per unit | 2 spaces |
| Total parking spaces required |  |  |  | 251 spaces |

Notes:

1. Site statistics are based on plans provided by National Homes dated March 20, 2020.
2. 'CEC - Road' $=$ Common Elements Condominium Road.

Based on the foregoing, the in-effect Mississauga By-law 0225-2007 requires the provision of 251 parking spaces in total for the proposed development.

### 5.2 PROPOSED PARKING SUPPLY

Parking for all townhouses units will be provided at a rate of 2 spaces per unit. For the 6 townhouse units with secondary suites, an additional parking space will be provided. The detached house dwelling on Cabrera Crescent will include 4 parking spaces.

Furthermore, 27 visitor parking spaces will be provided along the private road to accommodate additional parking for visitors to the townhouse units.

The proposed parking spaces serving the development are illustrated on the architectural site plans included in Appendix A.

Based on the foregoing, the proposed parking supply meets the requirements of the prevailing and applicable City of Mississauga Zoning By-law 0225-2007 and is therefore considered to be appropriate.

### 6.0 TRAFFIC VOLUME FORECASTS

### 6.1 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Existing public street intersection peak hour traffic volumes have been established, based upon a review of recent traffic counts undertaken by Spectrum Traffic Data Inc. in March 2020. Turning movement counts were undertaken at the following locations:

- Britannia Rd West / Bidwell Trail / Whitehorn Avenue;
- Britannia Rd West / Brookhaven Way / Douguy Boulevard;
- Whitehorn Avenue / Galesway Boulevard;
- Galesway Boulevard / Brookhaven Way / Prestonwood Crescent;
- Galesway Boulevard / Cabrera Crescent;
- Galesway Boulevard / Candlebrook Court; and
- Galesway Boulevard / Proposed Site Driveway.

The existing turning movement counts were reviewed in detail to ensure a general consistency in the traffic volumes on links between intersections. Where necessary, minor volume adjustments were made to balance traffic volumes between intersections to provide a balanced and representative traffic volume base for the purposes of the traffic operations analyses undertaken as part of this assessment.

Existing, baseline area traffic volumes for the morning and afternoon peak hours are summarized in Figure 8.

### 6.2 FUTURE BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES

An annual corridor traffic growth rate of $0.5 \%$ (cumulating) was adopted for morning and afternoon peak hours, for east and west volumes on Britannia Road West. Based on historical data acquired from Peel Region, a negative growth rate was observed since the year 2013, however the $0.5 \%$ cumulating growth has been added as a conservative approach to analysis. The growth rate were applied over a five year study horizon for the 2025 horizon year.

Traffic allowances were also made for other specific proposed development in the area, based on a review of the City of Mississauga's 'Planning Information Hub' tool in March 2020. The sole active development application at this time is a Rezoning application for a commercial site located at 5855 Terry Fox Boulevard, which plans to redevelop the block to include a total retail floor area of $26,819 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Associated site traffic has been added to the Britannia Road West and Galesway Boulevard, as reported in the site's Traffic Impact Study by Read, Voorhees \& Associates Limited (March 2018, updated August 2019).

Figure 9 summarizes the 2025 future background traffic volumes for the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, which were developed by adding the abovementioned allowances for corridor traffic growth and background development to base existing traffic volumes.


FIGURE 8 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES


FIGURE 9 FUTURE BACKGROUND 2025 TRAFFIC VOLUMES

### 6.3 SITE TRAFFIC VOLUMES

### 6.3.1 Site Trip Generation

Vehicular trip generation rates assumed for the proposed residential townhomes were based on a review of data contained within the ITE Trip Generation Manual (10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition) for Land Use Code 220 (Residential MultiFamily Housing, Low-Rise), in conjunction with observed trip generation at two proxy sites with similar transportation contexts to the subject site.

The highest rates from the three trip generation rate sources shown (from Queen Street West / Link Lane, Brampton) were adopted as trip generation rates for the proposed development at 1240 Britannia Road West. These rates represent what would likely be a conservative estimate, with actual rates more likely to fall more closely towards ITE or average surveyed rates.

Site trip generation forecasts are summarized in Table 2.

## Table 2 Site Trip Generation

| Trip Generation Rate Source | Units | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | 2-Way | In | Out | 2-Way |
| ITE Land Use Code 220 (Multi-Family Housing, Low-Rise) | - | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.21 | 0.56 |
| Wellington Green Townhouses (Proxy Site) ${ }^{3}$ | 72 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 0.29 |
| Queen Street West / Links Lane, Brampton (Proxy Site) ${ }^{4}$ | 87 | 0.13 | 0.49 | 0.62 | 0.54 | 0.31 | 0.85 |
| Average Trip Rate | - | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.57 |
| Adopted Trip Generation Rates for 1240 Britannia Road West | - | 0.13 | 0.49 | 0.62 | 0.54 | 0.31 | 0.85 |
| Number of Trips Generated for 1240 Britannia Road West ${ }^{2}$ | 115 | 15 | 55 | 70 | 60 | 35 | 95 |

Notes:

1. Trip generation rates are shown in italic text.
2. Trips generated for proposed development are rounded to the nearest five (5).
3. Wellington Green proxy site surveyed on Monday August 13, 2018.
4. Queen Street West proxy site surveyed on Tuesday May 16, 2017.

The site is anticipated to generate approximately 70 and 95 two-way vehicle trips during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

### 6.3.2 Site Traffic Distribution/Assignment

The trip distribution pattern for site traffic was established based upon a review of 2016 Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) data for home-based vehicle trips to and from the study area during the weekday peak hour periods. The distribution of inbound and outbound traffic adopted for the proposed development is outlined in Table 3. Site traffic volumes assigned onto the area road network are illustrated in Figure 10.

## Table 3 Site Traffic Distribution

| To/From | Inbound | Outbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| North to/from Bidwell Trail | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| South to/from Whitehorn Avenue | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| East to/from Britannia Road West | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| East to/from Galesway Boulevard | $25 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| West to/from Britannia Road West | $15 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $100 \%$ |

Notes:

1. Based on a review of 2016 TTS data for home-based trips to and from 2006 TTS Zones 3604, 3607, 3691 and 3694 during weekday morning and afternoon peak periods.

### 6.4 FUTURE TOTAL TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Future total 2025 traffic volumes were developed by adding site-generated traffic to future background 2025 traffic volumes. Figure 11 illustrates future total traffic volumes for the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours for horizon year of 2025.


FIGURE 10 SITE TRAFFIC VOLUMES


FIGURE 11 FUTURE TOTAL 2025 TRAFFIC VOLUMES

### 7.0 OPERATIONS ANALYSIS

### 7.1 ANALYSIS SCENARIOS

Traffic operations analyses were undertaken at the study area intersections for the weekday morning and afternoon peak travel hours under the following traffic conditions:

- Existing traffic conditions;
- Future background traffic conditions, which include allowances for general corridor traffic growth and active area background development applications; and
- Future total traffic conditions, which take into consideration future background traffic volumes plus site-generated traffic volumes.


