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1. **CALL TO ORDER**

2. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

3. **DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

4. **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS**

    4.1 January 20, 2016

5. **PRESENTATIONS**

    5.1 Ray Foster Award and United Way

        Wendy Law, Deputy Solicitor and United Way Chair will present the Ray Foster Award to Janet Hanlon and speak to the 2015 Employee Campaign.

6. **DEPUTATIONS**

    6.1 United Way

        Shelley White, President and Chief Executive Officer of United Way Peel Region will speak about the City of Mississauga commitment to the United Way of Peel Campaign.

    6.2 Riverwood Conservancy- National Garden Bureau Award

        Jane New, Enabling Garden Coordinator and Kevin Sherwin, Chair of Riverwood Conservancy will speak to the National Garden Bureau Award that Members of Council were instrumental in helping Riverwood Conservancy win.

7. **PUBLIC QUESTION PERIOD – 15 Minute Limit**

    (In accordance with Section 43 of the City of Mississauga Procedure By-law 0139-2013) Council may grant permission to a person who is present at Council and wishes to address Council on a matter on the Agenda. Persons addressing Council will ask their question; the time limit is 5 minutes for each question, as public question period total limit is 15 minutes.

8. **INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF CORPORATE REPORTS - Nil**

9. **PRESENTATION OF COMMITTEE REPORTS**


        Motion

    9.2 Planning and Development Committee Report 2-2016 dated February 1, 2016.

        Motion

Motion

10. UNFINISHED BUSINESS – Nil

11. PETITIONS - Nil

12. CORRESPONDENCE

12.1 Information Items 12.1.1-12.1.5

12.2 Direction Item

12.2.1 Council to address the speed limit, law enforcement present, official signage and provide a safety awareness campaign on Annapolis and North Service Road.

Direction Required

12.2.2 Council to support and endorse the Township of Wainfleet Resolution requesting the Province of Ontario to cancel the RFP for added Wind Power Generation.

Direction Required

13. NOTICE OF MOTION - Nil

14. MOTIONS

14.1 To approve recommendations from the following Committee Report:


(ii) Recommendations PDC-0004-2016 to PDC-0007-2016 inclusive contained in the Planning and Development Committee Report 2-2016 dated February 1, 2016.


14.2 To close to the public a portion of the Council meeting to be held on February 10, 2016, to deal with various matters. (See Item 18 Closed Session).
15. **INTRODUCTION AND CONSIDERATION OF BY-LAWS**

15.1 A by-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal highway system Registration Plan 43R-35317, T-06006 SP04/044 (in the vicinity of Lakeshore Road East and Cawthra Road) (Ward 1).

15.2 A by-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal highway system Registration Plan 43R-4972, SP 14/043 (in the vicinity of Indian Road and Birchview Drive) (Ward 2).

15.3 A by-law to designate the William Hedge Farmhouse located at 915 North Service Road as being of cultural heritage value or interest (Ward 1).

**HAC-0042-2015/July 21, 2015**

15.4 A by-law to amend By-law No. 555-2000, as amended, being the Traffic By-law deleting Schedule 14 prohibit turns Queensbridge Drive, Wakefield Crescent and Rathburn Road, adding Schedule 13 no heavy vehicles Envoy Drive, adding Schedule 29 designated on street parking for the disabled (Wards 6, 7 and 11).

**GC-0036-2016, GC-0037-2016, GC-0040-2016/February 3, 2016**

15.5 A by-law to temporarily close a public highway portion of Square One Drive between Duke of York Boulevard and Living Arts Drive is temporarily closed from 9:00 a.m. on Monday, February 22, 2016 to 7:00 p.m. on Saturday, April 30, 2016 (Ward 4).

**GC-0038-2016/February 3, 2016**

15.6 A by-law to establish certain lands as part of the municipal system Registered Plans 43R-11888 and 43R-7963 (in the vicinity of Wolfdale Road and Central Parkway West) (Ward 6).

**GC-0039-2016/February 3, 2016**

15.7 A by-law to delegate authority for the approval and execution of the agreement to allow Toronto Star Newspapers Limited to place distribution racks in City facilities.

**GC-0043-2016/February 3, 2016**

15.8 A by-law to authorize the execution of a Land Exchange Agreement between the Corporation of the City of Mississauga and Enersource Hydro Mississauga Inc. to authorize the exchange of certain lands between the parties (Wards 2, 7 and 11).

**GC-0048-2016/February 3, 2016**
16. **INQUIRIES**

17. **OTHER BUSINESS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS**

18. **CLOSED SESSION**

   18.1 Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, Section 239 (2)

   (i) Litigation or potential, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board re: Committee of Adjustment Appeal of “A” 396/15 – Lot 185, Registered Plan 550, 3265 Flynn Crescent, Applicant Paulo Esteves (Ward 6).

   (ii) Litigation or potential, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board re: Committee of Adjustment Appeal of: “A” 303/15 – Part of Lot 14, Concession 1, NDS, Part of Parts 1 & 2, Parts of Parts 1, 7 & 8 & Part of Lot 1 & 10, 202-204 Burnhamthorpe Road East, Applicant Kaneff Homes Compass Creek Inc., (Ward 4).

19. **CONFIRMATORY BILL**

A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga at its meeting held on February 10, 2016.

20. **ADJOURNMENT**
To: THE MAYOR & MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

Planning and Development Committee presents its first Report for 2016 and recommends:

**PDC-0001-2016**
1. That submissions made at the public meeting held at the Planning and Development Committee meeting on January 18, 2016, to consider the proposed amendments as outlined in the report "Mississauga Official Plan Conformity to the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), 2014 – Public Meeting" dated December 22, 2015, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, be received.

2. That staff prepare a report on comments based on the submissions made, outlining any modifications to the original proposed policies, if necessary.

File: LA.07.PRO

**PDC-0002-2016**
1. That the Report dated December 22, 2015, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building regarding the proposed amendments to the Zoning By-law for the Cranberry Cove neighbourhood in Port Credit, be received for information.

2. That the Planning and Building Department report back on any public submissions received and make recommendations to revise specific zone regulations for the Cranberry Cove neighbourhood.

File: CD.06.POR (W1)

**PDC-0003-2016**
That the report dated December 22, 2015, recommending delegation of responsibility for Payment-in-Lieu of Parking (PIL) Applications for 10 or fewer parking spaces to the Commissioner of Planning and Building be referred to all Mississauga Business Improvement Areas for their information and feedback, and that staff report back directly to Council within 60 days.

File: CD.21.DEV
To: THE MAYOR & MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

Planning and Development Committee presents its second report for 2016 and recommends:

PDC-0004-2016
1. That the submissions made at the Planning and Development Committee Public Meeting held on February 1, 2016, regarding the report titled “Port Credit GO Station Southeast Area Master Plan Implementation - Proposed Changes To Mississauga Official Plan - Public Meeting”, dated January 12, 2016, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, be received.

2. That staff report back to Planning and Development Committee on the submissions made from the public, and comments made from circulated departments and agencies, regarding the proposed changes to Mississauga Official Plan to implement the Port Credit GO Station Southeast Area Master Plan.

File: CD.04-POR

PDC-0005-2016
1. That the report titled “Affordable Housing Program: Strategic Framework and Work Plan”, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, dated January 12, 2016, be received.

2. That $200,000 be allocated to the Planning and Building Department (PN#13962 Affordable Housing Strategy) from the Planning Process Update Reserve 305160 for the purpose of completing the work for the Affordable Housing Program as outlined in the report titled “Affordable Housing Program: Strategic Framework and Work Plan”, from the Commissioner of Planning and Building dated January 12, 2016.

File: CD.06.AFF

PDC-0006-2016
That the Report dated January 12, 2016 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building titled “Proposed Updates to Site Plan Control By-law 0293-2006” be adopted, and that Site Plan Control By-law 0293-2006, as amended, be further amended in accordance with the draft By-law attached as Appendix 2 to this report.

File: CD.21.SIT

PDC-0007-2016
That the Report dated January 12, 2016 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building outlining the recommended Section 37 Community Benefits under file H-OZ 13/007 W2, 2286974 Ontario Inc., 1571, 1575 and 1601 Lakeshore Road West, north side of Lakeshore Road West, east of Clarkson Road North, be adopted and that a Section 37 agreement be executed in accordance with the following:
1. That the design and installation of the eastern Clarkson Village entry feature to be located within the municipal right-of-way on the north side of Lakeshore Road West, east of Clarkson Road North, be approved as Section 37 Community Benefits contribution and that the owner enter into a Section 37 agreement with the City of Mississauga.

2. That City Council enact a by-law under Section 37 of the Planning Act to authorize the Commissioner of Planning and Building and the City Clerk to execute the Section 37 agreement with 2286974 Ontario Inc., and that the agreement be registered on title to the lands in a manner satisfactory to the City Solicitor, to secure the Community Benefits.

File: H-OZ 13/007 W2
REPORT 2-2016

TO: THE MAYOR & MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

General Committee of Council presents its second Report of 2016 and recommends:

GC-0024-2016
That the deputation by Erlinda Olalia-Carin, Fiesta ng Kalayaan with respect to the grant allocation for the 2016 Fiesta ng Kalayaan festival, be received.

GC-0025-2016
1. That the 2016 grant allocations for the Cultural Festivals and Celebrations Grant Program, as outlined in the corporate report “Recommended Grant Allocations for the 2016 Cultural Festivals and Celebrations Grant Program”, dated January 12, 2016 from the Commissioner of Community Services, be approved.
2. That a one-time transfer of $36,653 be approved from the Reserve for the Arts (Account 305195) to the 2016 Culture grants operating budget (Account 21132) to fund the budget variance for 2016 approved Cultural Festivals and Celebrations grants.
3. That a committee be created to review the festival funding process with a view of increasing up to 25% of the funding and review the issue of the Rotary Club.
4. That Councillors Kovac, Parrish and Starr be appointed to the committee.

GC-0026-2016
That the deputation by Lauren Pires, Partnership Manager, MonstrARTity with respect to the Bollywood Monster Mashup festival, be received.

GC-0027-2016
That the deputation by Daniel Boudreault on behalf of Bronte Construction and Joel Vanbeek, President, Bronte Construction with respect to a formal bid protest for the request for tender for Eastgate Stormwater Management Facility Maintenance Works (SWM #2601) Sediment Dredging, Procurement No. FA.46.643-15, be received.

GC-0028-2016
2. That the bid received from Bronte Construction be disqualified on grounds that the Bronte Construction bid contains prices that are not representative of the scope of work for two sections of work and Bronte Construction lacks similar project experience.
GC-0029-2016
That the deputation by Shawn Slack, Director, Information Technology and Nigel Roberts, IT Manager, Digital Services with respect to the Code & the City event, be received.

GC-0030-2016
That the report of the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Chief Financial Officer dated January 18, 2016 and entitled “Code & The City” an Open Data Hackathon Event be received for information.

GC-0031-2016
That the deputation by Geoff Wright, Director, Works Operations and Maintenance and Max Gill, Traffic Operations Technologist with respect to the proposed Traffic Calming Policy, be received.

GC-0032-2016
That the draft Corporate Policy “Traffic Calming”, as outlined in the Corporate Report dated January 20, 2016 from the Commissioner of Transportation and Works, be adopted.

GC-0033-2016
1. That the 2016 Arts and Culture grant allocations as outlined in the corporate report “Recommended Grant Allocations for the 2016 Arts and Culture Grant Program”, dated January 12, 2016, from the Commissioner of Community Services, be approved; save and accept the recommended grant amount for the Living Arts Centre pending further information from staff.
2. That Cultural Projects, with a ‘Canada 150’ focus, be prioritized for 2017 project grant approvals.

GC-0034-2016
That the 2016 Recreation and Sport Grant allocations as outlined in the report “Recommended Community Grant Allocations for the 2016 Recreation and Sport Grant Program and 2016 Environment Grant Program” dated January 12, 2016 from the Commissioner of Community Services, be approved.

