Appendix E Arborist Report

Appendix E ARBORIST REPORT

Living Arts Drive Extension, Mississauga, Ontario Arborist Report



Prepared for: City of Mississauga 300 City Centre Drive Mississauga, ON L5B 3C1

Prepared by: Stantec Consulting Ltd. 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo, ON N2L 0A4 (519) 579-4410

165011016

November 20, 2017

Sign-off Sheet

This document entitled Living Arts Drive Extension, Mississauga, Ontario, Arborist Report was prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd. ("Stantec") for the account of City of Mississauga (the "Client"). Any reliance on this document by any third party is strictly prohibited. The material in it reflects Stantec's professional judgment in light of the scope, schedule and other limitations stated in the document and in the contract between Stantec and the Client. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the document was published and do not take into account any subsequent changes. In preparing the document, Stantec did not verify information supplied to it by others. Any use which a third party makes of this document is the responsibility of such third party. Such third party agrees that Stantec shall not be responsible for costs or damages of any kind, if any, suffered by it or any other third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this document.

Prepared by

(signature)

Ms. Jennifer Koskinen, HBESfcon ISA Certified Arborist ON-1234A Tel.: (519) 585-7442 Fax: (519) 579-6733 jennifer.koskinen@stantec.com

Reviewed by

(signature)

Mr. Steve Bendo, OALA, CSLA Landscape Architect Tel.: (519) 585-7420 Fax: (519) 579-6733 steve.bendo@stantec.com

Table of Contents

7.0	REFERENCES	7.1
6.0	DISCLAIMER	6.1
5.2	5.1.4 Root Damage PROTECTING AND MANAGING TREES DURING CONSTRUCTION	5.1 5.2
	 5.1.1 Potential Construction Impacts to Trees 5.1.2 Soil Compaction and Root Damage 5.1.3 Mechanical Damage 	5.1 5.1 5.1
5.0 5.1	CONSTRUCTION IMPACT	5 .1
4.0 5.0		4.1
3.3	PERMIT APPLICATION	3.2
	3.2.1 Tree Impacts3.2.2 Tree Protection Fencing	3.1 3.1
3.2	ANALYSIS	3.1
3.0 3.1	OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS OBSERVATIONS	3.1
2.0 2.1	METHODOLOGY TREE CONDITION RATING	2.1 2.1
1.0 1.1	INTRODUCTION	1.1 1.1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Detailed Tree Inventory	Drawing L-901
Table 2:	General Tree Inventory	Drawing L-901
Table 3:	Tree Impact Summary for Table 1	Drawing L-901
Table 4:	Tree Impact Summary for Table 2	Drawina L-901
Table 5:	Tree Impact Summary	Drawing L-901

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – Tree Management Plan, Drawings L-900 and L-901



Introduction November 20, 2017

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Stantec Consulting Limited (Stantec) has been retained by The City of Mississauga to prepare an Arborist Report and Tree Management Plan (TMP) for the Living Arts Drive Extension in Mississauga, Ontario. The TMP has been prepared to support the Class EA study and preparation of the preliminary project design.

This report outlines the trees that will be impacted by the design of the proposed extension of Living Arts Drive, located between Rathburn Road West and Centre View Drive (i.e., the project area).

1.1 EXISTING SITE

The project area bisects the Cineplex Cinemas parking lot. There are boulevard trees and landscaped areas, with a naturalized area along the north end of the project area between the north end of the parking lot and Centre View Drive.



Methodology November 20, 2017

2.0 METHODOLOGY

The tree inventory and assessment was conducted by Ms. Jennifer Koskinen, HBESfcon, Certified Arborist, on June 14th, 2017, and included trees located within the project area that may be impacted by the project design.

The inventory data includes tree species, general health condition, diameter at breast height (DBH), and dripline radius. Trees greater than 10 cm Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) were tagged with a numbered steel tree tag (i.e., trees #1, #2, etc.). At the time of the assessment, some areas were dense with trees and/or located on adjacent property where access was not available. These areas are identified as vegetation Units 'A', 'B', and 'C'. Detailed tree data and Tree Inventory data for vegetation units are provided in Tables 1 and 2, respectively, of Drawing L-901, located in Appendix 'A' of this report.