### 7.2 ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

Traffic operations analyses have been completed using the Synchro (Version 9) capacity analysis software in accordance with the methodologies outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) and City of Mississauga's Transportation Impact Study Guidelines. Default Synchro parameters as outlined by the City of Peel were used for the analysis of signalized intersections.

The key performance indicator of the signalized intersection evaluation is an intersection performance index (volume to capacity ratio, or $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ), where a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ index of 1.00 indicates 'at or near capacity' conditions.

The key performance indicator of the unsignalized intersection / driveway analyses is an average delay per vehicle (in seconds) and a level of service (LOS) designation, where the LOS A (little delay) to LOS F (extended delay) range provides an understanding of the relative time a motorist may have to wait to complete a turn at an intersection or driveway.

## Signal Timings

Existing traffic signal timing plans for all signalized intersections within the study area were obtained Regional Municipality of Peel. Analyses were undertaken using this signal timing plan for existing, future background and future total traffic conditions.

## Road Network Assumptions

Existing lane configurations in the area road network have been assumed in the analysis for the existing and future background traffic scenarios.

Under future total conditions, it was assumed that the new private driveway will intersect Galesway Boulevard at a STOP-controlled intersection. The site driveway is assumed to be two-way with a single-lane outbound approach.

The existing road network intersection lane configurations are shown in Figure 4. Synchro analysis worksheets are included in Appendix D.

### 7.3 ANALYSIS SUMMARY

### 7.3.1 Signalized Intersection Analysis

## Britannia Road West / Whitehorn Avenue / Bidwell Trail

The Britannia Road West / Whitehorn Avenue / Bidwell Trail intersection operates under traffic signal control with cycle length of 160 seconds in the weekday morning and afternoon peak periods. The existing cycle length was maintained in all analysis scenarios. A summary of traffic analysis results for this intersection is shown in Table 4.

Table 4 Britannia Road W / Whitehorn Avenue / Bidwell Trail Analysis Summary

| Traffic Movement | Existing |  | Future Background |  | Future Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V/C | LOS | V/C | LOS | V/C | LOS |
| EBL | $0.06(0.42)$ | A (B) | $0.06(0.45)$ | A (B) | $0.06(0.45)$ | A (B) |
| EBTR | $0.79(0.42)$ | B (A) | $0.80(0.42)$ | B (A) | $0.81(0.42)$ | B (A) |
| WBL | $0.30(0.67)$ | B (B) | $0.31(0.69)$ | B (B) | $0.32(0.70)$ | B (C) |
| WBT | $0.23(0.56)$ | A (A) | $0.24(0.56)$ | A (A) | $0.24(0.56)$ | A (A) |
| WBR | $0.01(0.10)$ | A (A) | $0.01(0.11)$ | A (A) | $0.01(0.11)$ | A (A) |
| NBL | $0.56(0.43)$ | D (C) | $0.58(0.48)$ | D (D) | $0.61(0.52)$ | D (D) |
| NBTR | $0.49(0.31)$ | D (C) | $0.51(0.32)$ | D (D) | $0.50(0.34)$ | D (D) |
| SBL | $0.62(0.39)$ | E (C) | $0.66(0.40)$ | E (D) | $0.63(0.41)$ | E (D) |
| SBTR | $0.26(0.44)$ | D (C) | $0.26(0.46)$ | D (D) | $0.25(0.48)$ | D (D) |
| Overall | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5 ( 0 . 6 2 )}$ | B (B) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 7 ( 0 . 6 5 )}$ | B (B) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 7 ( 0 . 6 6 )}$ | B (B) |

Notes:

1. $\quad x x(x x)=$ weekday $A M$ peak hour (weekday PM peak hour)

Under existing, future background and future total conditions, the intersection operates at an acceptable level of service during the weekday and morning and afternoon peak traffic hours with overall $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios of 0.77 or less at all times.

Based on the foregoing, the traffic generated by the proposed development can be acceptably accommodated at the Britannia Road West / Whitehorn Avenue intersection. No mitigation measures or improvements are recommended at this intersection.

## Britannia Road West / Brookhaven Way / Douguy Boulevard

The Britannia Road West / Brookhaven Way / Douguy Boulevard intersection operates under traffic signal control with cycle length of 160 seconds in the weekday morning and afternoon peak periods. The existing cycle length was maintained in all analysis scenarios. A summary of traffic analysis results for this intersection is shown in Table 5.

Table 5 Britannia Road West / Brookhaven Way Analysis Summary

| Traffic Movement | Existing |  | Future Background |  | Future Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V/C | LOS | V/C | LOS | V/C | LOS |
| EBL | 0.19 (0.79) | A (D) | 0.19 (0.89) | A (E) | 0.19 (0.89) | A (E) |
| EBTR | 0.76 (0.32) | A (A) | 0.77 (0.34) | A (A) | 0.78 (0.34) | A (A) |
| WBL | 0.07 (0.26) | A (A) | 0.07 (0.28) | A (A) | 0.16 (0.38) | A (A) |
| WBT | 0.21 (0.49) | A (A) | 0.22 (0.51) | A (A) | 0.22 (0.51) | A (A) |
| WBR | 0.02 (0.05) | A (A) | 0.02 (0.05) | A (A) | 0.02 (0.05) | A (A) |
| NBL | 0.22 (0.17) | D (D) | 0.23 (0.17) | D (D) | 0.20 (0.17) | D (D) |
| NBTR | 0.43 (0.13) | D (D) | 0.45 (0.13) | D (D) | 0.51 (0.14) | D (D) |
| SBL | 0.23 (0.35) | D (E) | 0.24 (0.35) | D (E) | 0.22 (0.35) | D (E) |
| SBT | 0.17 (0.58) | D (E) | 0.18 (0.59) | D (E) | 0.16 (0.59) | D (E) |
| Overall | 0.71 (0.77) | A (A) | 0.73 (0.85) | A (A) | 0.74 (0.85) | B (A) |

Notes:

1. $\quad x x(x x)=$ weekday $A M$ peak hour (weekday PM peak hour)

Under existing, future background and future total conditions, the intersection operates at an acceptable level of service during the weekday and morning and afternoon peak traffic hours with overall v/c ratios of 0.85 or less.

Based on the foregoing, the traffic generated by the proposed development can be acceptably accommodated at the Britannia Road West / Brookhaven Way / Douguy Boulevard intersection. Similar to at Whitehorn Avenue, no mitigation measures or improvements are recommended at this intersection.

### 7.3.2 Unsignalized Intersection Analysis

Traffic operations at all unsignalized intersections within the study area are acceptable under all scenarios without any need for road improvements or mitigation measures. All movements will function at LOS C or better in the future total scenario.