GC-0035-2016
That the report dated January 20, 2016 from the Commissioner of Transportation and Works regarding an all-way stop control at the intersection of Thorn Lodge Drive and Perran Drive be referred back to staff for further review and report back to General Committee.
(Ward 2)

GC-0036-2016
That a by-law be enacted to amend The Traffic By-law No. 555-00, as amended, to implement a designated accessible parking space, at anytime, on the south side of John Street from a point 50 metres (164 feet) east of Littlejohn Lane to a point 6.5 metres (21 feet) easterly thereof.
(Ward 7)
GC-0037-2015
That a by-law be enacted to amend The Traffic By-law 555-00, as amended, to remove the north bound "No Right Turn on Red 7:00 a.m. - 9:00 a.m., 4:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m." prohibition at the intersection of Queensbridge Drive/Wakefield Crescent at Rathburn Road West.
(Ward 6)

GC-0038-2016
That a by-law be enacted to implement a temporary closure of Square One Drive between Duke of York Boulevard and Living Arts Drive commencing at 9:00 a.m. on Monday, February 22, 2016 and ending at 7:00 p.m. on Saturday, April 30, 2016.
(Ward 4)

GC-0039-2016
1. That a by-law be enacted authorizing the establishment of a public highway to be known as Wolfedale Road on those lands described as: In the City of Mississauga, Municipality of Peel, (Geographic Township of Toronto, County of Peel), Province of Ontario and being composed of Part of Lot 21, Concession 1, North of Dundas Street of the said Township, designated as Part 1, Plan 43R-11888 and Parts 1 and 3, Plan 43R-7963.
2. That City staff be authorized to register the by-law on title against the subject lands in the appropriate Land Registry Office.
(Ward 6)

GC-0040-2016
That a by-law be enacted to amend The Traffic By-law 555-00, as amended, to implement a heavy vehicle prohibition anytime on Envoy Drive between Derry Road West and Mavis Road.
(Ward 11)

GC-0041-2016
That the report "Hurontario Light Rail Transit Project Update" dated January 20, 2016 from the Commissioner of Transportation and Works be received for information.

GC-0042-2016
1. That the commitment with Dufferin Construction Company (Dufferin), Procurement No. #FA.49.315-12, for the construction of the Mississauga Transitway Contract 2, from Fieldgate Drive to Etobicoke Creek, be increased by $3,000,000 for approved changes up to contract completion, and that the Purchasing Agent be authorized to increase the contract upset limit.
2. That the commitment for utility relocations of the Mississauga Transitway east segments, Procurement No. #FA.49.539-10, be increased by $2,500,000 for additional utility relocation required during construction of the three east segments, and that the Purchasing Agent be authorized to increase the contract upset limit.
(Wards 3 & 5)
GC-0043-2016
That a by-law be enacted to authorize the Commissioner of Community Services to approve and execute agreements, including all other documents ancillary thereto, with the Toronto Star on behalf of The Corporation of the City of Mississauga for the placement of their publication racks in City facilities, all in a form satisfactory to Legal Services.

GC-0044-2016
That the report entitled “2013 Ice Storm Final update” to General Committee dated January 8, 2016 from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Chief Financial Officer be received for information.

GC-0045-2016
That the following Corporate Policies and Procedures be declared obsolete and rescinded from the Corporate Policy and Procedure Manual:
1. 01-02-05 – Probation
2. 01-09-01 – Classification of Separations
3. 01-09-03 – Termination of Employment
4. 09-03-02 - Noise Abatement Measures in Residential Subdivisions

GC-0046-2016
1. That the report dated January 18, 2016 from the Commissioner of Planning and Building, titled “Inspiration Port Credit – Business Case for a Future Marina at 1 Port Street East”, be received for information.
2. That staff report back to General Committee to set out an action plan to protect for a future marina at 1 Port Street East based on the Business Case recommendations, future City Master Plan, and further discussions with Canada Lands Company Limited.

GC-0047-2016
1. That the report entitled “2016 Pre-Budget Submission to the Federal Government,” including Appendix 1, from the City Manager and Chief Administrative Officer be approved for submission to the Ministry of Finance, Federal Government for the Federal 2016 Budget deliberations.
2. That the Mayor be directed to forward this report to the Federal Minister of Finance, the local MPPs and MPs, Ontario’s Big City Mayors (LUMCO) and the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO).

GC-0048-2016
That a by-law be enacted authorizing the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Chief Financial Officer and the City Clerk to execute and affix the corporate seal to a Land Exchange Agreement, in form and content satisfactory to the City Solicitor, between The Corporation of the City of Mississauga (“City”) and Enersource Hydro Mississauga Inc. (“Enersource”), including all documents ancillary thereto and any amending agreements as may be required, to facilitate the exchange of certain lands with the City of Mississauga, in various wards.
GC-0049-2016
1. That the heritage designation of the property located at 1130-40 Clarkson Road North be deferred for a period of ninety (90) days in order to provide the Owner the time to obtain clarity on land uses with the proviso that during this period there will not be any changes made to the above property.
2. That Heritage Staff be part of all future discussions in regard to the above property.
3. That the Deputation from Glen Broll, Glen Schnarr & Associates, be received.
   (HAC-0001-2016)

GC-0050-2016
That the property at 49 Queen Street South, which is listed on the City’s Heritage Register, is not worthy of heritage designation, and consequently, that the owner’s request to demolish proceed through the applicable process.
   (HAC-0002-2016)

GC-0051-2016
That the Corporate Report dated December 17, 2015, from the Commissioner of Community Services entitled “Heritage Advisory Committee and Related Staff Milestones: 2015 Year in Review,” be received for information.
   (HAC-0003-2016)

GC-0052-2016
That the Report dated January 5, 2016 from the Meadowvale Village Heritage Conservation District Advisory Sub-Committee Meeting, be adopted.
   (HAC-0004-2016)

GC-0053-2016
That recommendation HAC-0005-2016 be deleted.
   (HAC-0005-2016)

GC-0054-2016
That the PowerPoint Presentation regard Pingstreet Application as presented by Ivana Di Milo, Director, Communications, Shawn Slack, Director, IT, and Wendy McClymont, Manager, 311 Citizen Contact Centre, be received for information
   (TSC-0001-2016)

GC-0055-2016
That the Site Inspection Report for the safety review conducted on December 8, 2015 at the bridge in Camilla Park over the Cooksville Creek for the students attending Munden Park Public School, be received for information.
   (Ward 7)
   (TSC-0002-2015)
General Committee
February 3, 2016

GC-0056-2016
1. That Transportation and Works be requested to review the signage in the St. Basil Catholic School area as follows:
   a. Changing “No Parking” signs at the school entrance and exit driveway to “No Stopping” signs;
   b. Adding more “No U Turn” signs to create No U Turn zones;
   c. Replace “No Stopping” signs opposite the school with “No Stopping” Monday to Friday between 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. September to June; and
   d. Add more “No Stopping” signs for better visibility.
2. That Parking Enforcement be requested to enforce all parking and stopping prohibitions from 8:20 a.m. to 8:45 a.m., and 3:05 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., once signage is in place for the students attending St. Basil Catholic School.
3. That Peel Regional Police be requested to enforce “No U Turn” violations from 8:20 a.m. to 8:45 a.m. and 3:05 p.m. to 3:30 p.m., for the students attending St. Basil Catholic School.
4. That the Student Transportation of Peel Region be requested to relocate the school bus stops currently located at the south leg of Greybrook Crescent and the entrance driveway to St. Basil Catholic School.
5. That the Dufferin-Peel Catholic District School Board consider reviewing staffing and operation of the Kiss & Ride area at St. Basil Catholic School.
(Ward 3)
(TSC-0003-2016)

GC-0057-2016
1. That Parking Enforcement be requested to enforce parking prohibitions between 3:10 p.m. and 3:45 p.m. on Havenwood Drive, for the students attending Brian W. Fleming Public School
2. That the Transportation and Works Department be requested to review signage in the area for students attending Brian W. Fleming Public School.
3. That the Peel District School Board consider reviewing the staffing and operation of the Kiss & Ride area at Brian W. Fleming Public School.
(Ward 3)
(TSC-0004-2016)

GC-0058-2016
1. That the request for a crossing guard at the intersection of Clarkson Road and Birchwood Drive, for the students attending St. Christopher Catholic School and Whiteoaks Public School, be denied as the warrants are not met.
2. That the City of Mississauga, Ward 2 -Councillor be requested to consider conducting a survey of area residents living on the east side of Clarkson Road to determine if they are in favour of a sidewalk being constructed on the east side of Clarkson Road, for students attending St. Christopher Catholic School, Hillcrest Middle School, Whiteoaks Public School and Lorne Park Secondary School.
3. That the Transportation and Works Department be requested to conduct a speed study on Clarkson Road in the area of Birchwood Drive in order to determine if traffic calming measures should be recommended, for the students attending St. Christopher Catholic School, Hillcrest Middle School, Whiteoaks Public School and Lorne Park Secondary School.

(Ward 2)  
(TSC-0005-2016)

GC-0059-2016

1. That the request for a crossing guard at the east leg of Duke of York Boulevard and Webb Drive, for students attending Fairview Public School, be denied as the warrants are not met.

2. That the Traffic Safety Council be requested to re-inspect existing crossing at the west leg of Duke of York Boulevard and Webb Drive to determine if the warrants continue to be met.

(TSC-0006-2016)

GC-0060-2016

That the request for a crossing guard at the intersection of Brandon Gate Drive and Sigsbee Drive, north leg, for the students attending Corliss Public School, be denied as the warrants are not met.

(Ward 5)  
(TSC-0007-2016)

GC-0061-2016

That the email dated January 7, 2016 from Anna Gentile, from Student Transportation of Peel Region requesting a safety review at the intersection of Mavis Road and Crawford Mill Avenue/Novo Star Drive, and, at the intersections of McLaughlin Road and Novo Star Drive/Arrowsmith Drive from 8:00 a.m. to 8:40 a.m. and from 2:10 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. be received and referred to the Traffic Safety Council Site Inspection Subcommittee for a report back to Traffic Safety Council

(Ward 11)  
(TSC-0008-2016)

GC-0062-2016

That the report from the Manager of Parking Enforcement with respect to parking enforcement in school zones for November and December 2015 be received for information.

(TSC-0009-2016)

GC-0063-2016

That the Action Items List from the Transportation and Works Department for the month of November 2015 be received for information.

(TSC-0010-2016)

GC-0064-2016

That the Minutes of the Walk to School Subcommittee meeting on January 13, 2016, be received.

(TSC-0011-2016)
GC-0065-2016
That the amount of up to $1,500 be approved to cover the costs of printing materials used for the Walk to School Program.
(TSC-0012-2016)

GC-0066-2016
That the Minutes of the Public Information Subcommittee meeting on January 22, 2016, be received.
(TSC-0013-2016)

GC-0067-2016
That the Judy Richards, Principal of Oscar Peterson Public School, be named the recipient of the 2015 Dr. Arthur Wood Safety Award.
(TSC-0014-2016)

GC-0068-2016
That the deputation and associated PowerPoint presentation by Glenn Gumulka, Executive Director, SustainMobility with respect to SustainMobility Overview and Tour de Mississauga opportunity, be received.
(MCAC-0060-2015)

GC-0069-2016
That the deputation and associated PowerPoint presentation by Erica Duque, TDM Analyst, Region of Peel, with respect to Community Based Social Marketing Program in Mississauga, be received.
(MCAC-0055-2015)

GC-0070-2016
That the Communications and Promotions Subcommittee meet to address the matter of communication and promotion of cycling-related issues to Mississauga residents and report back to the Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee at a future meeting. (MCAC-0056-2015)

GC-0071-2016
That the Memorandum dated October 23, 2015 from Karen Morden, Legislative Coordinator, regarding the 2016 Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee meeting dates, be received.
(MCAC-0057-2015)

GC-0072-2016
That the Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee accepts and supports the request from Roy Buchanan, Citizen Member, to be absent from Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee meetings until April 2016.
(MCAC-0058-2015)

GC-0073-2016
1. That the Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee supports hosting the 2016 Annual Joint Cycling Committee of Ontario in the City of Mississauga.
2. That Irwin Nayer, Vice-Chair, Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee, undertake to coordinate the details of hosting the 2016 Annual Joint Cycling Committee of Ontario.

