

**STAGE 2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF  
PART OF LOT 9, CONCESSION 9 NEW SURVEY,  
GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF TRAFALGAR, HALTON COUNTY,  
CITY OF MISSISSAUGA, REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF PEEL, ONTARIO**

**SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTATION  
INDIGENOUS ENGAGEMENT**

Prepared for:

**Derry Britannia Developments Limited**  
7880 Keele St.  
Vaughan, ON L4K 4G7  
T 905-907-8888

Archaeological Licence P449 (Bhardwaj)  
MHSTCI PIF# P449-0382-2019  
ASI File: 18PL-315

31 January 2020



## 1.0 INDIGENOUS ENGAGEMENT

The *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists* (S & G), Section 1.1, Guideline 1 states that as part of Stage 1 Archaeological Assessments, Indigenous communities may be engaged “for information on possible traditional use areas and sacred and other sites on or around the property” (MHSTCI 2011a). The S & G, Section 2.2, Guideline 1 also suggests engaging Indigenous communities to determine their interests in the archaeological sites and the subject property in general (MHSTCI 2011a).

The draft *Technical Bulletin on Engaging Aboriginal Communities in Archaeology*, Section 1.2, further encourages engaging Indigenous communities during Stage 1 and 2 assessments in the following instances:

- when conducting the Background Study, in order to identify information sources in local Indigenous communities (e.g., for information on traditional use areas, sacred sites, and other sites) when available and relevant to the property (MHSTCI 2011b);
- when evaluating archaeological potential and making recommendations to exempt areas meeting the criteria for low archaeological potential from further assessment, in order to ensure there are no unaddressed Indigenous cultural heritage interests (MHSTCI 2011b);
- In Stage 2, when assessing a property and determining archaeological sites that require Stage 3 fieldwork, in order to determine interest (general and site-specific) in the Indigenous archaeological sites and ensure that there are no unaddressed Indigenous archaeological interests connected with the land surveyed or sites identified (MHSTCI 2011b).

Given the above guidelines for best practice, and that the subject property is within the traditional lands of the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation, an engagement process was undertaken. To that end, as per their preference, the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation entered into an agreement directly with the proponent.

The Stage 2 field survey was undertaken over three days on October 24, and 28-29, 2019. Representatives from the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation were present on site during all fieldwork days. The representatives included Rachele King (October 24, 28, 2019) and Mariah Sault (October 29, 2019). The results of this assessment and a copy of the final report will be shared with the community in an effort to keep them updated and informed.

## 2.0 REFERENCES CITED

MHSTCI (Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries)

2011a *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists*. Cultural Programs Branch, Archaeology and Planning Unit, Toronto. Published through former Ministry of Tourism and Culture (MTC), now MHSTCI.

2011b *Engaging Aboriginal Communities in Archaeology: A Draft Technical Bulletin for Consultant Archaeologists in Ontario*. Cultural Programs Branch, Toronto. Published through former Ministry of Tourism and Culture (MTC), now MHSTCI.