Trees locations have been provided through a legal survey provided by the City. The tree locations have been identified on the Tree Management Plan, Drawing L-900, located in Appendix 'A' along with the preliminary design. The tree data and locations were reviewed in conjunction with the preliminary project design to identify trees recommended for preservation or removal.

2.1 TREE CONDITION RATING

Outlined below are the detailed guidelines utilized for the classification of condition rating:

Excellent: (Vigour Class 6: Healthy) Good: (Vigour Class 5: Light Decline)	No major branch mortality: crown is reasonably normal with less than 10% branch or twig mortality; no signs of decay. Branch mortality, twig dieback in 11-25% of the crown: broken branches or crown missing based on presence of old snags is
	less than 26%; minor evidence of decay.
Fair: (Vigour Class 4: Moderate Decline)	Branch mortality, twig dieback in 26-50% of the crown: broken branches or crown area missing based on presence of old snags is 50% or less; decay evident.
Poor: (Vigour Class 3: Severe Decline)	Branch mortality, 50% or more of the crown dead: broken branches or crown area missing based on presence of old snags in more than 50%; decay resulting in high hazard assessment.
Dead: (Vigour Class 2: Dead due to Natural Causes)	Tree is dead, either standing or down: phloem under bark has brown streaks: few epicormic shoots may be present.
Dead: (Vigour Class 1: Dead due to Human Causes)	Tree removed: tree has been sawed or girdled by human activity.



Observations and Analysis November 20, 2017

3.0 OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

3.1 OBSERVATIONS

The project area contained predominantly non-native trees species that were landscape plantings for the parking lot area of the Cineplex Cinemas Mississauga property. The trees were predominantly young and in good condition. Tree species identified during the inventory consisted of:

Amur Maple (Acer ginnala), Russian Olive (Elaeangnus angustifolia), Ash (Fraxinus sp.), White Ash (Fraxinus americana), Honeylocust (Gleditsia triacanthos 'inermis'), Juniper sp. (Juniperus sp.), Apple sp. (Malus sp.), Buckthorn (Rhamnus sp.), and Elm sp. (Ulmus sp.).

3.1.1 Rare and Endangered Species Review

There were no rare or endangered species within the project area.

3.2 ANALYSIS

3.2.1 Tree Impacts

The following is a summary of the total quantity of trees inventoried that are located within the project area; trees to be retained; trees to be removed; and trees included in the removals that are Ash sp.

- Trees to be retained = 33
- Trees to be removed = 47
- Total trees inventoried = 80

A detailed breakdown of the above tree impacts are identified for the detailed tree inventory, and vegetation units as identified in Tables 3 and 4 on Drawing L-901.

3.2.2 Tree Protection Fencing

Proposed Tree Protection Fencing (TPF) has been identified on the Tree Management Plan (TMP) drawing to protect trees to be retained during construction. Sediment control may also be required depending on how close the trees to be preserved are to the construction area.

The TPF details conform to the current City of Mississauga standard details and have been provided on drawing L-900. Detailed information for TPF maintenance, installation and tree protection recommendations have been identified in Section 4.0 of this report. Refer to Drawing



Observations and Analysis November 20, 2017

L-900 in Appendix 'A' for locations of the trees to be retained and proposed locations of Tree Protection Fencing.

3.3 PERMIT APPLICATION

The City of Mississauga By-Law 254-12 (amended by 13-13) has been used to identify tree removal and injury permit requirements. Permitting is required for trees greater than 15 cm DBH; trees that are in poor condition greater than 15cm DBH require a permit but are excluded from the permit fee. Ash trees are exempt under the by-law, as they are being decimated by the invasive emerald ash borer beetle; a separate form is required to be submitted to expedite the removal process for Ash trees.



Soil Management November 20, 2017

4.0 SOIL MANAGEMENT

The following provides guidance to soil management for future planted trees within the new corridor. The main soil properties required to grow a healthy tree are quality, moisture, compaction, and volume.