3. That up to $700.00 be allocated in the 2016 budget for expenses associated with hosting the 2016 Annual Joint Cycling Committee of Ontario meeting. (MCAC-0059-2015)

GC-0074-2016
That the PowerPoint Presentation regard Pingstreet Application as presented by Ivana Di Millo, Director, Communications, Shawn Slack, Director, IT, and Wendy McClymont, Manager, 311 Citizen Contact Centre, be received for information (MCAC-0001-2016)

GC-0075-2016
That the Proposed 2016 Cycling Network Program Memorandum dated January 8, 2016 prepared by Jacqueline Hunter, Active Transportation Coordinator, be received for information. (MCAC-0002-2016)

GC-0076-2016
That the 2015 Cycling Count Summary Memorandum dated January 8, 2016 prepared by Jacqueline Hunter, Active Transportation Coordinator, be received for information. (MCAC-0003-2016)

GC-0077-2016
1. That the letter dated December 18, 2015 from Glenn Gumulka, Executive Director, SustainMobility, regarding the management of the Tour de Mississauga, be received for information.
2. That the Members of the Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee support the transfer of the management of the Tour de Mississauga to SustainMobility.
3. That the Tour de Mississauga Subcommittee of the Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee continue to work with SustainMobility on the Terms and Conditions relating to the transfer of the management of the Tour de Mississauga to SustainMobility. (MCAC-0004-2016)

GC-0078-2016
That the amount of up to $300.00 be approved for the costs associated with the attendance of a Member of the Mississauga Cycling Advisory Committee to the Cycle Tourism Conference on March 4, 2016, in Toronto, Ontario. (MCAC-0005-2016)

GC-0079-2016
That staff be directed to review the policy regarding the accessibility of motorists to traffic cameras in Mississauga and report back to General Committee.

GC-0080-2016
That the verbal update regarding Inspiration Lakeview be received for information.
GC-0081-2016
That the verbal update regarding the Commissioners' Performance Management Program Feedback, be received.
Good morning or afternoon Mayor Bonnie Crombie and Councilors:

In November you were all sent information relating to the issues being brought forward at this. I hope that you have reviewed and verified these documents as they are part of these first problems. The inspection of only Gordon Woods records indicate the failure of proper representation and intent of the Region of Peel to direct their efforts to other than the interest of the Taxpayers of Mississauga. Their actions have been backed by the Council possibly without their knowledge of the facts. They were all on record and available to all parties that presented or approved of the submission or motions related. Unfortunately I may not be available to present this myself, but I have authorized the party reading this file to read it for the record on my behalf. I will be available to respond to any and all Email messages as the attending members of this meeting were provided with that information when the documents were sent to them. Any and all communication should be relative to these two issues. Any other issues would be responded to after these issues are resolved.

We are bringing to your attention 2 basic issues that relate to both Sanitary and Storm Sewers. The inspection and review of Documents provided from the records of the Region of Peel were requested due to the fact that a Petition from the Residents of the Special and Distinctive area of Gordon Woods in 1975 was presented to Council accompanied by a letter detailing the area affecting the Properties and Forest of this distinctive area. This Petition consisting of over 80% of the affected properties did not want the installation of sewers into the Streets as designated and the Petition and letter was reviewed and approved by Council and the Region was directed accordingly of the recommendations and conditions required to install Sewers into these restricted Streets. A copy of these documents has been supplied to yourselves as we expect that this information was not provided to the Council when Sewers were being considered for any portion of this area and without this knowledge there would not appear to be any reason not to allow the installation.

These records were readily available from the Region of Peel Records and on a number of occasions petitions were requested and presented through our Councillor Mr. Iannicca, but they did not receive the required approval. It appears as this resulted in altering the procedure to satisfy a Builder or Developer. At the same time the Region of Peel was installing Sewers into these Streets without complying with the required recommendations. When questioned on this
action, we were advised that if a Builder or Developer wanted a Sewer installed into these Streets and he would pay for it they would install it. This circumvents the Council requirements. The proper and responsible and allowable solution is not quite that simple.

It is important for the Resident Taxpayers to know that both the City of Mississauga and the Region of Peel are truly working for and on behalf of the Cities Resident Taxpayers. When an issue is brought to the Councilors to be to be considered and is approved, does the Region of Peel have the authority to circumvent the approved order? If so what is the point in Council approving a process or restriction if the Region and even a Councilor appears to be able to create methods to circumvent the process if it assists a Builder or Developer. This appears to have been the process on Gordon Drive when the majority of Resident Property Owners did not approve the Petition that was requested and presented, but it failed to have the required signature for approval. The records tell the story. The records reviewed were only a minor portion of the records relating to costs and spending of the Taxpayers monies that are required to operate the necessary functions of a City. It is the responsibility of the Councilors to control and make certain that any and all expenditures are necessary and the reason and source relate to the complete expenditure. Currently the City and the Region of Peel have, as per the attachment requested that the current Property Taxpayers pay an additional tax to provide expansion of the current Storm Sewers. The purpose and reason for the requirement is that when Land is rezoned for Development purposes immediately increased the Property value and as it contributed to the need in the future to enlarge both Sanitary and Storm Sewers, they have the Developer include the cost of Installation for the direct sewers in the Development Plan, but, they have not taken the future coat of expansion for the current section of the sewers to accommodate the additional water and waste to be added to the current amount and therefore when the expansion is required the current taxpayers are assessed. They have paid for the current system and if it is necessary to expand the system then when rezoning is approved the additional cost for the required future expansion should be assessed against the property to account for the additional costs for expansion. The Planning Department, the Issuing of Building Permits as well as the Region of Peel should be working together on behalf of the Taxpayers and apply the costs of expansion to the beneficiaries of the rezoning profit. This should not be directed to the Taxpayers that are not receiving the benefit. That is their job. The Region of Peel is directly responsible for the supply and installation of all sewers. Their staff contains mainly Engineers and Administration on associated departments for this purpose and their efforts should be directed to saving costs, avoiding flooding, not just directed at moving waste and water, but working with the Environmental to benefit from the process. The review of the Gordon Woods reports, indicate that this has not been a consideration and yet they claim to be “WORKING FOR US”. This can be done but it takes the interest, desire, understanding and responsibility to accomplish. The solution is available. These issues would require a change in Policies, Planning, Building Permit Restrictions and requirements.

The City of Mississauga is in actually a Large Business and should be controlled and all Divisions and associated Entities must consider the rights of the Taxpayers and use made of the Tax Dollars that they have placed with the City for economical and necessary infrastructure and services. In doing so they must consider the source and benefits expansion requires. Expansion
of infrastructure is a direct result of changing zoning areas to increase the development and requirement to expand sewer services. The current sewer system accommodates the current users, but in order to include the expansions required by the development it may be necessary to proceed with source and cost controls. This requires road excavation, service restrictions in the areas of the construction, removal and replacement of sewers, repaving the road, engineering services prior to the approval of work. Where do the funds for the engineering service come from, Property Taxes? Is there a cost report from the Region for all of the time, effort and individuals and overheads applicable? These costs should not be paid for by the Taxpayers as this is a cost due to the expansion of development areas and should be directed to the Land that has increased in value due to the rezoning. These are issues that the Council representatives and the City of Mississauga Departments and Divisions associated should be concerned with and controlling and not increase taxes to pay for these benefits.

Over the years the reconstruction of homes automatically increases the Tax Dollars due to the new home on the property. The Tax Dollars increase automatically as the general House and Property Values increase. The Mill rate has not been decreasing accordingly. It is noted, that the Region of Peel appears to be in control of the majority of the Tax Revenue to maintain a staff of Engineers and Departments that are purported to be working for us. We would expect that to mean that they are controlling costs and spending and applying costs to the source that benefits from their efforts and their internal costs would be directed for that purpose. The records that were requested represented only the Gordon Woods Distinctive area and the review disclosed many questionable actions, which indicate that a thorough investigation and review of all actions relating to the use and placement of the Taxpayers moneys that appear to have no restrictions or controls over where it is used or if it is properly used for the Taxpayers benefit. The purpose of a Budget allocation is for a specific purpose and not as a source for any other purpose. It appears that the Taxpayers require a watchdog to protect their Tax Dollars from being used without controls or restrictions. The Region of Peel should be accountable to the Taxpayers and controlled by them as they are paid by the Taxpayers.

Newspaper Articles

Storm water Charge:
The Storm Water charge by Rachael Williams.
The issue relating to the need for a Storm water Charge, was questioned in the Newspaper. The Taxpayers of Mississauga are being directed ad required to pay for an expansion of sewer systems that are benefiting from. The expansion is necessary due to the rezoning of property that is the basic cause for the needed expansion. Our City Management, and all departments associated with should have applied the direct cost and determine the additional expansion and costs to be incorporated into the rezoning fee. This fee could be applied against the property and be collected the same as was done when a Petition for sewers was not approved and a procedure was determined to be the answer to satisfy Builders and Developers. This would be for a justifiable reason and not a method to circumvent an order of Council. The city and Region must be held accountable for their actions and failures to protect the interests and rights
of the Taxpayers. Rezoning should provide a reasonable and fair profit to Owners and Developers, but must also be held responsible for changes necessary to the infrastructure. A telephone enquiry to the noted Office resulted in the explanation for the need of expansion. Sump pump and rain water has been directed by the sewer installations by the Region. It appears that the reason and purpose for this work and expansion is due to the additional need to enlarge the pipeline in order to allow the additional water expected due to the additional development of Land that has been upgraded to allow for the increase of population due to high rise and surface land being covered. This creates an increase in Tax Revenue, but the City and Region did not consider that necessary changes should have been considered in the increase resulting form the rezoning. This is not acceptable.

Property Tax jump close to 3%, this article was followed up with another article implying that additional Taxes may increase as much as 6 or 7%. As property increased in value and new Houses were being built to replace older homes again the result was additional taxes. Where is the Taxpayers money going and is it being controlled and properly managed?

What may be the actual LRT Cost, including the Region of Peel time and charges? Who determines how much of the Taxpayers monies are directed to the Region of Peel? There are many more questions relating to the monies released to the Region that will require an investigation.

Based on the fact that the Region of Peel has completely ignored the procedure approved by the Council with a procedure to follow restricting of Sewers on the recorded Streets it questions the power and justification of the Council that is supposed to represent the Residents of the City of Mississauga.

The records indicate that The Region of Peel ignored the Councils approval and recommendations relating to the installation of Sewers on the restricted Streets and the City followed up by ignoring the affected properties and implied that the process could apply to as few as 3 properties, when they were denied by petition to install sewers for and on behalf of Builders or Developers. These facts are on record. The cost for the need to enlarge the Sewer Systems being both the Storm and Sanitary Sewers is not the results of the current Resident Taxpayer it is the result of the failure of the City and the region to allocate this necessity to the results of rezoning property for Builders, Developers and current property owners that want to sever land for building. This problem could have been eliminated if both the Region and the City took time to consider the results of rezoning and applied the cost to infrastructure to the to the rezoning process and not apply the burden to the present taxpayers. With proper thought and planning this could be accomplished without an unfair taxation. The records indicate many questionable costs that have been applied to the Taxpayers that foresight could have prevented. This is the responsibility of the Council, City and the Region of Peel. The Region of Peel claims to be working for the Taxpayers. They do not appear to have provided Budgets or spending reports to justify any and all work done for the Taxpayers and yet they are paid by Taxpayers Funds.
It was suggested to me by the Legal Department of the City that if there is a question about the 1975 Pension, then it should be the Courts to settle it, as they did not have time to review the issue. If it is necessary to proceed with legal action then so be it and that action would require a complete review and investigation relating to where and how the Taxpayers money is being spent and applied. It will be necessary to investigate accountability, purpose and the source and reason for the required expenditure as well as all relative costs.