The results of the capacity analysis undertaken at the unsignalized intersections within the study area are summarized in Table 6.

Vehicular access to the site will be provided via a single driveway located on Galesway Boulevard. The driveway is expected to operate at a good level of service (LOS B) under the future total scenario.

Table 6 Unsignalized Intersection Analysis Summary

| Traffic Movement | Existing |  | Future Background |  | Future Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS |
| Whitehorn Avenue \& Galesway Boulevard |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WBLR | 11.1 (15.3) | B (C) | 11.1 (15.6) | B (C) | 11.4 (16.7) | B (C) |
| NBTR | 13.5 (11.2) | B (B) | 13.5 (11.4) | B (B) | 13.9 (11.8) | B (B) |
| SBLT | 9.6 (13.8) | A (B) | 9.6 (14.0) | A (B) | 9.8 (14.7) | A (B) |
| Galesway Boulevard \& Cabrera Crescent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBLT | 0.2 (0.6) | A (A) | 0.2 (0.6) | A (A) | 0.2 (0.5) | A (A) |
| SBLR | 10.1 (11.6) | $B$ (B) | 10.1 (11.6) | $B$ (B) | 10.2 (11.9) | $B$ (B) |
| Galesway Boulevard \& Site Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBLT | -- (--) | -- (--) | -- (--) | -- (--) | 0.2 (1.0) | A (A) |
| SBLR | -- (--) | -- (--) | -- (--) | -- (--) | 11.3 (12.7) | $B$ (B) |
| Galesway Boulevard \& Candlebrook Court |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBLT | 0.2 (0.3) | A (A) | 0.2 (0.3) | A (A) | 0.2 (0.2) | A (A) |
| SBLR | 10.5 (12.8) | $B$ (B) | 10.5 (12.9) | $B$ (B) | 10.8 (13.6) | $B$ (B) |
| Prestonwood Crescent/Brookhaven Way \& Galesway Boulevard |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBLTR | 9.5 (9.4) | A (A) | 9.5 (9.5) | A (A) | 9.9 (10.0) | A (B) |
| WBLTR | 8.8 (11.7) | A (B) | 8.8 (11.8) | A (B) | 9.0 (12.7) | A (B) |
| NBLTR | 8.1 (8.2) | A (A) | 8.1 (8.2) | A (A) | 8.2 (8.4) | A (A) |
| SBLTR | 8.4 (9.3) | A (A) | 8.4 (9.3) | A (A) | 8.5 (9.8) | A (A) |

Notes:

1. $0.0(0.0)$ - Weekday Morning Peak Hour (Weekday Afternoon Peak Hour)

### 8.0 TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT PLAN

Consistent with the objectives of the Region of Peel Official Plan, a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) plan for the proposed residential development is provided herein. The following outlines the proposed physical and operational strategies that complement the site design with the goal of encouraging a shift in the travel pattern of future residents to sustainable modes of transportation.

### 8.1 TDM PLAN OBJECTIVES

The Plan strives to reduce automobile use as a part of the design and construction of the development, as well as after construction as an on-going strategy by supporting and promoting the use of non-auto travel modes.

The key objective of the TDM Plan is to reduce peak hour single occupant automobile traffic by focusing on four specific policy areas:

1. Encourage the use of sustainable travel modes (transit, cycling, walking);
2. Increase vehicle occupancy;
3. Shift travel to off-peak periods; and
4. Reduce vehicle kilometres travelled.

### 8.2 SITE TRANSPORTATION CHARACTERISTICS

### 8.2.1 Existing Travel Mode Characteristics

The existing travel mode split for home-based trips in the area based on 2016 Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) data is summarized in Table 7.

Table 7 Existing Area Travel Mode Split

| Travel Mode | \% of Trips |
| :--- | :---: |
| Transit | $21 \%$ |
| Auto Driver | $55 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | $18 \%$ |
| Cycle | $1 \%$ |
| Walk |  |
| Notes: |  |
| 1. | Based on 2016 TTS data for home-based trips originating in 2006 TTS Zones 3604, 3607, 3691 and 3694 between hours of <br> $6: 00$ and 9:00 a.m. on a weekday. |

Based on the most recent 2016 TTS data, in the order of $55 \%$ of residents living in the area regularly drive during the peak travel periods, which is typical for a suburban area of Peel Region.

### 8.3 TDM PLAN STRATEGIES

The future site context provides for good public transit service, cyclist facilities and pedestrian connectivity. Additional TDM strategies have been developed to further support the use of non-auto modes of travel. Based upon the site context and proposed land uses, the recommended TDM strategies have been selected.

### 8.3.1 Pedestrian Connections

The site design, including walkway connections through the site, provides for good pedestrian linkages to Britannia Road West and Galesway Boulevard, which is well-connected to the area pedestrian sidewalk network. Combined with the close proximity of neighbourhood amenities such as schools, parks and retail shops, this will encourage residents of the proposed development to rely less on automobiles. Neighbourhood amenities within walking distance, which include grocery stores, pharmacies, banks and restaurants, are illustrated in Figure 2.

These good pedestrian connections will also support usage of transit by residents of the proposed development by providing easy access on foot to local area transit stops.

### 8.3.2 Visitor Bicycle Parking

The in-effect Mississauga Zoning By-law 0225-2007 does not specify any bicycle parking requirements for new residential developments. Notwithstanding, the provision of garages for each of the units allows for bicycles to be stored when not in use for residents and visitors. Additionally, the provision of bicycle parking rings within the proposed central private park is being considered as part of the final design for that communal space.

The ability for future residents and visitors to the development to park and store bicycles, combined with the site's excellent location relative to existing cycling facilities/routes (see Section 4.3) and proximity to neighbourhood amenities within cycling distance, will encourage the use of cycling as a viable alternative to automobile use on a regular basis.

### 8.3.3 Travel Mode Information Packages

Marketing programs aimed at new residential unit purchasers should be implemented to ensure that new residents have comprehensive information on modal choices in the area now and in the future. This information should be made available at the sales centres for the new homes.

### 8.4 IMPLEMENTATION

The physical infrastructure components or 'hard' TDM measures outlined in this plan (i.e., pedestrian connections and visitor bicycle parking) will be incorporated into the development designs and are illustrated on the site plan included in Appendix A. The implementation of these elements and the costs associated with them will be the responsibility of the applicant/land developer.

The 'soft' measures of the TDM plan (i.e., travel mode information packages) will be implemented by the developer as part of the marketing process for the site.

### 9.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

BA Group has been retained by National Homes to provide transportation consultation services in relation to a proposed residential townhouse development located at 1240 Britannia Road West (referred to herein as "the site") in the City of Mississauga.

The site is located on the south side of Britannia Road West, between Whitehorn Avenue and Brookhaven Way. The site is approximately $21,474 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in size and is bounded by Britannia Road West to the north, Galesway Boulevard to the south, residential properties on Cabrera Crescent to the west and residential properties on Candlebrook Court to the east.