SOIL QUALITY

- Topsoil will need to be imported to site during tree planting.
- Soil shall meet the following requirements of the City of Mississauga's Subdivision Requirements Manual Specifications, Section 02950: Topsoil will be free of subsoil, roots, grass, weeds, toxic materials, stones, and foreign objects. Topsoil will have an acidity range (pH) of 6.0 to 7.5.

SOIL MOISTURE

- A watering program should be in place to ensure roots do not dry out.
- Mulching the topsoil will aid in maintaining soil moisture.
- Ensure drainage is possible in the case of a rain event. Excess water should drain away from tree roots to prevent roots from being exposed to soil saturation.

SOIL COMPACTION

- Soil should meet a compaction rate of 85% SPD as this is often the quoted density for planting soil.
- A Proctor test will measure compaction of the soil. This is the most common standard to measure compaction.

SOIL VOLUME

- The soil volume for rooting must be large enough to support the intended tree size. Designers responsible for the planting should be required to identify minimum soil volumes required to sustain trees of different sizes.
- Typically, 1,000 cubic feet (28 cubic meters) of soil is required per tree.¹

¹ http://www.deeproot.com/blog/blog-entries/our-recommended-soil-volume-for-urban-trees



Construction Mitigation and Management November 20, 2017

5.0 CONSTRUCTION MITIGATION AND MANAGEMENT

5.1 CONSTRUCTION IMPACT

5.1.1 Potential Construction Impacts to Trees

Trees are living organisms that react to changes in their environment. Trees can be damaged during construction without showing signs of damage until some years later. Most impacts relate to the removal of roots that results in the slow death of the tree because of its inability to absorb sufficient water and nutrients. Contained within this section are descriptions of the potential impacts this project may have on trees, and impact mitigation methods that are intended to aid in the design and construction process.

5.1.2 Soil Compaction and Root Damage

The leading cause of construction damage to trees is compaction of the soil around the roots or within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ). The TPZ is the area around the tree or group of trees in which no grading or construction activity may occur (Harris 1992). Equipment entering a TPZ compresses the air pockets around the roots inhibiting the tree from absorbing nutrients and water. This damage ultimately reduces the health of the tree. Accordingly, during the removal stage, equipment use within the preservation zones should be restricted to ensure that the tree's roots are not disturbed, thereby, assisting in maintaining their continued health. The TPZ is protected and delineated by the TPF.

5.1.3 Mechanical Damage

Equipment can physically damage the trees through striking the trunk, limbs and/or roots. Felled trees can also cause damage during the tree removal stage of construction. Some damage is unavoidable due to proximity of adjacent trees; however, using proper equipment and Best Management Practices (BMP) the damage can be minimized. The Contractor should be held responsible for all avoidable damage to the trees during all stages of development. It should be noted that trees shall be felled away from adjacent trees to be retained.

5.1.4 Root Damage

The success of tree preservation is dependent not only on protecting the root zone from compaction and damage, it is also contingent upon the ability to ensure that the structural roots within the root zone are not disturbed. Impacts to this area may result in the structural failure of these trees.

Excavating soil 1 m outside of a tree's dripline, or within a dripline if approved by an Arborist, can damage roots by tearing and splitting back to the stem. This type of root damage can later



Construction Mitigation and Management November 20, 2017

lead to rot, which can kill the tree. When excavating the top 30-60 cm of soil adjacent to trees, care must be taken. Excavation should cleanly sever the roots prior to stripping and removal of soil. Exposed roots, greater than 2.5 cm diameter, shall be pruned back to the soil face to prevent damage to the tree.

5.2 PROTECTING AND MANAGING TREES DURING CONSTRUCTION

The following recommendations are presented to provide appropriate tree protection and management during the construction for this project.