Thank you for your time and consideration in allowing these issues to be brought to your attention and I assume that you will investigate and verify these facts and hopefully these problems can be resolved without the necessity of Legal Action. Please confirm your intent to me direct by Email and advise me as to how soon I can expect a decision as if I do not have a suitable response within one week, it will be necessary to proceed with alternative action. Your compliance and consideration is necessary in order to protect our City and the Taxpayers interest and costs.

Nenamar Humanitarian & Environmental Assistance.

Neil J. Maher
List and purpose of documents:

Re: 1975 Petition.

1) Letter from Aretha Adams of Region of Peel confirming request for records (2 pages)
2) Letter from Gordon Woods Homeowners Association. (2 pages)
3) Receipt of Letter by Council
4) Approval 75-426-12 and recommendation PW-367-75.
5) NHEA File record of Petition and recommendations.
6) Map of Streets restricted from Sewers from Region of Peel records.
7) Letter Sept 16 Public Meeting for Sept. 16, 2014, paragraph 4 Mr. Iannicca denies any Petition or approval. (2 pages)
8) Letter of Jan.21 from M. Halladay given to Mr. Iannicca withy Signed copies of Property Owners indicating the majority of over the required number advising again that no sewers to be installed as per the 1975 Petition. This was to be presented by Mr. Iannicca at the next Council Meeting.
9) Map of properties showing the properties against Sewers.

The following is related to the enlargement of Storm Sewers as per the City requesting Taxpayers to pay for the enlargement of the current sewers. This is a result of property rezoning and not allowing for the infrastructure enlargements necessary to handle the additional water directed to the Sewer Systems. The rezoning of property results in a very large increase in property value of the rezoned property and the developer causes the requirement to enlarge the facility. The zoning change should include an infrastructure charge associated to the increased value of the property. This should be retained to cover the cost when enlargement and additional sewer facilities are required. As the value increases the liability for future costs should be applied. This can be and should have been done years ago. The current Taxpayers did not cause the problem and they should not be required to pay for it.

10) Letter from Mr. Iannicca for Sept. 23, 2015 meeting that related to rezoned property that altered the single home properties to allow build 29 Townhouses and a 32 and a 25 story Towers. (2 pages)
11) Copy of the additional requirement to expand the Storm Sewer System.
12) Copy of Letter from Mr. Iannicca related to Nov. 26 meeting to inform residents of the City plan for the future.
13) Reply from David Culham re Petition.
14) Some of the E-mail correspondence with Region of Peel re Sewers
October 28, 2014

Mr. Neil Maher
2241 Taylors Orchard
Mississauga, ON L5B 2T1

Subject: Request for Access to Records

Dear Mr. Maher:

We acknowledge receipt of your request under the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (the Act) was transferred from the City of Mississauga and received in our office on October 20, 2014 for the:

- Records relating to Gordon Woods sanitary sewers and the surrounding core area streets that were protected by the 1976 petition from 1976 to 2014; and
- Records showing the outcome of the petition that was received at the August 14, 1975 council meeting (resolution number 75-318) including if any, zoning bylaws, restrictive covenants, or other bylaws.

We have now had an opportunity to discuss your request with you by telephone on October 27, 2014. We agreed that the request would now focus on:

- Records relating to the Gordon Woods area, including the following streets, Gordon Drive, Parker Drive, Harborn Trail and Isabella Avenue that would lead up to the installation of sanitary sewers and records showing how the interest of the 1976 petition and the resulting recommendations were met in all sanitary sewer installation from that time (1976) to present date including recommendations.
- Records showing the outcome of the petition that was received at the August 14, 1975 council meeting (resolution number 75-318) including if any, zoning bylaws, restrictive covenants, or other bylaws.

You have advised that your request will not include records that concern the actual construction of the sewers, only records leading up to the decision to install sanitary sewers in the Gordon Woods area from 1976, the time of the petition to present.

If this understanding is not correct, please contact us as soon as possible.
This letter serves as a notice that it is this request that the Region of Peel is proceeding to process.

If you have any questions about this letter, please contact Ms. Kathy Newbert at (905)791-7800, ext. 2875 or via email at kathleen.newbert@peelregion.ca.

Sincerely

Aretha Adams
Manager, Access to Information & Privacy
for Kathryn Lockyer
Director of Clerk’s and Regional Clerk

AA:kn
Gordon Woods Homeowners' Association

MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO

July 25, 1975

Councillor David J. Culham

Dear Mr. Culham:

Mrs. Audrey Wilson, president of the Gordon Woods Homeowners' Association, has asked me to write to you with respect to the installation of sanitary sewers on Gordon, Parker, Isabella, Harbor Trail, Ricester Plains and Autumn Breeze. We understand they are in the 1976 programme and we thank you for telling us this.

Virtually, all homeowners have been petitioned to express their views both for and against. The results are attached. On the basis of a single vote per home 81% of the owners oppose sewers; surely an impressive majority and a clear mandate not to go ahead now or in the foreseeable future.

No effort was made to persuade homeowners to petition for or against. My own view and that of many people is that those petitioning in favour of sewers did so largely because of past trouble with septic tanks or simply thought it a good idea. Since the view of a minority, however small, should be respected we respectfully suggest that the former group could install completely new septic systems for considerably less capital than their cost of public sanitary sewers.

The installation of sewers in this area is an almost complete waste of public and private money. Most of the lots are large, the soil is sandy and well drained and the water table is relatively high encouraging growth of trees, wild flowers and gardens. The capital cost of septic tanks has already been incurred and, properly built, maintenance is minimal, a fraction of the cost of installing and connecting sewers. Given this kind of terrain septic tanks are wholly sanitary, in fact add to the growing capacity of the soil adjacent to the bed.

The Gordon Woods area is unique and a very lovely part of Mississauga and should be preserved. Many of the houses are 200 feet from the road and the physical and potential ecological damage to the land by the needed deep trenching is very real. Sewers do have the effect of lowering the water table with foreseeable damage.

Apart from this aspect we have had estimates as high as $4,500.00 at some homes to connect and perform some of the needed alterations at the house itself.

The view of over 80% of the residents is that sewer facilities will only be installed by bureaucratic decree as being "the right thing to do" or by pressure from developers operating within this area or on the fringe of it.

[23-14-354 NOTE: This text and page numbers have been applied to facilitate MRIPPA disclosure and are not part of the original document. Page 7 of 176]
In very simple language we are asking yours and council's support not to spend our own and public funds (surely a scarce commodity) on something a clear majority neither wants or needs. Is that unreasonable?

I sincerely hope you find it refreshing to have a ratesayers group petition council not to spend money on them and we look for yours and council's support.

Cordially,

[Signature]

F. E. P. Criggs
Region of Peel

September 25, 1975
File: 75-001.2

Chairman and Members
Public Works Committee
Regional Municipality of Peel

Re: Local Sanitary Sewers in Gordon Woods Area - City of Mississauga

Regional Council at their meeting on August 14, 1975, received and referred to the Public Works Committee a letter from the Gordon Woods Homeowners Association. In this letter dated July 25, 1975 and addressed to Councillor Culham objections are set out to the construction of sanitary sewers to serve Gordon Drive, Parker Drive, Isabella Avenue, Breezy Pines Drive, Harborn Trail, Autumn Breeze Drive and Harborn Road.

As part of the Region's programme to provide sanitary sewer service to existing homes in urban areas, the Gordon Woods area is included in the proposed 1976 Capital Works Programme. This area, which is illustrated on the attached key plan, is the only neighbourhood in Mississauga east of the Credit River which is still served by private sewage disposal systems.

There are 122 homes in the area and the petition which was presented was signed by 92 of these owners. Seventy-five of the petitioners signified that they were against the construction of sanitary sewers in their area and 17 were in favour.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT construction of sanitary sewers on Gordon Drive, Parker Drive, Breezy Pines Drive, Autumn Breeze Drive, Isabella Avenue between Parker Drive and Gordon Drive, Harborn Trail, Harborn Road west of Orange Drive and Premium Way east of Lynchmere Avenue be deleted from the proposed 1976 Capital Works Programme AND FURTHER THAT the construction of sanitary sewers on the aforementioned streets be reconsidered when the property owners concerned petition Council for construction of sanitary sewer services AND FURTHER THAT the Clerk advise each property owner affected of this action.

cc Mr. R.L. Frost

Commissioner of Public Works

[223-14-354 NOTE: This text is machine printed and has been applied to facilitate MFIPPA disclosure and are not part of the original document, Page 11 of 176]
RECOMMENDATION PW-365-75:

That the lands described in Deeds of conveyance to
The Regional Municipality of Peel, listed as Schedule
"A", to the report No. 44 from the Commissioner of
Public Works dated October 15, 1975, be dedicated
for Public Highway purposes and that the necessary
by-law be authorized for presentation to Council.

Approved 75-426-11

RECOMMENDATION PW-366-75:

That a total of 520 feet of 8 inch diameter sanitary
sewer on William Street in Bolton between Highway 50
and Oak Street be replaced at a total estimated cost
of $22,000.00, of which $12,000.00 has already been
approved by Resolution 75-216-20 and that the necessary
by-law to amend By-law 140-75 be authorized for presentation
to Council;

And further that the additional $10,000.00 be financed
from the 1975 Capital Programme item for "Various Sewer
Replacements and Unallocated."

Approved 75-426-12

RECOMMENDATION PW-367-75:

This recommendation was amended to read:

"That construction of sanitary sewers on Gordon Drive,
Parker Drive, Breezy Pines Drive, Autumn Breeze Drive;
Isabella Avenue between Parker Drive and Gordon Drive;
Harborn Trail, and Harborn Road west of Grange Drive
be deleted from the proposed 1976 Capital Works Programme;

And further that, the construction of sanitary sewers
on the aforementioned streets be reconsidered when
the property owners concerned petition Council for
construction of sanitary sewer services;

And further that, the Clerk advise each property owner
affected, of this action."

Approved 75-426-13

RECOMMENDATION PW-368-75:

That the Region not award a contract for the watermain
on Tommy Road from Dundas Street to Homeric Drive
and that the Region undertake connection work at Dundas
Councl Minutes – August 14, 1975

Received.

PETITION

Letter addressed to Councillor D. J. Culham from the Gordon Woods Homeowners Association enclosing a petition which contains a total of 126 signatures of which 103 are against and 23 are in favour of the installation of sanitary sewers on Gordon Drive, Parker Drive, Isabella Avenue, Harborn Trail, Breezy Pines Drive and Autumn Breeze Drive.

Received and referred to the Public Works Committee.

Moved by Councillor J. I. McMullin,
Seconded by Councillor J. E. Archdekin;

That Council move into Committee of the Whole to consider Reports.

Carried 75-318

RECOMMENDATION PW-367-75:

This recommendation was amended to read:

"That construction of sanitary sewers on Gordon Drive, Parker Drive, Breezy Pines Drive, Autumn Breeze Drive, Isabella Avenue between Parker Drive and Gordon Drive, Harborn Trail, and Harborn Road west of Grange Drive be deleted from the proposed 1976 Capital Works Programme;

And further, that the construction of sanitary sewers on the aforementioned streets be reconsidered when the property owners concerned petition Council for construction of sanitary sewer services;

And further, that the Clerk advise each property owner affected, of this action."