The site is currently occupied by two detached dwellings, with two vehicle access points located on Britannia Road West. Pedestrian sidewalks are currently provided along all boundary roads. It is noted that there is an existing closed driveway connection to the property on Galesway Boulevard at the southwest corner of the site. Presumably, this driveway connection was constructed in anticipation of the existing Cabrera Crescent being extended from its cul-de-sac terminus to reconnect with Galesway Boulevard.

The development program contemplates a total of 108 new residential townhouse units and 1 new detached dwelling unit, serviced by internal private condominium roads and the existing Cabrera Crescent, with access provided directly from Galesway Boulevard. The existing residential uses on the site will be removed as part of the redevelopment. The current development plan for the site does not propose extension of Cabrera Crescent. Instead, the existing driveway 'stub' on Galesway Boulevard will be removed and the cul-de-sac at the terminus of the existing Cabrera Crescent will be reconstructed to the appropriate City of Mississauga design standard. Architectural site plans are included in Appendix A.

This report documents BA Group's review of the transportation-related aspects of the project including parking, refuse collection and fire vehicle access, and future traffic operations as part of a City of Mississauga Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBA) and Site Plan Approval (SPA) process.

## Transportation Context

1. The site is served by Mississauga MiWay transit services running on Britannia Road West. In particular, it is serviced by MiWay bus routes 37, 39, 43,68 and 314 . These bus routes generally have service headways of 20-30 minutes. The bus stops closest to the site are located at Whitehorn Avenue and Brookhaven Way, approximately 250 metres away.
2. The site is located in an area with excellent access to a variety of cycling facilities, including the adjacent multi-use trail along Britannia Road West, a signed bike route along Galesway Boulevard, and a signed bike route on Whitehorn Avenue.
3. There are a number of neighbourhood amenities within walking distance of the site, including schools, parks, restaurants, grocery stores, pharmacies and banks.

## Vehicular and Pedestrian Connections

4. The proposed internal private road servicing the new townhouse units has been designed with appropriate widths ( 7.0 metres) and turning radii (minimum 9.0 metres inside, 15.0 metres outside), suitable to accommodate the manoeuvres of a municipal waste collection vehicle and the requirements of a fire access route as per the Ontario Building Code.
5. Pedestrian walkways 1.5 metres in width are provided along the private road (on one side) and provide connections to Britannia Road West and Galesway Boulevard.
6. The site plans show the reconstruction of the existing Cabrera Crescent cul-de-sac terminus to meet City of Mississauga Transportation and Works Standard 2211.240 (Residential Road Cul-de-Sac) and is therefore considered appropriate.
7. A secondary emergency access point to the development is provided from Cabrera Crescent from the new cul-de-sac. This access will be gated and only available for use by emergency vehicles.

## Parking

8. The applicable City of Mississauga By-law 0225-2007 requires a total of 251 parking spaces be provided for the site as proposed. This includes 2.0 spaces per unit for townhouse units and detached dwellings, 1.0 space per unit for second units, and 0.25 spaces per unit for visitors to the townhouse units.
9. The proposed site plans illustrate the provision of parking to meet these requirements, including 27 visitor parking spaces located along the private road, and is therefore considered to be appropriate.

## Traffic Operations

10. The site is anticipated to generate approximately 70 and 95 two-way vehicle trips during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.
11. Under future total traffic conditions with the build-out of the site, all signalized and unsignalized intersections within the study area are anticipated to operate within their theoretical capacity. No road network infrastructure improvements are warranted or recommended.

Appendix A: March 20, 2020 Architectural Site Plans


## Appendix B: Driveway Sight Distance Diagrams






Appendix C: Vehicle Manoeuvring Diagrams and Waste Collection Plan




## Appendix D: Synchro Capacity Analysis Output Sheets

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1：Whitehorn Avenue／Bidwell Trail \＆Britannia Road W
03－19－2020

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个中产 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个¢4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 25 | 2660 | 65 | 30 | 815 | 15 | 90 | 40 | 140 | 70 | 45 | 30 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 25 | 2660 | 65 | 30 | 815 | 15 | 90 | 40 | 140 | 70 | 45 | 30 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.9 | 6.9 |  | 3.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.91 |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.88 |  | 1.00 | 0.94 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1781 | 5166 |  | 1552 | 4948 | 1194 | 1657 | 1660 |  | 1747 | 1742 |  |
| FIt Permitted | 0.32 | 1.00 |  | 0.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 1.00 |  | 0.48 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 607 | 5166 |  | 81 | 4948 | 1194 | 1233 | 1660 |  | 874 | 1742 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 26 | 2742 | 67 | 31 | 840 | 15 | 93 | 41 | 144 | 72 | 46 | 31 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 26 | 2808 | 0 | 31 | 840 | 11 | 93 | 110 | 0 | 72 | 60 | 0 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | \％ |  | 6 | 6 |  | 3 | 15 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 15 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 1\％ | 4\％ | 15\％ | 6\％ | 25\％ | 6\％ | 5\％ | 0\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ | 6\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 4 |  |  | ， |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 77.5 | 77.5 |  | 83.8 | 83.8 | 83.8 | 15.2 | 15.2 |  | 15.2 | 15.2 |  |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 77.5 | 77.5 |  | 83.8 | 83.8 | 83.8 | 15.2 | 15.2 |  | 15.2 | 15.2 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.68 | 0.68 |  | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.13 | 0.13 |  | 0.13 | 0.13 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.9 | 6.9 |  | 3.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 415 | 3536 |  | 102 | 3662 | 883 | 165 | 222 |  | 117 | 233 |  |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | c0．54 |  | c0．01 | 0.17 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  | 0.03 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.04 |  |  | 0.21 |  | 0.01 | 0.08 |  |  | c0．08 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.79 |  | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.56 | 0.49 |  | 0.62 | 0.26 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 5.9 | 12.3 |  | 14.1 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 45.9 | 45.4 |  | 46.2 | 43.9 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.1 | 1.3 |  | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 1.7 |  | 9.3 | 0.6 |  |
| Delay（s） | 5.9 | 13.6 |  | 15.8 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 50.2 | 47.2 |  | 55.5 | 44.5 |  |
| Level of Service | A | B |  | B | A | A | D | D |  | E | D |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 13.6 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 48.2 |  |  | 49.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 15.4 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 113.2 |  | Sum of los | time（s） |  |  | 17.2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 91．0\％ |  | CU Level | fervice |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03－12－2020 EX AM

Synchro 9 Report

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2：Brookhaven Way／Douguy Boulevard \＆Britannia Road W
03－19－2020