- Tree protection fencing shall be installed to protect trees identified for preservation. TPF installation must conform to details and City of Mississauga Development and Design Construction Hoarding standards identified on drawing L-900 located in Appendix 'A'. Upon installation of the tree protection fencing, the Contractor shall contact the Project Arborist to review and approve the fencing and its location prior to commencement of any site work. This shall be coordinated with City staff for approval. The protection fencing shall remain intact throughout the entire protection. The fencing will be inspected weekly and, if required, repaired. The fencing shall be removed at the completion of all site works.
- 2. Upon receiving the necessary project approvals and prior to the commencement of tree removals, all trees designated for preservation must be flagged in the field. All designated preservation areas must be left standing and undamaged during site works. Removals are to be completed outside of migratory bird nesting season from April 1 to August 15. Removals may take place during this restricted time only if the requirements of the Migratory Birds Convention Act are met and nesting activity is routinely monitored by qualified individuals (i.e., Wildlife Biologists).
- 3. The TPZ is the area around a retained tree that is to be protected by tree protection fencing. The TPZ is not to be used for any type of storage (e.g. storage of debris, construction material, surplus soils, and construction equipment). No trenching or tunneling for underground services shall be located within the TPZ. Construction equipment shall not be allowed to idle or exhaust within the TPZ.
- 4. Trees shall not have any rigging cables or hardware of any sort attached or wrapped around them, nor shall any contaminants be dumped within the protective areas. Furthermore, no contaminants shall be dumped or flushed where they may come into contact with the feeder roots of the trees. If roots from retained trees are exposed, or if it is necessary to remove limbs or portions of trees after construction has commenced, the Project Arborist shall be informed and the proper actions conforming to the Private Tree Protection By-law shall be carried out.
- 5. Upon completion of the tree removals, all felled trees are to be removed from the site. No lumber or brush from the clearing is to be stored on the site. Any chipping, cutting or brush



Construction Mitigation and Management November 20, 2017

cleanup are to be completed outside of the bird nesting season. These works may take place during this restricted time only if the requirements of the Migratory Birds Convention Act are met and nesting activity is routinely monitored by qualified individuals (i.e., Wildlife Biologists.

- 6. The following is the process that shall be carried out if tree removals are requested during the restricted time indicated in the Migratory Birds Convention Act:
 - Contact a qualified individual (i.e., Wildlife Biologist) to determine if nesting birds are within the tree removal disturbance area. Stantec has a qualified bird specialist on staff that can be contacted.
 - If the bird specialist has determined that there are nesting birds on-site, there will be no tree removals/chipping conducted within the boundary set out by the specialist. Tree removals can resume within this area at the end of the nesting season, August 15, or if the migratory bird specialist has determined the birds have left.
 - If the bird specialist determines there are no migratory birds nesting within the disturbance area, the contractor has 3 days to conduct removals. At the end of 3 days, if removals and chipping is not complete, the bird specialist will return to the site and proceed with another assessment. If there are still no birds, work can resume for another 3 days. This process will continue until all removals and chipping is complete.



Disclaimer November 20, 2017

6.0 **DISCLAIMER**

The assessment of the trees presented within this report has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These include a visual examination of the above-ground parts of each tree for structural defects, scars, external indications of decay, evidence of insect presence, discoloured foliage, the general condition of the trees and the surrounding site, as well as the proximity of property and people. None of the trees examined were dissected, cored, probed, or climbed, and detailed root crown examinations involving excavation were not undertaken.

Notwithstanding the recommendations and conclusions made in this report, it must be realized that trees are living organisms and their health and vigour is constantly changing. They are not immune to changes in site conditions or seasonal variations in the weather.

While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure the trees recommended for retention are healthy, no guarantees are offered or implied, that these trees or any part of them will remain standing. It is both professionally and practically impossible to predict with absolute certainty the behavior of any single tree or group of trees in all circumstances. Inevitably, a standing tree will always pose some risk. Most trees have the potential for failure if provided with the necessary combinations of stresses and elements. This risk can only be eliminated if the tree is removed.

Every effort has been made to ensure that this assessment is reasonably accurate and the trees should be re-assessed periodically. The assessment presented in this report is valid at the time of inspection.



References November 20, 2017

7.0 **REFERENCES**

Urban, James. 2008. Up By Roots. ISA. Champaign, Illinois, U.S. 479pp.



jk v:\01614\active\165011016\design\report\rpt_165011016_arborist_20171120_jk.docx

APPENDIX A TREE MANAGEMENT P LAN, DRAWINGS L-900 AND L-901



14/active\165011016\design\drawing_06\Jandscape\sheet_files\165011016_L. 1700 4:24 PM Bv-1 anointe Stenhanie

ORIGINAL SHEET - ARCH D



300 Hagey Blvd. Suite 100 Waterloo, ON, N2L 0A4 Tel. 519.579.4410 www.stantec.com

Copyright Reserved

The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.