Approved 75-426-13
January 21, 2015

Mr. Nando Iannicca,
Councillor, Ward 7,
City of Mississauga,
300 City Centre Drive,
Mississauga, ON, L5B 3C1

Dear Mr. Iannicca:

Please receive, on behalf of 32 home owners in Gordon Woods, herewith, a Petition against the installation of Sanitary Sewers as a Local Improvement Project in the Gordon Woods. Home owners object on the basis of unnecessary expense, and potential damage to the forest by reducing effluent contribution and permitting subdivision of lots and consequential elimination of trees.

These 32 signatures represent a majority of 2/3 of the remaining septic system properties as recognized by the Region of Peel.

Sincerely,

M. Halladay
Councillor Nando Iannicca’s Notice of Informal Public Meeting
Edenshaw / Rabba - 2120 Hurontario Street Application (OZ 12/003 W7)
Wednesday, September 23, 2015 at 7:00 p.m.
300 City Centre Drive, 2nd Floor, Hearing Room

Dear Residents of the Gordon Woods,

A revised development application has come in on the file noted above which you will more commonly recognize as the lands on the west side of Hurontario Street over to Grange Drive from the existing Rabba plaza at Harborn Trail and Hurontario, up to approximately but not including the existing floral shop and the Turner and Porter Funeral Home.

Many of you will recall that when the original application came forward to Planning and Development Committee on November 19, 2012 all of Planning and Committee and I overwhelmingly rejected the proposed application.

Municipal planning staff now advise that:

“Gordon Woods Developments Limited, have made a revised submission to the City of Mississauga regarding their Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning applications under File OZ 12/003 W7 for their lands on the west side of Hurontario Street, north of Harborn Road, extending over to the east side of Grange Drive. This submission proposes changes from the plan that was originally proposed and was presented at the November 19, 2012 Public Meeting at Mississauga Civic Centre.

The owners have acquired 3 additional properties along Grange Drive (2129 – 2143 Grange Drive) immediately north of their previous land holdings (approximately .45 ha or 1.0 acre) and added an additional 29 townhouse units (now 49 townhouse units), reduced the height of the north tower from 36 storeys to 32 storeys, and increased the height of the south tower from 22 storeys to 25 storeys. The total number of units proposed is now 594 (579 previously). The proposed Floor Space Index (FSI) of the development has been reduced from 4.45 to 3.33. The applicant is also now proposing an access point to the development from Grange Drive to attempt to address some of their traffic issues until a mid-block condominium road can be constructed to link north to Bronte College Court through potential future developments or south through the plaza.
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Many of you will recall that when the original application came forward to Planning and Development Committee on November 19, 2012 all of Planning and Committee and I overwhelmingly rejected the proposed application.

Municipal planning staff now advise that:
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The owners have acquired 3 additional properties along Grange Drive (2129 – 2143 Grange Drive) immediately north of their previous land holdings (approximately .45 ha or 1.0 acre) and added an additional 29 townhouse units (now 49 townhouse units), reduced the height of the north tower from 36 storeys to 32 storeys, and increased the height of the south tower from 22 storeys to 25 storeys. The total number of units proposed is now 594 (579 previously). The proposed Floor Space Index (FSI) of the development has been reduced from 4.45 to 3.33. The applicant is also now proposing an access point to the development from Grange Drive to attempt to address some of their traffic issues until a mid-block condominium road can be constructed to link north to Bronte College Court through potential future developments or south through the plaza."
The City of Mississauga’s new stormwater charge will appear on your Region of Peel water bill beginning in 2016.

Mississauga is a growing city. With more people living here and more businesses operating here, the amount of water running off hard surfaces such as roofs and parking lots is increasing. In addition, changes to our climate are bringing heavier rainfalls and rapidly melting snow. These changes mean there is more stormwater entering our system than ever before, causing costly wear and tear on our already aging infrastructure.

Council approved a stormwater charge in May 2015. If you own property in Mississauga, the new stormwater charge will appear on a Region of Peel water bill for your property beginning in 2016. The charge is a dedicated source of funding to keep our stormwater system in good working order and avoid costly repairs in the future. Our stormwater system protects water quality and lowers the risk of flooding to you, your property and the environment.

Different types of properties have different amounts of stormwater runoff. Houses in Mississauga will be put into one of five tiers based on the size of their rooftop area.

Multi-residential (rental/condo) and non-residential (institutional, industrial or commercial) properties will be charged based on a measurement of the hard surfaces on their property.

For more information please visit stormwatercharge.ca and use the Stormwater Charge Estimator tool to look up the stormwater assessment for your address, including a calculation of your estimated 2016 charge.

For more information or an accessible format call (905-615-4311 if outside city limits)
Councillor Nando Iannicca’s Public Meeting
The Future of Cooksville and the Hurontario Corridor
Thursday, November 26, 2015 at 7:00 p.m.
300 City Centre Drive, 2nd Floor, Hearing Room

Dear Residents,

With the Provincial announcement in April this year to fully fund the Hurontario-Main Light Rapid Transit line, and almost a half dozen studies now underway, I wanted to host a community public meeting unlike any other I have ever organized.

Staff will be in attendance to make individual presentations on the Hurontario Rapid Transit line; Vision Cooksville Study; Dundas Corridor Study; Downtown Cooksville Community Centre Study; Downtown Growth Area Park Provision Study; and finally, representatives from the Trillium Hospital to speak to the planning exercise that is now underway for the Downtown Hospital precinct.

One of the things you may have already picked-up on is that virtually all of these studies being undertaken by staff now refer to “Downtown” Cooksville which is indicative of the transformation that will be taking place in the years to come.

The sole purpose of this meeting is to give longstanding ratepayer groups and interested residents an opportunity to try and understand and question the “why, what, and how” of this transformation. So instead of discussing an individual application this is a look into the future at the very time the pieces of that future puzzle are being created.

I hope to see many of you in attendance and can promise you that in addition to a very interesting and informative evening, you will also have the opportunity to question those individuals who are formulating the policies of the future from which they recommend whether and how the parcel of land adjacent to your home may or may not be developed.

Kindest personal regards,

Nando Iannicca, Councillor, Ward 7
Seems good Neil
Prior to 1975, sewers were just put in based upon a previous 20 capital program. The only difference is the program was transferred in 1974 to the new Region. I had three petitions, one in Sherwood Forest, where people decided to proceed, Oakridges where they decided that they would proceed just on certain streets and Gordonwood, which decided to not proceed at all unless by petition approval. In 1981, a sewer easement put in on a new lot to Harborn would have allowed subdivisions to smaller lots on the north side. However, through negotiations with the owner, I had this easement removed by a Region of Peel motion and the proposed subdivision fell apart.

This defence was helped greatly by Grant and Erica buying the lot to the north of them adding to the land outside the proposed subdivision.

djc
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djc
Good morning Mark.

Thank you for the quick reply.

I still need to have the name of the party that authorized or arranged for the installation of the sewer on Isabella that was in Isabella that the house has been connected to. As well as the department or division of either Region of Peel or the City of Mississauga that arranges for these misc. installations. I realize that as you informed me, that if the installation is paid for from the homeowner or Contractor, then they can have the sewer or connection performed and available for their use as it did not cost the City anything. In an installation of this nature who arranges it and is it controlled by either the Region of Peel or the City of Mississauga to assure that the work is performed properly? And does the Property owner pay the Contractor directly or is the payment directed to either the Region of Peel or the City of Mississauga?

Please see if you can confirm this to me also.

Thank you again for your assistance and effort.

Neil Maher

Again still no spellcheck

I have made comment to your questions in red as you will see below. I have reviewed your concerns with both Planning Departments and our Legal Counsel to determine what servicing is not allowed in this area. This also involved reviewing Mississauga Official plans now and in the past which also included the original local improvement you mention in 1974. We have found nothing in those documents that would cause us to block servicing as you have been suggesting. I believe a public meeting is being scheduled for some time in January by your Councillor in which you may wish to ask
some additional questions and there will be additional staff from the City as well who may be in a better position to address some of your concerns.

--- Original Message ---

From: Neil Maher [mailto:neil.maher@peelregion.ca]
Sent: December 10, 2014 11:18 AM
To: Schiller, Mark
Cc: Bernie Kuhnert

Subject: RE: stream lining the conversation

Good morning Mark,

As you mentioned you prefer to maintain the communication direct to your self. You also mentioned that you do not wear 2 hats.

I advised you of the property that has a Parker address and you were arranging for the sewer connection to be connected into the sewer on Isabella. Your statement was that they have frontage on Isabella and that was acceptable to you and your procedure. They are now almost completed in the connection to the sewer on Isabella.

Please confirm the following:

1) According to the procedure, when a sewer is placed in front of a property, the Owner is responsible for the Sewer Cost as outlined on the Sewer Information report.

Has the Owner now paid the required Sewer charge portion around $30,000.00, and did The Region of Peel invoice him for it or was it invoiced from The City of Mississauga. Was the permit issued by the R of P or Mississauga, and who billed him for the Connection?

When a sewer already exists the owner of a property just needs to apply for a connection permit through our Development Division and pay related fee’s. The larger fee you speak of was for a potential local improvement of which this does not fall under. For this new home a building permit would have been issued by the City of Mississauga and a separate application would have been made for connection to the sanitary sewer system which would also be identified on the building permit. The original installation of this sewer was not charged to the Parker Property as it was not indicated as the frontage, but as you have implied Isabella is the Frontage then that charge must be applied to the Owner. If this was done through a division or department, please advise me of how I can contact them. From our records the sewer on Isabel was not done as a local improvement so charges you are suggesting would not apply.

As there is a current service it will not require looking through the archives and should be available immediately.

Please reply as soon as possible.

Neil Maher

Please excuse any typos as I still have not been able to connect my lost spell check.

On Tue, 11/11/14, Schiller, Mark wrote:

Subject: RE: stream lining the conversation
To: "Neil Maher"
Cc: "Bernie Kuhnert" <kuhnert@idirect.com>, "Labrecque, Dan" <Dan.Labrecque@peelregion.ca>, "O'Connor, Patrick" <Patrick.O'Connor@peelregion.ca>, "Maciver, Robert" <Robert.Maciver@peelregion.ca>, "Slomke, Tom" <Tom.Slomke@peelregion.ca>, "Masley, Aleksander" <Aleksander.Masley@peelregion.ca>, "Germaine, Lynne" <Lynne.Germaine@peelregion.ca>, "Tavana, Mahtab" <Mahtab.Tavana@peelregion.ca>, "iannicca, Nando" <nando.iannicca@mississauga.ca>, "Hopton, Simon" <Simon.Hopton@peelregion.ca>
Dear Mr. Maher,

In order to streamline this ongoing conversation and request for several questions to be answered over the 1975 Gordon Woods petition, I would ask that I be the primary contact at the Region of Peel. This will make better use of staff time and you will get a collective response after we review documents I have informed you we are trying to locate from 40 years ago. Several Divisions are aware of your concern over a couple of different departments. We will respond to your concerns in the near future.

---Original Message---
From: Neil Maher

Sent: November 10, 2014 9:32 PM
To: Schiller, Mark
Cc: Bernie Kuhnert
Subject: Re: Reply and questions

Would you provide me with the names of the Director of that division as well as the party that sends the request and instructions to provide the service. If there is a division that has the authority to provide the requested work, then either they would be responsible for circumventing the Petition and they should have verified the clearance and follow the recommendation. Or, the section that sent them the installation request should have advised them that the request cannot be provided as it should have been verified prior to releasing a work order.

This matter must be rectified before any further work of connections or new installations of Sewers is performed on the Streets of Gordon, Isabella, Parker and Harborn Trail.

Please notify the responsible party of this situation and control their efforts so the problems are not increased.

Thank you for your assistance as I am certain that you will want to keep the damages and costs to a minimum.