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 1 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个中耍 |  | 7 | ¢个中 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\dagger$ |  | ${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 80 | 2770 | 20 | 5 | 750 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 55 | 30 | 20 | 85 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 80 | 2770 | 20 | 5 | 750 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 55 | 30 | 20 | 85 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.5 | 6.5 |  | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.91 |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.90 |  | 1.00 | 0.88 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1681 | 5185 |  | 1785 | 4948 | 1311 | 1449 | 1701 |  | 1659 | 1605 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.34 | 1.00 |  | 0.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.69 | 1.00 |  | 0.70 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 604 | 5185 |  | 98 | 4948 | 1311 | 1047 | 1701 |  | 1227 | 1605 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 84 | 2916 | 21 | 5 | 789 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 58 | 32 | 21 | 89 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ， | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 79 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 84 | 2937 | 0 | 5 | 789 | 19 | 26 | 82 | 0 | 32 | 31 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃hr） | 3 |  |  | 2 |  | 3 | 2 |  | 8 | 8 |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 6\％ | 1\％ | 5\％ | 0\％ | 6\％ | 14\％ | 23\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 7\％ | 0\％ | 5\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃hr） | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ， |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 76.5 | 76.5 |  | 76.5 | 76.5 | 76.5 | 11.6 | 11.6 |  | 11.6 | 11.6 |  |
| Effective Green， $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 76.5 | 76.5 |  | 76.5 | 76.5 | 76.5 | 11.6 | 11.6 |  | 11.6 | 11.6 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.75 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.11 | 0.11 |  | 0.11 | 0.11 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.5 | 6.5 |  | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 |  | 7.5 | 7.5 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 452 | 3884 |  | 73 | 3707 | 982 | 118 | 193 |  | 139 | 182 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | c0．57 |  |  | 0.16 |  |  | c0．05 |  |  | 0.02 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.14 |  |  | 0.05 |  | 0.01 | 0.02 |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.19 | 0.76 |  | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.43 |  | 0.23 | 0.17 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 3.7 | 7.4 |  | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 41.1 | 42.1 |  | 41.2 | 40.9 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.2 | 0.9 |  | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 |  | 0.8 | 0.4 |  |
| Delay（s） | 3.9 | 8.3 |  | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 42.1 | 43.7 |  | 42.0 | 41.4 |  |
| Level of Service | A | A |  | A | A | A | D | D |  | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 8.2 |  |  | 3.8 |  |  | 43.3 |  |  | 41.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 9.4 |  | CM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | A |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 102.1 |  | Sum of los | time（s） |  |  | 14.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 92．1\％ |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | F |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03－12－2020 EX AM
Synchro 9 Report

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Whitehorn Avenue \& Galesway Boulevard

|  | $\dagger$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 165 | 40 | 230 | 210 | 40 | 100 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 165 | 40 | 230 | 210 | 40 | 100 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 176 | 43 | 245 | 223 | 43 | 106 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 219 | 468 | 149 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 176 | 0 | 43 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 43 | 223 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.09 | -0.27 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.4 | 4.5 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.33 | 0.58 | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 608 | 780 | 646 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.1 | 13.5 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.1 | 13.5 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 12.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 54.7\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 EX AM

BA Group - CA

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Galesway Boulevard \& Cabrera Crescent

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | \% |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 245 | 190 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 5 | 245 | 190 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 5 | 266 | 207 | 0 | 5 | 16 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Median type None None
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal ( $m$ )

| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vC , conflicting volume | 209 | 485 | 209 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 209 | 485 | 209 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.3 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 | 99 | 98 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1281 | 517 | 835 |


| , | 128 |  |  | 517 - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 271 | 207 | 21 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 5 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |  |
| cSH | 1281 | 1700 | 728 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.03 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( $m$ ) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.1 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.1 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity UtilizationAnalysis Period (min) |  |  | 26.9\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
|  |  | Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


| 1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 EX AM | Synchro 9 Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| BA Group - CA |  |
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Synchro 9 Repor
BA Group - CA
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HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: Galesway Boulevard \& Site Access


Right turr flare
Median type
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal ( m )
pX , platoon unblocked
vC , conficicting volume
pX , conflicting volume
CC, stage 1 conf vol
CL , stage 2 conf vol
vCu , unblocked vol
tC , single (s)
$\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage ( s$)$
tF (s)
po queue free \%
capacity (vel

| CM capacity (vehhn) |  |  | 547 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 | 835 |
| Volume Total | 272 | 207 | 0 |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| cSH | 1372 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( m ) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A |  |

None None
intersection Summary

| Average Delay | 0.0 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $16.5 \%$ |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 EX AM

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
6: Galesway Boulevard \& Bandlebrook Court

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\dagger$ |  | M |  |  |
| Trafic Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 245 | 175 | 0 | 15 | 15 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 5 | 245 | 175 | 0 | 15 | 15 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 5 | 255 | 182 | 0 | 16 | 16 |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  | 3.7 | 3.7 |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conficting volume | 185 |  |  |  | 451 | 186 |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 185 |  |  |  | 451 | 186 |  |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 |  |  |  | 97 | 98 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1398 |  |  |  | 566 | 858 |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 260 | 182 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 5 | 0 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1398 | 1700 | 682 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 27.2\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
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BA Group - CA
Synchro 9 Report

## HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

7: Prestonwood Crescent/Brookhaven Way \& Galesway Boulevard
03-19-2020


1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 EX AM
Synchro 9 Report

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1：Whitehorn Avenue／Bidwell Trail \＆Britannia Road W
03－19－2020

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  | $t$ |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个性 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | ヶ4ヶ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | t |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 40 | 1235 | 90 | 125 | 1800 | 145 | 90 | 60 | 55 | 85 | 100 | 50 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 40 | 1235 | 90 | 125 | 1800 | 145 | 90 | 60 | 55 | 85 | 100 | 50 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.9 | 6.9 |  | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.91 |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.93 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1748 | 5132 |  | 1765 | 5193 | 1477 | 1764 | 1759 |  | 1775 | 1808 |  |
| FIt Permitted | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.17 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 1.00 |  | 0.68 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 154 | 5132 |  | 307 | 5193 | 1477 | 1220 | 1759 |  | 1269 | 1808 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 42 | 1300 | 95 | 132 | 1895 | 153 | 95 | 63 | 58 | 89 | 105 | 53 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 12 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 42 | 1390 | 0 | 132 | 1895 | 100 | 95 | 98 | 0 | 89 | 146 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 10 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 10 | 17 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 17 |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 1\％ | 0\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 1\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 54.1 | 54.1 |  | 54.1 | 54.1 | 54.1 | 15.2 | 15.2 |  | 15.2 | 15.2 |  |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 54.1 | 54.1 |  | 54.1 | 54.1 | 54.1 | 15.2 | 15.2 |  | 15.2 | 15.2 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.65 | 0.65 |  | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.18 | 0.18 |  | 0.18 | 0.18 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.9 | 6.9 |  | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 99 | 3325 |  | 198 | 3364 | 956 | 222 | 320 |  | 231 | 329 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | 0.27 |  |  | 0.36 |  |  | 0.06 |  |  | c0．08 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm | 0.27 |  |  | c0．43 |  | 0.07 | 0.08 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.42 | 0.42 |  | 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.10 | 0.43 | 0.31 |  | 0.39 | 0.44 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 7.1 | 7.1 |  | 9.1 | 8.2 | 5.6 | 30.3 | 29.6 |  | 30.0 | 30.4 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 2.9 | 0.1 |  | 8.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 |  | 1.1 | 1.0 |  |
| Delay（s） | 10.1 | 7.2 |  | 17.3 | 8.4 | 5.6 | 31.6 | 30.1 |  | 31.1 | 31.3 |  |
| Level of Service | B | A |  | B | A | A | C | C |  | C | C |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 7.3 |  |  | 8.7 |  |  | 30.8 |  |  | 31.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10.7 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | Service |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay <br> HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 83.5 |  | Sum of los | time（s） |  |  | 14.2 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 90．6\％ |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03－12－2020 EX PM