Key Map N.T.S.



Notes

Refer to Drawing L-901 for Detailed Tree Inventory Tables

egend	
	Existing Deciduous Tree
0000	Existing Tree To be Retained Identification Key
0000	Existing Tree To be Removed Identification Key
	Tree Protection Fencing (Solid Board Hoarding)
	Tree Protection Fencing (Framed Hoarding)
	Existing Vegetation Unit to be Retained and Protected
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	Existing Vegetation Unit to be Removed

1. Revised as per the City	of Mississuaaa Com	nments	SL	 JK	17.11.20
Revision			Ву	Appd.	YY.MM.DD
File Name: 165011016 L-TM.	.dwg	BM	JK	BM	17.10.24
_		Dwn.	Chkd.	Dsgn.	YY.MM.DD
 Permit_Seal					
CERTIFIED RBORIST Second ISA					
Client/Project					
	51557 (007)	N			
LIVING ARTS	DRIVE EX	tensi	ON		
Mississauga, ON	l Canada				
Title					
TREE MAN	AGEMEN	IT PLA	۸N		
Project No.	Scale o	4	12	2	20m
165011016	1:400				
Drawing No.	Sheet			Revis	ion
L-900	1	of 2		-	

Date. Ju				Driplipe	Condition					
Tag #	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Radius (m)	Trunk Integrity	Crown Structure	Crown Vigour	Overall Condition	Comments	Action
261	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	23	3	G	G	G	G		Retain
262	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	23	3.5	G	G	G	G		Retain
263	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	16	2.5	G	G	G	G		Retain
264	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	13	2.5	Р	G	G	Р	Wound at base, will compromise growth of tree.	Remove
302	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	23	4	G	G	G	G		Remove
303	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	21	3.5	G	G	G	G		Remove
304	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	20	3	G	G	G	G		Remove
305	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	21	3.5	G	G	G	G		Remove
306	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	20	3	G	G	G	G		Remove
307	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	20	3	G	G	G	G		Remove
308	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	23	3.5	G	G	G	G		Retain
309	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	15	2.5	G	G	F	F		Remove
310	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	13	2	G	G	Р	Р	Minimal die back in branch tips.	Remove
311	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	11	1.5	G	Р	Р	Р	~50% LC. LC=Sucker growth.	Remove
312	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	12	1.5	G	Р	Р	Р	<50% LC	Remove
313	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	10	1.5	G	Р	Р	Р	<10% LC	Remove
314	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	14	2.5	G	G	Р	Р	Die back in canopy, 50% CC	Remove
315	Fraxinus americana	White Ash	10	1.5	Р	G	G	Р	Wound and rot on trunk.	Remove
316	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	17	2.5	G	G	G	G		Remove
317	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	15	2	G	G	F	F	Die back in canopy.	Remove
318	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	15	2.5	G	G	G	G		Remove
319	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	22	3	G	G	G	G		Remove
320	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	22	3	G	G	G	G		Retain
321	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	23	3	G	G	G	G		Retain
322	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	24	3.5	G	G	G	G		Retain
323	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	17	2.5	G	G	G	G		Retain
324	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	19	3	G	G	G	G		Remove
325	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	13	2.5	G	G	F	F	Branch die back in branch tips.	Remove
326	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	13	2.5	G	G	F	F	Branch die back in branch tips.	Remove
327	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	17	3	F	G	F	F	Poor taper, minor die back in branch tips.	Remove
328	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	12	2.5	F	G	F	F	Poor taper, minor die back in branch tips.	Remove
329	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	21	3.5	G	G	G	G		Remove
330	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	20	3	G	G	G	G		Remove
331	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	19	3	G	G	F	F	Die back in branch tips.	Remove
332	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	17	3	G	G	F	F	Die back in branch tips.	Remove
333	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	12	2	G	G	F	F	Die back in branch tips.	Remove
334	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	13	2.5	G	G	F	F	Die back in branch tips	Remove
205		Honovlooust	10	2.0					Die back in branch ting, tan group	
			10							
336	Gleaitsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	4	2.5	- Ci	j j				Kemove
337	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	12	2	G	G	G	G	Poor trunk taper.	Remove
338	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	18	3	G	G	G	G	Shallow root/minor die back, some branch tips.	Remove
339	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	12	2.5	G	G	G	G		Remove
340	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	12	2	G	G	G	G	Rock at base of trunk will eventually grow into trunk.	Remove
341	Gleditsia tricanthos var. inermis	Honeylocust	14	3	G	G	G	G		Remove