Neil Maher

On Mon, 11/10/14, Schiller, Mark <Mark.Schiller@peelregion.ca> wrote:

Subject: Re: Reply and questions
To: " "
Cc: " "

As part of the more detailed response I will provide you in the future I will explain the Regional Structure. The point I was trying to make is that these 1 time connections and sewer extensions are done in another Division being Planning Development

--- Original Message ----
From: Neil Maher
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2014 04:10 PM
To: Schiller, Mark
Cc: Bernie Kuhnert
Subject: RE: Reply and questions

Good afternoon

Thank you for the quick response.

As you mention, you only wear one hat. If so what are the responsibilities and duties applied to that position?

Are you responsible for the installation of Sewers and for the connection to sewers? If so then you arrange for the installation of the sewer and if someone wants to connect to a sewer, you authorize the connection. Is that the basic simple explanation of your association with sewers? And, are you responsible for verifying that the sewer installations qualify under the recommendations applicable to the area considered for installation. Also would you be responsible for making certain that any attachment to a sewer in place had been installed according to the recommendations and if not advise the applicant that a connection was not available?

I expect that the 2 hats is only one that covers both areas of responsibility.
Thank you again and I appreciated you efforts to reply to the questions that were sent earlier.

Neil Maher

On Mon, 11/10/14, Schiller, Mark <Mark.Schiller@peelregion.ca> wrote:

Subject: RE: Reply and questions
To: "Neil Maher" <
Cc: "Bernie Kuhnert"

"Labrecque, Dan" <Dan.Labrecque@peelregion.ca>, "O'Connor, Patrick" <Patrick.O'Connor@peelregion.ca>, "Maciver, Robert" <Robert.Maciver@peelregion.ca>, "Slomke, Tom" <Tom.Slomke@peelregion.ca>, "Masley, Aleksander" <Aleksander.Masley@peelregion.ca>

Received: Monday, November 10, 2014, 3:21 PM

Thank you for your comments. I only where one hat of the two you suggested as the applications for service is done through Development Division and are aware of this ongoing discussion. I will respond to your questions but it will take some additional time as we are trying obtain files from archives from 40 years ago.

——Original Message——
From: Neil Maher

Sent: November 10, 2014 8:30 AM
To: Schiller, Mark
Cc: Bernie Kuhnert
Subject: Reply and questions

Mr. Schiller, I have reviewed your letter and have the following attachment as the reply and it includes a number of question that we would appreciate answers for. Your immediate reply and answers would be appreciated, as I hope that we are all interested in resolving this problem as soon as possible and avoid additional costs and damages.

Thank you for your consideration to the affected properties now and for the future.

Neil Maher
Councillor Nando Iannicca’s Update
Gordon Woods Sanitary Sewer Local Improvement
Public Meeting held on
September 16, 2014 at 7:00 p.m.

Dear Residents in the Gordon Woods:

We had an excellent meeting with Regional staff and a good turnout of area residents and as promised I am reporting back with ‘next steps’.

Based on the discussion, I believe we must have one final community meeting to address some of the questions and concerns that arose. I hope to do so before the end of the year.

Foremost is the community-wide and long standing environmental concerns on how such massive underground infrastructure might harm the unique existing water table and tree canopy. This is reason enough to have another meeting and staff will further analyze and report back.

I take this opportunity to clarify some misconceptions. The first is that this initiative somehow represents a new challenge in the Gordon Woods by introducing sanitary sewers. The fact is the majority of the Gordon Woods already have sanitary service and it is only a minority of approximately 50 homes that have never hooked up. The second is the belief that there have been historic policies in place that prevent sanitary sewers from coming in to the Gordon Woods. There are no such policies as re-affirmed by staff at our meeting.

Several in attendance asked a question which amounts to “Why now?” I again refer to the two previous points plus some added recent history that has brought the issue back to the fore. With the development of the Ross property between Parker Drive and Lynchmere the existing service on Lynchmere provides another opportunity to more efficiently and effectively bring sanitary service in to the core. In so doing a far more cost-effective opportunity presented itself under the existing policy relative to all other previous and existing connection possibilities. More specifically, in the absence of the Ross property the connections servicing those adjacent lands would have required
Nov. 20, 2015

Mayor Bonnie Crombie and the 10 Councilors.

You have been provided with a quantity of documents and a letter indicating the general reason and purpose for this concern and the necessary requirement for an investigation into these two points being brought to your attention at this time. The review and study of the documents received from the Region of Peel as a result of the receipt of documents requested have indicated many additional issues that will require further investigation. The City of Mississauga, the Region of Peel and the elected Councilors have a responsibility and duty to provide necessary services and governments on behalf of and for the Residents and Taxpayers of Mississauga.

As a City grows expansion is necessary, but expansion requires planning and foresight into the results of the current and future costs as a results of the expansion. Developers and Builders are necessary to assist in the expansion, but the representatives for the Taxpayers are responsible for the controlling of the expansion and costs relating to the rezoning for the changes of allowable construction. The issues relating to the points and documents relate only to Sanitary and Storm Sewers and their affect on the infrastructure and costs that were and are currently being affected by expansion as reported by our Ward 7 Councilor on behalf of the City and the Region of Peel efforts. To begin with, there was a Petition presented and approved for the restriction of Sewers on specific Streets which represent a special and exclusive area as stated on the map from the records outlining the restricted Streets and the necessary requirement for consideration for placing Sewers onto these Streets. This Petition was submitted to Council with over 100 Signatures from the affected Properties that represented over 80% of the resident Owners. Note that Developers and Builders are not resident Owners and are only interested in building to the maximum for as much profit as they can make. When land is rezoned the immediate value is increased. This rezoning also places an increase of both Rain and Sewer water being directed into the current system. The result is the necessity to expand the system that has been installed to accommodate the previous volume. This expansion is not a result of the current taxpayers but a result of the rezoning of
property. When property is rezoned the City and Council should consider the results of their actions and assess the Property Owner that is making the major profit from the rezoning an amount that would relate to the future costs of expanding the related sewer systems. This assessment should be retained in a separate fund account to cover the costs when required and not expect the current taxpayers to pay for their benefits.

The installation of Storm Sewers by the Region of Peel is an additional complication that has not been considered by Engineers that are instructed to design and plan the construction of a method to redirect rain water into systems that stops the natural process of filtering and supplying the necessary water to retain Forest and vegetation growth, who’s survival provide necessary oxygen etc. for the communities and special areas. The trees are no different that the human body they require water to avoid dehydration and retain a healthy survival. The result of the Sewer installation has reduced the water table in the Gordon Woods area by more that 5’. Many sump pumps have added to the problem, which is a different issue to be dealt with after the Petition and added charge to the Taxpayer has been dealt with. Until the problems resulting from the failure to proceed with the current Sewer Installation and resolve the resulting damage there is no point in bringing up the rest of the problems.

The future requirement for Storm Sewer expansion can be controlled with a proper and approved process that is currently being prepared for testing and detailing.

Unfortunately I have been faced with family medical problems that require my attention and I am limited in the amount of time I can be away from home. I hope to have a concerned and capable party assist at the meeting if necessary until I am available to contact and discuss this with a representative from the City and the Region of Peel at a convenient for all parties if the City and the Region of Peel are interested and willing to resolve this current problem. Then the other issues can be considered.

Nenamar Humanitarian and Environmental Assistance.

Neil J. Maher
Councillor Nando Iannicca’s Notice of Informal Public Meeting
Edenshaw / Rabba - 2120 Hurontario Street Application (OZ 12/003 W7)
Wednesday, September 23, 2015 at 7:00 p.m.
300 City Centre Drive, 2nd Floor, Hearing Room

Dear Residents of the Gordon Woods,

A revised development application has come in on the file noted above which you will more commonly recognize as the lands on the west side of Hurontario Street over to Grange Drive from the existing Rabba plaza at Harborn Trail and Hurontario, up to approximately but not including the existing floral shop and the Turner and Porter Funeral Home.

Many of you will recall that when the original application came forward to Planning and Development Committee on November 19, 2012 all of Planning and Committee and I overwhelmingly rejected the proposed application.

Municipal planning staff now advise that:

"Gordon Woods Developments Limited, have made a revised submission to the City of Mississauga regarding their Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning applications under File OZ 12/003 W7 for their lands on the west side of Hurontario Street, north of Harborn Road, extending over to the east side of Grange Drive. This submission proposes changes from the plan that was originally proposed and was presented at the November 19, 2012 Public Meeting at Mississauga Civic Centre.

The owners have acquired 3 additional properties along Grange Drive (2129 – 2143 Grange Drive) immediately north of their previous land holdings (approximately .45 ha or 1.0 acre) and added an additional 29 townhouse units (now 49 townhouse units), reduced the height of the north tower from 36 storeys to 32 storeys, and increased the height of the south tower from 22 storeys to 25 storeys. The total number of units proposed is now 594 (579 previously). The proposed Floor Space Index (FSI) of the development has been reduced from 4.45 to 3.33. The applicant is also now proposing an access point to the development from Grange Drive to attempt to address some of their traffic issues until a mid-block condominium road can be constructed to link north to Bronte College Court through potential future developments or south through the plaza."
Dear Residents of the Gordon Woods,

A revised development application has come in on the file noted above which you will more commonly recognize as the lands on the west side of Hurontario Street over to Grange Drive from the existing Rabba plaza at Harborn Trail and Hurontario, up to approximately but not including the existing floral shop and the Turner and Porter Funeral Home.

Many of you will recall that when the original application came forward to Planning and Development Committee on November 19, 2012 all of Planning and Committee and I overwhelmingly rejected the proposed application.

Municipal planning staff now advise that:

“Gordon Woods Developments Limited, have made a revised submission to the City of Mississauga regarding their Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning applications under File OZ 12/003 W7 for their lands on the west side of Hurontario Street, north of Harborn Road, extending over to the east side of Grange Drive. This submission proposes changes from the plan that was originally proposed and was presented at the November 19, 2012 Public Meeting at Mississauga Civic Centre.

The owners have acquired 3 additional properties along Grange Drive (2129 – 2143 Grange Drive) immediately north of their previous land holdings (approximately .45 ha or 1.0 acre) and added an additional 29 townhouse units (now 49 townhouse units), reduced the height of the north tower from 36 storeys to 32 storeys, and increased the height of the south tower from 22 storeys to 25 storeys. The total number of units proposed is now 594 (579 previously). The proposed Floor Space Index (FSI) of the development has been reduced from 4.45 to 3.33. The applicant is also now proposing an access point to the development from Grange Drive to attempt to address some of their traffic issues until a mid-block condominium road can be constructed to link north to Bronte College Court through potential future developments or south through the plaza.
NOTICE OF MOTION

Date: December 8, 2015
To: Mayor and Members of Council
From: Councillor Mrakas
Re: Ontario Municipal Board Jurisdiction

WHEREAS the Town of Aurora spends an incredible amount of resources and taxpayer money developing an Official Plan; and

WHEREAS the Town’s Official Plan is ultimately approved by the Province; and

WHEREAS it is within the legislative purview of Municipal Council to approve Official Plan amendments or Zoning By-law changes that better the community or fit within the vision of the Town of Aurora Official Plan; and

WHEREAS it is also within the legislative purview of Municipal Council to deny Official Plan amendments or Zoning By-law changes that do not better the community or do not fit within the vision of the Town of Aurora Official Plan; and

WHEREAS planning decisions may be appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board (“OMB”), an unelected, appointed body that is not accountable to the residents of Aurora; and

WHEREAS appeals of OMB decisions are limited to questions of law, not the findings of facts in a case; and