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2：Brookhaven Way／Douguy Boulevard \＆Britannia Road W
03－19－2020
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HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Whitehorn Avenue \& Galesway Boulevard

|  | $\dagger$ | $4$ | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 270 | 80 | 125 | 110 | 60 | 255 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 270 | 80 | 125 | 110 | 60 | 255 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 287 | 85 | 133 | 117 | 64 | 271 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 372 | 250 | 335 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 287 | 0 | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 85 | 117 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.02 | -0.28 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.56 | 0.37 | 0.51 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 625 | 630 | 631 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 15.3 | 11.2 | 13.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 15.3 | 11.2 | 13.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C | B | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 13.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 60.3\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Galesway Boulevard \& Cabrera Crescent

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\dagger$ |  | M |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 10 | 160 | 345 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 10 | 160 | 345 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 11 | 172 | 371 | 11 | 5 | 5 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Rercent Blockage
Right turr flare (veh)
Median type None None

Median type
Upstream signal ( $m$ )

| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 385 | 574 | 380 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 385 | 574 | 380 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 | 99 | 99 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1182 | 478 | 670 |


| Direction, Lane\# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 183 | 382 | 10 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 11 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 11 | 5 |  |  |
| cSH | 1182 | 1700 | 558 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.02 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.6 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.6 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 28.8\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


| 1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 EX PM | Synchro 9 Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| BA Group - CA |  |
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HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: Galesway Boulevard \& Site Access


Right turn flare
Median type
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal ( m )
pX , platoon unnbocked
VC , conflicting volume
VC1, stage 1 conf vol
C2, stage 2 conf vol
vCu , unblocked vol
tC , single (s)
$\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage ( s$)$
$\mathrm{tC}, 2$ sta
$\mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{s})$
p 0 queue
po queue free \%
cM capacity (veh/h)

| 22 |
| ---: |

ne None

| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 177 | 382 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| CSH | 1182 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( $m$ ) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 22.0\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |
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## HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

7: Prestonwood Crescent/Brookhaven Way \& Galesway Boulevard
03-19-2020

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | F | - | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 15 | 155 | 0 | 15 | 300 | 35 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 40 | 25 | 70 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 15 | 155 | 0 | 15 | 300 | 35 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 40 | 25 | 70 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 16 | 170 | 0 | 16 | 330 | 38 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 44 | 27 | 77 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 186 | 384 | 21 | 148 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 16 | 16 | 0 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 38 | 16 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.02 | -0.05 | -0.46 | -0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.8 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.25 | 0.48 | 0.03 | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 703 | 766 | 609 | 647 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.4 | 11.7 | 8.2 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.4 | 11.7 | 8.2 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 43.0\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1: Whitehorn Avenue/Bidwell Trail \& Britannia Road W
03-19-2020
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HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2: Brookhaven Way/Douguy Boulevard \& Britannia Road W
03-19-2020
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HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Whitehorn Avenue \& Galesway Boulevard

|  | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 165 | 40 | 230 | 210 | 40 | 100 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 165 | 40 | 230 | 210 | 40 | 100 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 176 | 43 | 245 | 223 | 43 | 106 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 219 | 468 | 149 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 176 | 0 | 43 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 43 | 223 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.09 | -0.27 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.4 | 4.5 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.33 | 0.58 | 0.22 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 608 | 780 | 646 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.1 | 13.5 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.1 | 13.5 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 12.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 54.7\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 FB AM

BA Group - CA

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Galesway Boulevard \& Cabrera Crescent

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 今 |  | \% |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 245 | 190 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 5 | 245 | 190 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 5 | 266 | 207 | 0 | 5 | 16 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Median type None None
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal ( $m$ )

| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vC , conflicting volume | 209 | 485 | 209 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 209 | 485 | 209 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.3 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 | 99 | 98 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1281 | 517 | 835 |


| CM capacity (veh/h) | 1281 |  | 517 | 835 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 271 | 207 | 21 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 5 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |  |
| CSH | 1281 | 1700 | 728 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.03 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( $m$ ) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.1 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.1 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 26.9\% | ICU Level of Service | A |


| 1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST $03-12-2020$ FB AM | Synchro 9 Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| BA Group - CA | Page 4 |
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HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: Galesway Boulevard \& Site Access


Median type
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal ( m )
pX , platoon unblocked
vC , conficting volume
CC, stage 1 conf vol
CL , stage 2 conf vol
vCu , unblocked vol
tC , single (s)
$\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage ( s$)$
F (s)
po queue free \%
capacity (vel

| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1372 |  |  | 547 | 835 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 272 | 207 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| cSH | 1372 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | A |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | A |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A |  |  |

None None

## tersection Summary

verage Delay
$\begin{array}{ll} & 16.5 \% \\ \text { ICU Level of Service }\end{array}$
ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min)
15
号
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HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Whitehorn Avenue \& Galesway Boulevard

|  | $\dagger$ | $4$ | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 270 | 85 | 125 | 115 | 60 | 255 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 270 | 85 | 125 | 115 | 60 | 255 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 287 | 90 | 133 | 122 | 64 | 271 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 377 | 255 | 335 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 287 | 0 | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 90 | 122 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.01 | -0.29 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.57 | 0.37 | 0.51 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 624 | 629 | 628 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 15.6 | 11.4 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 15.6 | 11.4 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C | B | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 60.9\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 FB PM

BA Group - CA

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Galesway Boulevard \& Cabrera Crescent

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\dagger$ |  | M |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 10 | 165 | 350 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 10 | 165 | 350 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 11 | 177 | 376 | 11 | 5 | 5 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Right turn flare (veh)

Median type
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal ( $m$ )

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |
| vC , conficting volume | 390 | 584 | 384 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |
| $v C 2$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 390 | 584 | 384 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 | 99 | 99 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1177 | 472 | 666 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Volume Total | 188 | 387 | 10 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 11 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 11 | 5 |  |  |
| cSH | 1177 | 1700 | 552 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.02 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.6 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.6 |  | A |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |
| Average Delay |  | $0.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  | $29.0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  | 15 |  |  |  |


| 1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 FB PM | Synchro 9 Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| BA Group - CA | Page 4 |
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## HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