TABLE 1. Detailed Tree Inventory - Living Arts Drive, Mississauaga, Ontario Date: June, 14th 2017

TABLE 2. General Tree Inventory Date: June 14th, 2017

Unit	Botanical Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Quantity	Condition	Action
	Ulmus sp.	Elm sp.	10	1	G	Retain
	Rhamnus sp.	Buckthorn	<10	1	G	Retain
	Fraxinus americana	White Ash	<10	1	G	Retain
	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	13	2	Dead	Retain
1	Ulmus sp.	Elm sp.	12, 14	1	G	Retain
	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	<10	2	G	Retain
	Ulmus sp.	Elm sp.	20	1	G	Retain
	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	10	1	Dead	Retain
	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	<10	3	Dead	Retain
0	Juniperus sp.	Juniper	<10	1	G	Remove
2		Shrub	<10	1	G	Remove
	Juniperus sp.	Juniper shrub	<10	2	G	Remove
3	Acer ginnala	Amur maple	<10	1	G	Remove
	Rhamnus sp.	Buckthorn	<10	1	G	Retain
	Fraxinus americana	White Ash	<10	1	Р	Retain
4	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	Multiple 10-20	6	Dead	Retain
	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	Multiple 20-30	2	Dead	Retain
	Ulmus sp.	Elm sp.	30-40	1	G	Retain
	Ulmus sp.	Elm sp.	30-40	1	G	Retain
	Rhamnus	Buckthorn	<10	1	G	Remove
	Fraxinus americana	White Ash	<10	2	G	Remove
5	Fraxinus sp.	Ash sp.	<10	2	Dead	Remove
	Elaegus angustifolia	Russian Olive	<10	1	G	Remove

TABLE 3. Tree Impact Summary for Table 1

TOTAL TREES INVENTORIED:	44
TREES TO BE RETAINED:	8
TREES TO BE REMOVED:	36
Trees to be Removed (Good to Fair Condition):	29
Trees to be Removed (Poor Condition):	7

TABLE 4. Tree Impact Summary for Table 2

36	TOTAL TREES INVENTORIED:
25	TREES TO BE RETAINED:
11	TREES TO BE REMOVED:
9	Trees to be Removed (Good Condition):
2	Trees to be Removed (Dead):

TABLE 5. Tree Impact Summary

TOTAL TREES INVENTORIED:	80
TREES TO BE RETAINED:	33
TREES TO BE REMOVED:	47

ı	Comments
	Approximately 5m in from edge; 3m Dripline Radius
	Dense buckthorn
	3m Dripline Radius
	4m Dripline Radius
Э	Low
Э	
e	Low
e	
	7m Dripline Radius; on other side of property line fence
	Approximately 7m Dripline Radius
Э	
Э	6m Dripline Radius
e	
Э	



300 Hagey Blvd. Suite 100 Waterloo, ON, N2L 0A4 Tel. 519.579.4410 www.stantec.com

Copyright Reserved

The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.

Key Map N.T.S.



1. Revised as per the City of Mississauga Cor	nments	SL	JK	17.11.20
Revision		Ву	Appd.	YY.MM.DD
File Name: 165011016_L-TM.dwg	BM	JK	BM	17.10.24
	Dwn.	Chkd.	Dsgn.	YY.MM.DD

Permit-Seal



Client/Project

CITY OF MISSISSAUGA

LIVING ARTS DRIVE EXTENSION

Mississauga, ON Canada

Title

TREE MANAGEMENT PLAN

 Project No.
 Scale

 165011016
 N.T.S.

 Drawing No.
 Sheet

 L-901
 2 of 2