WHEREAS all decisions—save planning decisions—made by Municipal Council are similarly only subject to appeal by judicial review and such appeals are limited to questions of law;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED THAT Aurora Town Council requests the Government of Ontario to limit the jurisdiction of the OMB to questions of law or process; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT that the Government of Ontario be requested to require the OMB to uphold any planning decisions of Municipal Councils unless they are contrary to the processes and rules set out in legislation; and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT a copy of this Motion be sent to the Honourable Kathleen Wynne, Premier of Ontario, the Honourable Ted McMeekin, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Honourable Patrick Brown, Leader of the Progressive Conservative Party, the Honourable Andrea Horwath, Leader of the New Democratic Party, and all MPPs in the Province of Ontario; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT a copy of this Motion be sent to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) and all Ontario municipalities for their consideration.
NOTICE OF MOTION

Date: January 19, 2015
To: Mayor and Members of Council
From: Councillor Mrakas and Councillor Thompson
Re: Municipal Summit - Golf Course Redevelopment

WHEREAS Municipal Official Plans contain solid planning principles, adhere to relevant Provincial Policy Statements and are approved by – ultimately – the Province; and

WHEREAS Municipalities face continuing challenges to their Official Plans through recent applications to redevelop former Golf Courses acquired by residential land developers in the GTA for the purpose of converting “private open space” stable neighbourhoods to “residential” land uses;

WHEREAS Multiple municipalities repeatedly fighting the same planning battle(s) is an inefficient and ineffective use of tax-payer money; and

WHEREAS A Summit is a meeting of representatives of government which affords the opportunity to advocate for meaningful reform on a wide range of important issues facing municipalities; and

WHEREAS A Summit provides the opportunity to bring together all municipalities to work collaboratively to find solutions to the increasing pressures of loss of green space within our stable neighbourhoods due to redevelopment applications of golf courses; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED THAT The Town of Aurora host a Municipal Summit to identify issues and define common principles as it speaks to appropriate redevelopment of former Golf Courses situated within stable neighbourhoods; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT staff extend an invitation to all Elected Officials from municipalities in The Golden Horseshoe of Ontario and to the board of directors of AMO; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Staff report back no later than the last Council meeting in February 2016 to define possible venues, dates, and interest to attend a Municipal Summit on Golf Course redevelopment; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT a copy of this Motion be sent to the Honourable Kathleen Wynne, Premier of Ontario, the Honourable Ted McMeekin, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Honourable Patrick Brown, Leader of the Progressive Conservative Party, the Honourable Andrea Horwath, Leader of the New Democratic Party, Chris Ballard, Aurora-Newmarket MPP and all other MPPs in the Province of Ontario, and York Regional Chairman Wayne Emmerson;
Applicant's Proposal:

To revise the official plan and zoning to permit 104 back-to-back townhouses on a private condominium road.

The City will be processing the applications as required by the Provincial Planning Act and we would welcome any comments you may have.

The following studies/information were submitted in support of the applications:

- Survey
- Context Map
- Concept/Site Plan
- Typical Floor Plan, Underground Plan & Elevations
- Tree Inventory/Preservation Plan

The following studies/information were submitted in support of the applications:

- Planning Justification Report
- Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessments
- Environmental Noise & Vibration Study
- Functional Servicing Report
- Easement Information
- Green Initiatives List

Contact City Planner, David Breveglieri at 905-615-3200 ext. 5551 or david.breveglier@mississauga.ca - File: OZ 15/011 W2

Lesley Pavan, Director
Development and Design Division
Planning and Building Department

See other side of notice for additional information and for legal requirements
**Planning Act Requirements:**

The *Planning Act* requires that all complete applications be processed.

The applications are now being circulated to City Departments and Agencies for technical review.

Once this has been completed, a report summarizing the development and the comments received will be prepared by Planning staff and presented at a Public Meeting.

Notice of the Public Meeting will be given in accordance with the *Planning Act* requirements.

A recommendation on the applications will not be presented until after the Public Meeting and all technical comments have been received.

Please contact the Planning and Building Department in writing by mail at 300 City Centre Drive, Mississauga ON L5B 3C1 or by fax at 905-896-5553 or by email at application.info@mississauga.ca if:

- You would like to forward your views on the proposed development. Written submissions will become part of the public record; or

- You wish to be notified of any upcoming meetings.

**More Information:**

Contact the Planner responsible for the file (on previous page) for further details on the actual proposal.

Planning documents and background material are available for inspection at the Planning and Building Department, Planning Services Centre, 3rd floor, Mississauga Civic Centre between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. Please contact the Planner on previous page prior to your visit.

**Date of Notice:** January 26, 2016

http://teamsites.mississauga.ca/sites/18/notices/complete application/oz15011 notice-complete application n6 sw.docx
7. SECTION I - ITEMS FOR AUTHORITY ACTION

7.11 REHABILITATION OF THE SMALL ARMS INSPECTION BUILDING PROJECT
Request to City of Mississauga for Support of a Partnership Project
LISTED AS REPORT TO FOLLOW
Section I – Items for Authority Action

TO: Chair and Members of the Authority
    Meeting #12/15, Friday, January 29, 2016

FROM: Nick Saccone, Senior Director, Restoration and Infrastructure

RE: REHABILITATION OF THE SMALL ARMS INSPECTION BUILDING PROJECT
    Request to City of Mississauga for Support of a Partnership Project

KEY ISSUE
Request to City of Mississauga for support of a partnership project between the Government of Canada, Region of Peel and Toronto and Region Conservation Authority that will include a City funding commitment of $2.5 million to support partial rehabilitation of the Small Arms Inspection Building and up to $1 million over five years, towards the ongoing operation of the building.

RECOMMENDATION
WHEREAS Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) acquired the culturally significant Small Arms Inspection Building in 1992, as part of a larger strategy to create a regional waterfront park;

AND WHEREAS the Small Arms Inspection Building was designated under the Ontario Heritage Act, by City of Mississauga Council on May 13, 2009;

AND WHEREAS the designation under the Ontario Heritage Act places responsibility on TRCA as the building owner, to protect the building and the structure’s key heritage attributes;

AND WHEREAS TRCA has secured a total of approximately $2.5 million from contributions from the Region of Peel, the Government of Canada and the balance of funds remaining from the original purchase and rehabilitation of the site, towards a total estimated cost of phase one of the building restoration of $5.0 million;

THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT TRCA staff be directed to submit a formal request to the City of Mississauga for support of a partnership project between the Government of Canada, Region of Peel and TRCA, that will include a City funding commitment of $2.5 million to support partial rehabilitation of the Small Arms Inspection Building and up to $1 million over five years, towards the ongoing operation of the building;

THAT staff enter into discussions with City of Mississauga staff to negotiate the terms and conditions of the support, which may consider the option of transferring legal ownership of the building from TRCA to the City;

AND FURTHER THAT staff report back at Authority Meeting #4/16, scheduled to be held on April 29, 2016, to confirm the City of Mississauga’s response to TRCA’s request for support.
BACKGROUND
The Small Arms Inspection Building is located at 1352 Lakeshore Road, in the Lakeview community of Mississauga. TRCA acquired the 43,000 ft² (4,000 m²) building in 1992 as part of the 16 hectare (39 acre) property referred to as the Arsenal Lands, which was purchased for the purposes of developing a regional waterfront park that would link Mississauga and Toronto.

The Small Arms Inspection Building and surrounding grounds have played an important role in Canada's history. According to a Heritage Impact Statement completed in 2009 for TRCA, the building was constructed as a wartime project, and comprises of three distinct components: a two-storey front administration wing, a bridge with one and two-storey components and an adjoining rear one-storey inspection plant. The building is the last remaining building of a larger munitions plant that was erected on the site to manufacture firearms used by allied forces overseas during World War II. In 1940, Small Arms Limited was incorporated as a crown company to operate the plant. By 1942, the facility was in full production, and at the height of employment in 1943, the factory employed up to 5,500 workers in three shifts, with women making up 65% of the workforce. Further information pertaining to the history of the building and surrounding grounds, as well as the building's heritage attributes is available upon request.

The City of Mississauga Heritage Advisory Committee recommended that the property be designated under the Ontario Heritage Act and was approved by City Council on May 13, 2009. Since that time, the rich history of the site and its importance within the Lakeview community, has inspired a community-driven and TRCA supported plan to reinvent the building. The proposed vision championed by a group of local residents, known as the Small Arms Society, is for the building to be transformed into a centre for arts, culture, heritage and science. This vision is expected to achieve many benefits including:

- transition of the Lakeview community from an industrial use, into a vibrant mixed-use community;
- attraction of small businesses to the Lakeview community;
- contribution to the health, vibrancy and diversity of the community;
- preservation and interpretation of the remaining heritage features that represent the Lakeview area's contribution to the war efforts in WWI & WWII;
- promotion of education and research related to sustainable urban design and planning;
- enhancement of public amenities and connected network of regional parks and natural open spaces that bridge Toronto and Mississauga on the Lake Ontario waterfront; and
- promotion of art, dance, theatre and culture.

To support the community vision and to abide by the preservation requirements of the Ontario Heritage Act, TRCA staff has led numerous initiatives to confirm capital and operating costs, potential financing strategies and tenants for the rehabilitated building.

At Authority Meeting #4/12, held on May 25, 2012, Resolution #A78/12 was approved as follows:

THAT Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) staff be directed to enter into discussions with Region of Peel staff to include in the 2013-2022 TRCA capital budget for Peel Region, $2 million in special funding for rehabilitation of the Arsenal Building, former Canada Post Corporation property, City of Mississauga.

With the generous support of the Region of Peel, TRCA has been able to fund asbestos removal, mold remediation, conversion of the fire suppression system, undertake general maintenance to keep the building secure and replacement of the building's roof.
In 2014, TRCA staff retained LGA Architectural Partners to develop a schematic proposal for revitalization of the building (architectural drawings available upon request). The design presented by LGA included large conditioned and unconditioned open spaces in the south part of the building, as well as washroom facilities that could serve building and future Arsenal Lands park users. The north part of the building was proposed by LGA to be multi-use space that could support office, artistic, cultural and institutional users.

In 2014, following the work completed by LGA, TRCA staff led an Expression of Interest (EOI) process, seeking submissions from qualified potential partners, with their vision for the redevelopment of the building. Proposed uses submitted to TRCA through the EOI included a submission from the Small Arms Society, and proposals for a private school, an innovation hub and a museum to commemorate Canada’s military heritage. Analysis of the submissions by TRCA staff and through consultation with City of Mississauga staff suggested that the proposed uses did not satisfy one or more of the following requirements:

- did not meet intended vision for the building as a community space;
- did not have adequate capital and operating funding in place to realize the proposed vision; and/or
- did not have a complete business plan in place.

Therefore, TRCA staff did not enter into an agreement with any of the respondents.

In 2015, TRCA staff submitted an application for funding through the Canada 150 Community Infrastructure Program (CIP 150), administered by the Federal Economic Development Agency for Southern Ontario (FedDev) for a partnership project between the Government of Canada, Region of Peel, City of Mississauga, Government of Ontario for the redevelopment of the Small Arms Inspection Building into a centre for arts, culture, heritage and science. The application was intended for an initial phase of the project that would realize redevelopment of the south part of the building, a space of approximately 18,000 ft² (1,670m²). According to the CIP 150 Guidelines, the program permitted a Government of Canada contribution of up to 50% of total eligible project costs; however, priority may be given to those projects that require a contribution of only 33%. The support of the City of Mississauga is critical in order to achieve the funding contributions specified in the CIP 150 guidelines.

TRCA’s CIP 150 application was successful, and the Government of Canada approved a non-repayable contribution of up to $1,000,000 for a project to rehabilitate the south part of the Small Arms Inspection Building (Phase 1). At Authority Meeting #9/15, held on October 30, 2015, Resolution #A202/15 approved the project as follows:

WHEREAS in September of 2015, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) received funding approval for FedDev Ontario for the following projects form Canada 150 Infrastructure Program (CIP 150):

- Rehabilitation of the Small Arms Inspection Building – Phase 1
- Improvement of Claremont Field Centre
- Installation of Fitness Trail at Heart Lake Conservation Area
- Expansion of Petticoat Creek Aquatic Facility;

AND WHEREAS projects approved for funding must be duly authorized or endorsed by a resolution of the Authority;
Item 7.11

THEREFORE LET IT BE RESOLVED THAT the aforementioned projects approved for funding by FedDev Ontario be approved in principle;

AND FURTHER THAT TRCA staff report to the Authority for additional approvals as required.