5: Galesway Boulevard \& Site Access


Right turn flare
Median type
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal ( m )
pX , platoon unblocked
CC , conflicting volume
vC1, stage 1 conf vol
C2, stage 2 conf vol
vCu, unblocked vol
tC , single (s)
$\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage ( s$)$
F (s)
p queue free \%
cM capacity (veh/h)
None None

| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1177 |  |  | 483 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 183 | 387 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| cSH | 1177 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 22.3\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |

240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 FB PM

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
6: Galesway Boulevard \& Bandlebrook Court

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\dagger$ |  | \% |  |  |
| Trafic Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 165 | 360 | 15 | 10 | 0 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 5 | 165 | 360 | 15 | 10 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 5 | 179 | 391 | 16 | 11 | 0 |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  | 3.7 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  | 1.2 |  |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conficting volume | 411 |  |  |  | 592 | 405 |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 411 |  |  |  | 592 | 405 |  |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 |  |  |  | 98 | 100 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1155 |  |  |  | 469 | 647 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 184 | 407 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 5 | 0 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 16 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1155 | 1700 | 469 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.3 | 0.0 | 12.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.3 | 0.0 | 12.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 30.5\% |  | ICU Level | Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
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## HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

7: Prestonwood Crescent/Brookhaven Way \& Galesway Boulevard
03-19-2020
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HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1：Whitehorn Avenue／Bidwell Trail \＆Britannia Road W
03－20－2020

|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 1 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 个中产 |  | ${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 个个中 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 25 | 2735 | 70 | 30 | 845 | 15 | 100 | 45 | 140 | 70 | 45 | 30 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 25 | 2735 | 70 | 30 | 845 | 15 | 100 | 45 | 140 | 70 | 45 | 30 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.9 | 6.9 |  | 3.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.91 |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.89 |  | 1.00 | 0.94 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1781 | 5165 |  | 1552 | 4948 | 1193 | 1656 | 1664 |  | 1747 | 1741 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.31 | 1.00 |  | 0.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 1.00 |  | 0.45 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 588 | 5165 |  | 77 | 4948 | 1193 | 1232 | 1664 |  | 829 | 1741 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 26 | 2820 | 72 | 31 | 871 | 15 | 103 | 46 | 144 | 72 | 46 | 31 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 26 | 2891 | 0 | 31 | 871 | 11 | 103 | 115 | 0 | 72 | 60 | 0 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 3 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 3 | 15 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 15 |
| Heary Vehicles（\％） | 0\％ | 1\％ | 4\％ | 15\％ | 6\％ | 25\％ | 6\％ | 5\％ | 0\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ | 6\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | ， |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 82.1 | 82.1 |  | 88.4 | 88.4 | 88.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 |  | 16.4 | 16.4 |  |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 82.1 | 82.1 |  | 88.4 | 88.4 | 88.4 | 16.4 | 16.4 |  | 16.4 | 16.4 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.69 | 0.69 |  | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.14 | 0.14 |  | 0.14 | 0.14 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.9 | 6.9 |  | 3.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 405 | 3563 |  | 98 | 3675 | 886 | 169 | 229 |  | 114 | 239 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | c0．56 |  | c0．01 | 0.18 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  | 0.03 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.04 |  |  | 0.23 |  | 0.01 | 0.08 |  |  | c0．09 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.06 | 0.81 |  | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.61 | 0.50 |  | 0.63 | 0.25 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 6.0 | 13.0 |  | 16.1 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 48.3 | 47.5 |  | 48.4 | 45.8 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.1 | 1.5 |  | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 1.7 |  | 10.9 | 0.6 |  |
| Delay（s） | 6.1 | 14.5 |  | 18.0 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 54.4 | 49.2 |  | 59.3 | 46.4 |  |
| Level of Service | A | B |  | B | A | A | D | D |  | E | D |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 14.4 |  |  | 5.2 |  |  | 51.1 |  |  | 52.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 16.3 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 119.0 |  | Sum of los | time（s） |  |  | 17.2 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 92．6\％ |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | F |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03－12－2020 FT AM
Synchro 9 Repor

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2：Brookhaven Way／Douguy Boulevard \＆Britannia Road W
03－20－2020


1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03－12－2020 FT AM
Synchro 9 Report

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Whitehorn Avenue \& Galesway Boulevard

|  | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 175 | 55 | 230 | 210 | 45 | 100 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 175 | 55 | 230 | 210 | 45 | 100 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 186 | 59 | 245 | 223 | 48 | 106 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 245 | 468 | 154 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 186 | 0 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 59 | 223 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.05 | -0.27 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.4 | 4.6 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.37 | 0.59 | 0.23 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 611 | 754 | 632 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.6 | 14.0 | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.6 | 14.0 | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DelayLevel of Service |  |  | 12.6 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 56.2\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Galesway Boulevard \& Cabrera Crescent

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{H}$ |  | Y |  |
| Trafic Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 250 | 215 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 5 | 250 | 215 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 5 | 272 | 234 | 0 | 5 | 16 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Right turn flare (veh)

Median type
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal ( $m$ )

| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VC, conflicting volume | 236 | 518 | 236 |
| VC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |
| C2, stage 2 conf vol |  | 518 | 236 |
| VCu, unblocked vol | 236 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| TC, single (s) | 4.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| TC, 2 stage (s) | 2.3 | 99 | 98 |
| TF (s) | 100 | 494 | 807 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 277 | 234 | 21 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 5 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |  |
| cSH | 1251 | 1700 | 701 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.03 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( $m$ ) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.3 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 27.2\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


| 1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 FT AM | Synchro 9 Report |
| :--- | ---: |
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240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 FT AM
Synchro 9 Repor
BA Group - CA

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: Galesway Boulevard \& Site Access


Median type
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal ( m )
pX , platoon unblocked
VC , conflicting volume
VC1, stage 1 conf vol
C2, stage 2 conf vol
vCu , unblocked vol
C , single (s)
$\mathrm{C}, 2$ stage (s)
F (s)
po queue free \%
M capacity (veh/h)
None None

| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 277 | 218 | 60 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 5 | 0 | 33 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 11 | 27 |  |  |
| CSH | 1359 | 1700 | 636 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.09 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( $m$ ) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 11.3 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 11.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.3 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 27.2\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |

1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 FT AM

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
6: Galesway Boulevard \& Bandlebrook Court

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\dagger$ |  | M |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 275 | 185 | 0 | 15 | 15 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 5 | 275 | 185 | 0 | 15 | 15 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 5 | 286 | 193 | 0 | 16 | 16 |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  | 3.7 | 3.7 |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conficting volume | 196 |  |  |  | 493 | 197 |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 196 |  |  |  | 493 | 197 |  |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| po queue free \% | 100 |  |  |  | 97 | 98 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1386 |  |  |  | 535 | 847 |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 291 | 193 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 5 | 0 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1386 | 1700 | 656 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 28.8\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

## 240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 FT AM

BA Group - CA

## HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

7: Prestonwood Crescent/Brookhaven Way \& Galesway Boulevard
03-20-2020


240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 FT AM
Synchro 9 Report

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
1：Whitehorn Avenue／Bidwell Trail \＆Britannia Road W
03－19－2020

|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个官 |  | \％ | 444 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 40 | 1305 | 95 | 125 | 1885 | 145 | 100 | 65 | 55 | 85 | 105 | 50 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 40 | 1305 | 95 | 125 | 1885 | 145 | 100 | 65 | 55 | 85 | 105 | 50 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.9 | 6.9 |  | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.91 |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.93 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1748 | 5132 |  | 1765 | 5193 | 1473 | 1761 | 1764 |  | 1773 | 1811 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.15 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.62 | 1.00 |  | 0.68 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 139 | 5132 |  | 279 | 5193 | 1473 | 1158 | 1764 |  | 1262 | 1811 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 42 | 1374 | 100 | 132 | 1984 | 153 | 105 | 68 | 58 | 89 | 111 | 53 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 12 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 42 | 1469 | 0 | 132 | 1984 | 107 | 105 | 105 |  | 89 | 152 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 10 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 10 | 17 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 17 |
| Confl．Bikes（\＃hr） |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 1\％ | 0\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 1\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 66.0 | 66.0 |  | 66.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 16.9 | 16.9 |  | 16.9 | 16.9 |  |
| Effective Green， $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 66.0 | 66.0 |  | 66.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 16.9 | 16.9 |  | 16.9 | 16.9 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.68 | 0.68 |  | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.17 | 0.17 |  | 0.17 | 0.17 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.9 | 6.9 |  | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 94 | 3488 |  | 189 | 3529 | 1001 | 201 | 307 |  | 219 | 315 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | 0.29 |  |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.06 |  |  | 0.08 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm | 0.30 |  |  | c0．47 |  | 0.07 | c0．09 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.42 |  | 0.70 | 0.56 | 0.11 | 0.52 | 0.34 |  | 0.41 | 0.48 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 7.2 | 7.0 |  | 9.5 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 36.4 | 35.2 |  | 35.6 | 36.2 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 3.4 | 0.1 |  | 10.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.7 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |
| Delay（s） | 10.5 | 7.1 |  | 20.2 | 8.3 | 5.4 | 38.9 | 35.9 |  | 36.9 | 37.3 |  |
| Level of Service | B | A |  | C | A | A | D | D |  | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 7.2 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  | 37.2 |  |  | 37.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11.4 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | Service |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay <br> HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 97.1 |  | Sum of los | time（s） |  |  | 14.2 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 92．4\％ |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | F |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03－12－2020 FT PM

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
2：Brookhaven Way／Douguy Boulevard \＆Britannia Road W
03－19－2020


1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03－12－2020 FT PM
Synchro 9 Report
BA Group－CA

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Whitehorn Avenue \& Galesway Boulevard

|  | 7 | $4$ | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | Y |  | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 275 | 95 | 125 | 125 | 70 | 255 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 275 | 95 | 125 | 125 | 70 | 255 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 293 | 101 | 133 | 133 | 74 | 271 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 394 | 266 | 345 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 293 | 0 | 74 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 101 | 133 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.01 | -0.30 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.61 | 0.40 | 0.53 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 619 | 620 | 616 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 16.7 | 11.8 | 14.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 16.7 | 11.8 | 14.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C | B | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 14.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 63.0\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
4: Galesway Boulevard \& Cabrera Crescent

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | f |  | \% |  |
| Trafic Volume (veh/h) | 10 | 185 | 365 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 10 | 185 | 365 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 11 | 199 | 392 | 11 | 5 | 5 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Right turn flare (veh)

Median type
Median storage veh)
Upstream signal ( $m$ )

| Upstream signal ( m ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 406 | 622 | 400 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 406 | 622 | 400 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 | 99 | 99 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1161 | 449 | 652 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 210 | 403 | 10 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 11 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 11 | 5 |  |  |
| cSH | 1161 | 1700 | 532 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.02 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.5 | 0.0 | 11.9 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.5 | 0.0 | 11.9 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 29.8\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


| 1240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 FT PM | Synchro 9 Report |
| :--- | ---: |
| BA Group - CA |  |
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HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
5: Galesway Boulevard \& Site Access

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | Y |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 20 | 170 | 360 | 40 | 20 | 15 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 20 | 170 | 360 | 40 | 20 | 15 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 22 | 183 | 387 | 43 | 22 | 16 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  | 1.2 |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Right turn flare (veh)

Median storage veh)
Upstream signal ( m )
pX , platoon unblocked
vC, conflicting volume
pX , platoon unblocked
vC, conflicting volume
$\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol
$\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol
vCu , unblocked vol

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| VCu, unblocked vol | 433 | 638 | 412 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | 2.2 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| tF (s) | 98 | 95 | 98 |
| po queue free \% | 98 | 43 | 643 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 205 | 430 | 38 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 22 | 0 | 22 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 43 | 16 |  |  |
| cSH | 1135 | 1700 | 503 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.25 | 0.08 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 1.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 1.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 35.7\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |

240 BRITANNIA ROAD WEST 03-12-2020 FT PM BA Group - CA

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
6: Galesway Boulevard \& Bandlebrook Court

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\dagger$ |  | \% |  |  |
| Trafic Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 185 | 400 | 15 | 10 | 0 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 5 | 185 | 400 | 15 | 10 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 5 | 201 | 435 | 16 | 11 | 0 |  |
| Pedestrians |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  | 3.7 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  | 1.2 |  |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conficting volume | 455 |  |  |  | 658 | 449 |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 455 |  |  |  | 658 | 449 |  |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 |  |  |  | 97 | 100 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1113 |  |  |  | 429 | 611 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 206 | 451 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 5 | 0 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 16 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1113 | 1700 | 429 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 13.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 32.6\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

[^1]
## HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

7: Prestonwood Crescent/Brookhaven Way \& Galesway Boulevard
03-19-2020

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 30 | 165 | 0 | 15 | 320 | 35 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 40 | 25 | 95 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 30 | 165 | 0 | 15 | 320 | 35 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 40 | 25 | 95 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 33 | 181 | 0 | 16 | 352 | 38 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 44 | 27 | 104 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 214 | 406 | 21 | 175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 33 | 16 | 0 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 38 | 16 | 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.03 | -0.05 | -0.46 | -0.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.0 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.30 | 0.53 | 0.03 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 682 | 744 | 573 | 635 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.0 | 12.7 | 8.4 | 9.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.0 | 12.7 | 8.4 | 9.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 11.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 44.5\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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