To support the intended vision approved at Authority Meeting #9/15, at Authority Meeting #10/15, held on November 27, 2015, Resolution #A227/15 on Future Directions in Education at TRCA was amended by Resolution #A228/15 as follows:

THAT the following be inserted before the last paragraph of the main motion:

THAT the Small Arms building at Arsenal Lands be considered within the context of a Community Engagement Centre;

Staff has been directed to collaborate with the The Living City Foundation to improve private and public funding support for TRCA activities related to community engagement centres; which now include the Small Arms Inspection Building.

RATIONALE

The redevelopment of the Small Arms Inspection Building is an exciting opportunity to promote the rejuvenation of Mississauga’s Lakeview community. It is an opportunity to commemorate and celebrate local and national history, while moving toward a sustainable and prosperous future. Lakeview is slated for renewal under the City of Mississauga’s ongoing Inspiration Lakeview and Local Area Plan planning processes. Under the Local Area Plan (adopted by City Council on September 16, 2015), there is consideration for a Special Site on the Arsenal Lands to allow for additional uses such as community facilities, a conference centre, commercial school, restaurant and secondary offices.

Furthermore, as presented in the table below, the proposed building vision complements the City of Mississauga’s Lakeview Local Area Plan themes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Area Plan Themes</th>
<th>Building Redevelopment Contributions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reconnect Citizens to the Waterfront</td>
<td>Serve as a gateway from the urban parts of the community into the waterfront.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Establish itself as a ‘destination’ that will draw people to the waterfront.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health</td>
<td>Provide a vibrant and innovative space for meeting, learning, communicating and reflection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinct Neighborhoods – Preserve Heritage Features</td>
<td>Complement, recognize and promote the Buildings key heritage attributes, its role within the Lakeview community and its role within Canada’s military and economic development history.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Under Phase 1 of the building redevelopment proposed works include replacement or upgrades to the following components:

- electrical system;
- plumbing system;
- mechanical system;
- interior finishes;
- windows;
- site servicing;
- fire safety;
- accessibility; and
- parking and site access.

Capital funding from the City is required to support the aforementioned building and site access upgrades. Furthermore, it is anticipated, that funding will also be required to support the first five years of the building's ongoing operating budget.

Since TRCA is the building owner, one option for the management structure could be as follows:

- TRCA maintains overall project management control of Phase 1 of the redevelopment project and implementation of the vision.
- City of Mississauga will provide support during Phase 1 of the redevelopment.
- City of Mississauga – with support from local community groups such as the Small Arms Society – will be responsible for the ongoing operation and management of the building space redeveloped as part of Phase 1.

Given that the proposed role of the building as a multi-purpose community hub is more traditionally a municipal leadership responsibility; another option for project management could involve transferring ownership of the building to the City. Under this scenario, TRCA staff would support City staff in implementing the vision for the building, including facilitating access to the existing funds available for rehabilitation of the building and the City would take on responsibility for managing all other aspects of the building’s redevelopment and ongoing operation. Ownership of the larger Arsenal Lands is proposed to remain with TRCA.

FINANCIAL DETAILS

It is estimated that the Building will require, at minimum, a capital investment of approximately $12 million to renovate and redevelop the property to support a sustainable and dynamic multi-use facility; of which approximately $5 million in capital funding would be required for Phase 1. The proposed capital investment has been informed through building condition reports prepared for TRCA in 2003, 2006 and 2013, and TRCA’s experience with other building renovation projects.

Funding sources for Phase 1 are proposed as follows:
Item 7.11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1.2 million</td>
<td>Region of Peel</td>
<td>Committed in 2012: Amount that remains from $2.0 million in special funding through 2013-2022 TRCA capital budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>TRCA – Canada Post</td>
<td>Committed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 million</td>
<td>Government of Canada – Canada 150 Community Infrastructure Program</td>
<td>Pending agreement documents from Government of Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Funding from agreements made under Section 37 of Planning Act – City of Mississauga</td>
<td>Pending City of Mississauga Council resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2 million</td>
<td>Special Funding - City of Mississauga</td>
<td>Pending City of Mississauga Council resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.2 million</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DETAILS OF WORK TO BE DONE
TRCA will request a City of Mississauga capital investment of $2 million in special funding and $500,000 from agreements made under Section 37 of the Planning Act for the partial rehabilitation of the Small Arms Inspection Building (Phase 1) and support of up to $1 million over five years, towards the buildings operating budget.

Staff will discuss with City of Mississauga staff the feasibility of the City providing support for the partnership project, as well as the terms and conditions of the support.

Staff will continue to explore additional funding opportunities for the building redevelopment, which may include provincial support and identify potential tenants for the building that would achieve the intended vision.

Report prepared by: Ethan Griesbach, extension 5364
Emails: egriesbach@trca.on.ca
For Information contact: Ethan Griesbach, extension 5364
Emails: egriesbach@trca.on.ca
Date: January 26, 2016
To whom it may concern,

As a long time resident of Applewood Acres in Mississauga, I am writing to express my concern regarding the frequent reckless driving and speeding that occurs on the North Service Road.

I have lived here on the North Service Road all my life. As a student I walked to middle school and high school along this street. I worked for many years at Applewood Plaza and walked there regularly. Many students, commuters, and other pedestrians frequent this street, and there has even been an early learning centre for preschoolers established on the street (at Annapolis and North Service Rd) in the last few years.

In the last couple months, I have witnessed multiple vehicles passing "slower" motorists who were driving at or even slightly above speed limit. These reckless drivers illegally cross over into the other lane of oncoming traffic to get to Cawthra just a little bit sooner than the vehicles they are trying to pass. These incidents occurred in front our house (just mid-way between Redan and Annapolis) or slightly passed our house (closer to Annapolis), where there is a slight curve in the road, which adds even more risk.

Just yesterday afternoon, it happened again - a vehicle passed me and went into the oncoming traffic lane to go around me as I slowed down to pull into my driveway. Aside from being dangerous, it's extremely annoying to feel "intimidated" by speeding drivers who just cannot wait for me to get out of the way, and therefore tail me or aggressively pass and enter the other lane. Having lived here for over 30 years, it's a nuisance that has never gone away.

Now as a mother of 2 young children (ages 1 and 2), it's even more frustrating and frightening to witness this kind of driving behaviour and not see or hear of any attempts to address this problem. I understand the increased risk associated with living on a service road, but this should not excuse or justify the excessive road/traffic laws violations that occur and put people in danger. Furthermore, with the increase of distracted drivers/driving that is occurring everywhere, there is even more risk of pedestrians being harmed or killed.
I am writing to formally express my concern/complaint, as well as to request that the following items/suggestions be addressed at the next council meeting:

1) With a school now on the street, would you please lower the speed limit at least 10 km down to 50km/hr or even down to 40km/hr (typical of school zones inside the neighbourhoods)? Perhaps it could be lowered to 40 km/hr just for a portion of the street on either side of the school.

2) Please consider the value of making law enforcement officers more visible on the side streets to deter drivers from speeding and passing. What reasons are there for not having them present, even for just a short-term period? I understand that in some ways this may be a colossal waste of money, but laws are being broken, and it should matter than innocent people (particularly vulnerable populations such as students, children, and the elderly who frequent this area) are put at risk unnecessarily when reckless drivers are 'allowed' to continue in this manner.

3) I have already put in a request with the City, asking for official signage to be added along the street to remind drivers to slow down, signs to indicate children at play, speed limit signs, etc., to make it clear to drivers that they need to be more cautious. Do you have any feedback on whether or not this has been addressed yet?

4) In addition to official signage, I am requesting that a safety awareness campaign be started in Mississauga, which could involve something as simple and inexpensive as a yard sign that residents could place in their front yards to bring awareness to their driving and hopefully motivate safer driving.

If this idea is unclear, please see attached photo of a sign that I have seen all over the City of Toronto, that parents and families say have helped encourage safer driving in their wards/neighbourhoods. I tried to request a sign for my house, but they counsellor's office said that I would have to ask my own municipality for signs, and that if our counsellors weren't doing something like this, then to request that a similar program be started here.
In short, as a concerned resident of this great city, I believe that my family and I should be able to go outside, walk down the street, drive down the street, and enjoy our neighbourhood without feeling so anxious about the dangers on the road. We should be able to go out confidently knowing that the laws in place matter, are respected, and enforced. At the very least, they should be monitored and any flaws be given proper attention to show residents that they aren't simply being ignored, and that best efforts are being put forth to encourage peace of mind.

Thank you in advance for your time and sincere consideration. I look forward to hearing back from someone once this matter has been received and reviewed, and I look forward to seeing effective solutions put in place as a result.

Best regards,

Jen Thompson
February 4th, 2016

Honourable Kathleen Wynne
Premier and Minister of Agriculture and Food
111 Wellesley Street West Room 281
Toronto ON M7A 1A1

VIA EMAIL

RE: Resolution Requesting that Ontario Cancel RFP for Added Wind Power Generation

Dear Premier Wynne,

Please be advised that the Council for the Township of Wainfleet, at its meeting held on January 26th, 2016, passed the following resolution:

WHEREAS the Independent Electrical System Operator, under Ministerial Directive, issued an RFP for additional renewable energy generation including 300 MW of wind generation and is considering issuing further RFPs for 2016;

AND WHEREAS the December 2015 Auditor General's report confirmed that Ontario is generating surplus electricity with capacity increasing by 19% in the last 8 years while demand fell by 7.5% in the same period. Additional capacity is not required at this time;

AND WHEREAS the Auditor General also reported that the existing Feed In Tariff (FIT) contracts mean that Ontario power consumers will pay a premium of $9.2 billion for renewable power with wind power pricing that is double the prices paid in other jurisdictions;

AND WHEREAS the Ontario Chamber of Commerce reports that the escalating price of electricity is undermining their members' capacity to grow, hire new workers, and attract investment, and that Ontario's electricity costs are among the highest in North America, making the province uncompetitive for business growth;

AND WHEREAS adding wind to Ontario's grid drives CO₂ emissions higher. The Ontario Society of Professional Engineers estimated that wind with natural gas backup produces base-load electricity at about 200 grams of CO₂ emissions/kWh compared with the current system average level of 40 grams CO₂ emissions/kWh;

AND WHEREAS Nature Canada reports that wind power facilities have a substantial negative impact on endangered species including migrating bats and birds as well as destroying habitat for species at risk;

AND WHEREAS wind power is an intermittent source of electricity generation meaning that it cannot be used to replace dependable generating capacity without natural gas as a back-up;
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NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the Township of Wainfleet requests:

1. That the Province of Ontario exercise its rights in Section 4.13 (12) of the current LRP I RFP to ‘cancel the process at any stage and for any reason’ and not issue any new wind generation contracts;
2. That the Province of Ontario hold off any further renewable procurement process until the capacity is actually required and focus on sources that will actually reduce carbon emissions;
3. That the IESO review the outstanding FIT contracts that have not achieved ‘Commercial Operation’, and vigorously enforce the terms of the FIT contract with a view to eliminating further expensive wind generation capacity being added to the grid.

Council has requested that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to all 444 municipalities in Ontario for their endorsement and support, as well as to local MPP’s, the Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario and the Ontario NDP.

On behalf of Council, thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Sarah Smith
Acting Clerk

cc: Honourable Bob Chiarelli, Minister of Energy
Patrick Brown, Leader of the Ontario Progressive Conservative Party
Andrea Horwath, Leader of the Ontario NDP
Tim Hudak, MPP Niagara West – Glanbrook
Cindy Forster, MPP Welland
Ontario Municipalities